

83,367, *Pixel Playground, Inc.*,  
Woodland Hills, California.  
85,595, *Quad/Graphics, Woodstock,*  
*Illinois.*  
85,613, *Midair, USA Inc., Rome, New*  
*York.*  
85,619, *Oracle America, Inc.,*  
*Morrisville, North Carolina.*  
85,633, *Microsoft, Calabasas, California.*

#### **Determinations Terminating Investigations of Petitions for Worker Adjustment Assistance**

After notice of the petitions was published in the **Federal Register** and on the Department's Web site, as required by section 221 of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2271), the Department initiated investigations of these petitions.

The following determinations terminating investigations were issued because the petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn.

85,675, *Hewlett Packard Company,*  
*Corvallis, Oregon.*  
85,690, *Apex Tool Group, LLC.,*  
*Garland, Texas.*

The following determinations terminating investigations were issued in cases where these petitions were not filed in accordance with the requirements of 29 CFR 90.11. Every petition filed by workers must be signed by at least three individuals of the petitioning worker group. Petitioners separated more than one year prior to the date of the petition cannot be covered under a certification of a petition under section 223(b), and therefore, may not be part of a petitioning worker group. For one or more of these reasons, these petitions were deemed invalid.

85,673, *Quantum Foods, Bolingbrook,*  
*Illinois.*  
85,681, *Atmel Corporation, Colorado*  
*Springs, Colorado.*  
85,692, *Honeywell, Canton,*  
*Massachusetts.*

The following determinations terminating investigations were issued because the petitioning groups of workers are covered by active certifications. Consequently, further investigation in these cases would serve no purpose since the petitioning group of workers cannot be covered by more than one certification at a time.

85,658, *SMC Electrical Products, Inc.,*  
*Delta, Colorado.*

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the period of *December 8, 2014 through December 12, 2014*. These determinations are available on the Department's Web site [www.tradeact/ta/ta\\_search\\_form.cfm](http://www.tradeact/ta/ta_search_form.cfm) under the searchable listing of determinations or by calling the Office of Trade

Adjustment Assistance toll free at 888–365–6822.

Signed at Washington DC, this 22nd day of December 2014.

**Michael W. Jaffe,**

*Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 2014–30512 Filed 12–29–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P**

#### **NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION**

#### **Notice and Request for Comments on the National Science Foundation (NSF) Implementation of Proposed NSF Management Fee Policy**

**AGENCY:** National Science Foundation.

**ACTION:** Notice and Request for Comments on the National Science Foundation (NSF) Implementation of Proposed NSF Management Fee Policy.

**SUMMARY:** The payment of a small but appropriate management fee has been a long standing practice at the National Science Foundation (NSF) in limited circumstances related to the construction and operation of major facility projects. NSF is strengthening both the criteria used to establish such management fees and the controls that may be necessary to ensure that uses of fees are consistent with those established criteria. These efforts have resulted in a revised policy that we are providing here for public comment.

**DATES:** Comments on the proposed NSF Management Fee are welcome before February 13, 2015. Comments will be useful in shaping the agency's implementation. All comments received before the close of the comment period will be available for public inspection, including any personally identifiable or confidential business information that is included. Because they will be made public, comments should not include any sensitive information. Please send written comments regarding the management fee policy to Suzanne Plimpton, Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Rm. 1265, Arlington, VA 22230, or by email to [splimpto@nsf.gov](mailto:splimpto@nsf.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Suzanne Plimpton on (703) 292–7556 or send email to [splimpto@nsf.gov](mailto:splimpto@nsf.gov). Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339, which is accessible 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, 365 days a year (including federal holidays).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The following proposed NSF Management

Fee Policy can be found in the NSF Large Facilities Manual:

Section 4.2.2.2 *Management Fee*  
Management fee is an amount of money paid to a recipient in excess of a cooperative agreement's or cooperative support agreement's allowable costs. Generally, NSF does not pay profit or fee to organizations under financial assistance, except for the specific exception of profits to commercial organizations performing Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) or Small Business Technology Transfer (STTR) work. However, a management fee may be authorized for awards to non-profit organizations in the limited circumstance of construction or operation of a large facility under an NSF assistance award when the organization has limited or no other financial resources to cover certain ordinary and necessary business expenses that may not be reimbursable under the governing cost principles. When requested and justified by an awardee and subsequently authorized by NSF, management fee will be paid once negotiated by the NSF Grants and Agreements Officer. Any amount negotiated shall be expressly set forth in the terms and conditions of the award.

NSF recognizes the following criteria for the negotiation and award of management fee:

- Working capital necessary to fund operations under an award
- Facilities capital necessary to acquire assets for performance
- Amounts for other expenses that are ordinary and necessary for business operations but that are not otherwise reimbursable under the governing cost principles

Amounts for working capital may be necessary to ensure a level of retained earnings available to the organization in order to secure credit and borrowing to assure the financial health of the organization. An amount for facilities capital may be necessary to allow the organization to acquire major assets and to address expenses that require immediate substantive financial outlays but that are only reimbursed through depreciation or amortization over a period of years. Amounts for other expenses that are ordinary and necessary but not otherwise reimbursable can provide a reasonable allowance for management initiative and investments that will directly or indirectly benefit NSF. Examples of potential appropriate needs include contract terminations and losses, certain appropriate educational and public outreach activities, and providing financial incentives to obtain and retain high caliber staff. Amounts for this

criterion warrant careful consideration of the benefits that may be obtained by NSF when providing management fee. Although not an exhaustive list, the following are examples of expenses that do not benefit NSF:

- Alcoholic beverages
- Tickets to concerts, sporting and other events
- Vacation or other travel for non-business purposes
- Charitable contributions
- Social or sporting club memberships
- Meals for non-business purposes or so extravagant as to constitute entertainment
- Luxury or personal items
- Lobbying as set forth in the Uniform Guidance at 2 CFR 200.450

Costs that are otherwise reimbursable as described in the Uniform Guidance at Subpart E should not be included as part of the management fee negotiation.

The fee proposal must provide sufficient visibility into each criterion to identify its intended purpose. The proposal must also include a schedule of all federal, non-federal, and other sources of income to justify that alternate sources of income are not available to address potential needs covered in the proposal. Agreement on management fee amounts shall be completed and a sum certain established prior to the initiation of work under an award or any subsequent period not authorized as part of the initial award. Recipients may draw down management fee in proportion to costs incurred during the performance period. Fee established for a period longer than one year shall be subject to adjustment in the event of a significant change to the budget or work scope.

Even though management fee represents an amount in excess of cost and therefore not subject to application of the cost principles set forth at 2 CFR part 200, subpart E, NSF maintains a strong interest in how those monies are used. Information on actual uses of management fee previously awarded by NSF in the preceding five-year period under any award shall be included in the proposing organization's fee proposal. For incumbent awardees, fee proposals submitted in response to a NSF program solicitation shall include information regarding their management fee usage under the preceding award(s). NSF will examine the extent to which awardee fee proposals have proven reliable when compared with actual uses of management fee. NSF will also perform periodic reviews of management fee usage under an award. Repeated, unexplained failure to reasonably adhere to planned uses of fee

will result in reduction of future management fee amounts under the award.

Dated: December 19, 2014.

**Suzanne H. Plimpton,**  
*Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.*

[FR Doc. 2014-30244 Filed 12-29-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7555-01-P**

## **NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**[Docket No. 52-024; NRC-2008-0233]**

### **Entergy Operations, Inc.; Combined License Application for Grand Gulf Station Unit 3 Exemption**

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Exemption; issuance.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing an exemption in response to an October 16, 2014, letter from Entergy Operations, Inc. (EOI) which requested an exemption to suspend maintaining the Departures Report and the design control document (DCD) Update Report until December 31, 2015, or coincident with resuming the review of the for Grand Gulf Nuclear Station (GGNS) Combined License (COL) application, whichever comes first. The NRC staff reviewed this request and determined that it is appropriate to grant the exemption.

**ADDRESSES:** Please refer to Docket ID NRC-2008-0233 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may access publicly-available information related to this action by the following methods:

- Federal Rulemaking Web site: Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2008-0233. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301-287-3422; email: [Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov](mailto:Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov). For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS): You may access publicly available documents online in the NRC Library at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select "ADAMS Public Documents" and then select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by

email to [pdr.resource@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr.resource@nrc.gov). The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced in this document (if that document is available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that the document is referenced.

- NRC's PDR: You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC's PDR, Room O1-F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Lynnea Wilkins, Office of New Reactors, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001; telephone: 301-415-1377; email: [Lynnea.Wilkins@nrc.gov](mailto:Lynnea.Wilkins@nrc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The following sections include the text of the exemption in its entirety as issued to EOI.

#### **I. Background**

The NRC accepted for docketing the Grand Gulf Nuclear Station Unit 3 (GGNS3) COL application on April 17, 2008 (ADAMS Accession No. ML081050460, Docket No. 52-024). On January 9, 2009, EOI requested that the NRC temporarily suspend review of the application and the NRC granted EOI's request (ADAMS Accession No. ML090080523) while the application remained docketed. On October 16, 2014, (ADAMS Accession No. ML14289A520), EOI requested an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR part 52, Appendix E, Paragraphs X.A.1, X.A.2, and X.B.3.b until December 31, 2015 or coincident with resuming the review of the for RBS3 COL application, whichever comes first.

#### **II. Request/Action**

10 CFR part 52, Appendix E, Paragraph X.A.1 requires that the applicant for this appendix shall maintain a copy of the generic DCD [design control document] that includes all generic changes it makes to Tier 1 and Tier 2, and the generic TS and other operational requirements. The applicant shall maintain sensitive unclassified non-safeguards information (including proprietary information and security-related information) and safeguards information referenced in the generic DCD for the period that this appendix may be referenced, as specified in Section VII of this appendix.

10 CFR part 52, Appendix E, Paragraph X.A.2 requires that an applicant or licensee who references this appendix shall maintain the plant-specific DCD to accurately reflect both generic changes to the generic DCD and plant-specific departures made under Section VIII of this appendix throughout