

explanation of the attempts it made to locate the producer or exporter at the same time it files its request for review, in order for the Secretary to determine if the interested party's attempts were reasonable, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.303(f)(3)(ii).

As explained in *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003), and *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011) the Department clarified its practice with respect to the collection of final antidumping duties on imports of merchandise where intermediate firms are involved. The public should be aware of this clarification in determining whether to request an administrative review of merchandise subject to antidumping findings and orders.²

Further, as explained in *Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change in Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings*, 78 FR 65963 (November 4, 2013), the Department clarified its practice with regard to the conditional review of the non-market economy (NME) entity in administrative reviews of antidumping duty orders. The Department will no longer consider the NME entity as an exporter conditionally subject to administrative reviews. Accordingly, the NME entity will not be under review unless the Department specifically receives a request for, or self-initiates, a review of the NME entity.³ In administrative reviews of antidumping duty orders on merchandise from NME countries where a review of the NME entity has not been initiated, but where an individual exporter for which a review was initiated does not qualify for a separate rate, the Department will issue a final decision indicating that the company in question is part of the NME entity. However, in that situation, because no review of the NME entity was conducted, the NME entity's entries were not subject to the review and the rate for the NME entity is not subject to change as a result of that review (although the rate for the individual exporter may change as a function of the

finding that the exporter is part of the NME entity).

Following initiation of an antidumping administrative review when there is no review requested of the NME entity, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate entries for all exporters not named in the initiation notice, including those that were suspended at the NME entity rate.

All requests must be filed electronically in Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System ("IA ACCESS") on the IA ACCESS Web site at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov>.⁴ Further, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303(f)(1)(i), a copy of each request must be served on the petitioner and each exporter or producer specified in the request.

The Department will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of "Initiation of Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation" for requests received by the last day of December 2014. If the Department does not receive, by the last day of December 2014, a request for review of entries covered by an order, finding, or suspended investigation listed in this notice and for the period identified above, the Department will instruct CBP to assess antidumping or countervailing duties on those entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of (or bond for) estimated antidumping or countervailing duties required on those entries at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption and to continue to collect the cash deposit previously ordered.

For the first administrative review of any order, there will be no assessment of antidumping or countervailing duties on entries of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the relevant provisional-measures "gap" period of the order, if such a gap period is applicable to the period of review.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: November 19, 2014.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2014-28413 Filed 12-1-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

² See also the Enforcement and Compliance Web site at <http://trade.gov/enforcement/>.

³ In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(1), parties should specify that they are requesting a review of entries from exporters comprising the entity, and to the extent possible, include the names of such exporters in their request.

⁴ See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Electronic Filing Procedures; Administrative Protective Order Procedures*, 76 FR 39263 (July 6, 2011).

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-840]

Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review: Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From India

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On October 10, 2014, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated a changed circumstances review and published a notice of preliminary results of changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order on certain frozen warmwater shrimp (shrimp) from India.¹ In that notice, we preliminarily determined that Premier Marine Products Private Limited (PPL) is the successor-in-interest to Premier Marine Products (PMP) for purposes of determining antidumping duty cash deposits and liabilities. No interested party submitted comments on, or requested a public hearing to discuss, the *Initiation and Preliminary Results*. For these final results, the Department continues to find that PPL is the successor-in-interest to PMP.

DATES: *Effective Date:* December 2, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Blaine Wiltse or Stephen Banea, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-6345 or (202) 482-0656, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 22, 2014, PPL requested that the Department conduct an expedited changed circumstances review under 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3)(iii) to confirm that PPL is the successor-in-interest to PMP for purposes of determining antidumping duty cash deposits and liabilities.

On October 10, 2014, the Department initiated this changed circumstances review and published the notice of preliminary results, determining that PPL is the successor-in-interest to PMP. See *Initiation and Preliminary Results*, 79 FR at 61290. In the *Initiation and Preliminary Results*, we provided all

¹ See *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from India: Initiation and Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*, 79 FR 61290 (October 10, 2014) (*Initiation and Preliminary Results*).

interested parties with an opportunity to comment or request a public hearing regarding our preliminary finding that PPL is the successor-in-interest to PMP. We received no comments or requests for a public hearing from interested parties within the time period set forth in the *Initiation and Preliminary Results*.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is certain frozen warmwater shrimp.² The product is currently classified under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) item numbers: 0306.17.0003, 0306.17.0006, 0306.17.0009, 0306.17.0012, 0306.17.0015, 0306.17.0018, 0306.17.0021, 0306.17.0024, 0306.17.0027, 0306.17.0040, 1605.21.1030, and 1605.29.1010. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written product description remains dispositive.

Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review

For the reasons stated in the *Initiation and Preliminary Results*, and because we received no comments from interested parties to the contrary, the Department continues to find that PPL is the successor-in-interest to PMP. As a result of this determination, we find that PPL should receive the cash deposit rate previously assigned to PMP, as a part of the Liberty Group of companies, in the most recently completed review of the antidumping duty order on shrimp from India.³ Consequently, the Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to suspend liquidation of all shipments of subject merchandise produced and/or exported by PPL and entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of this notice in the **Federal Register** at 2.49 percent, which is the current antidumping duty cash deposit rate for PMP, as a part of the Liberty Group.⁴ This cash deposit requirement shall remain in effect until further notice.

We are issuing this determination and publishing these final results and notice in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i)(1) and (2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.216 and 351.221(c)(3).

² For a complete description of the scope of the order, see *Initiation and Preliminary Results*.

³ See *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013*, 79 FR 51309 (August 28, 2014).

⁴ *Id.*

Dated: November 21, 2014.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2014–28417 Filed 12–1–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–933]

Frontseating Service Valves From the People's Republic of China; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On May 27, 2014, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty on frontseating service valves from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”).¹ The period of review (“POR”) is April 1, 2012, through March 31, 2013. The review covers two exporters of subject merchandise, Zhejiang DunAn Hetian Metal Co., Ltd. (“DunAn”) and Zhejiang Sanhua Co., Ltd. (“Sanhua”). The Department continues to find that DunAn did not have reviewable entries during the POR. Additionally, we find that Sanhua made no sales in the United States at prices below normal value (“NV”). Based on our analysis of the comments received, we made changes to our margin calculations for Sanhua. The final weighted-average dumping margin for this review is listed below in the section entitled “Final Results of the Review.”

DATES: *Effective Date:* December 2, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Laurel LaCivita, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4243.

Background

On May 27, 2014, the Department published the preliminary results of the subject administrative review of the order.² At that time, we invited

¹ See *Frontseating Service Valves From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; Review; Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2012–2013*, 79 FR 30081 (May 27, 2014) (“*Preliminary Results*”).

² *Id.*

interested parties to comment on our preliminary results.

Subsequent to the *Preliminary Results*, the following events occurred. On June 2, 2014, Sanhua provided comments on new factual information contained in the *Preliminary Results*.³ On June 25, 2014, Sanhua requested a hearing.⁴ On June 26, 2014, Sanhua filed a case brief.⁵

On October 14, 2014, we rejected Sanhua’s post-preliminary submissions referenced above.⁶ On the same date, the Department placed additional Bulgarian surrogate value (“SV”) data on the record, and set the briefing and hearing schedule for the case.⁷ On October 25, 2014, Sanhua filed its case brief.⁸ Sanhua was the only party to file a case or rebuttal brief in this segment of the proceeding. Sanhua withdrew its hearing request on October 28, 2014.⁹ On August 13, 2014, we extended the deadline for completing the final results until November 24, 2014, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”).¹⁰

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this order is frontseating service valves, assembled or unassembled, complete or incomplete, and certain parts thereof. Frontseating service valves are classified under subheading 8481.80.1095, and also have been classified under subheading 8415.90.80.85, of the

³ See letter from Sanhua, “Frontseating Service Valves from the People’s Republic of China; A–570–933; Rebuttal, Clarification or Correction of Factual Information by Zhejiang Sanhua Co., Ltd.,” dated June 2, 2014.

⁴ See letter from Sanhua, “Frontseating Service Valves from the People’s Republic of China; A–570–933; Request for a Hearing by Zhejiang Sanhua Co., Ltd.,” dated June 25, 2014.

⁵ See letter from Sanhua, “Frontseating Service Valves from the People’s Republic of China; A–570–933; Case Brief by Zhejiang Sanhua Co., Ltd.,” dated June 26, 2014.

⁶ See letter to Sanhua, “Frontseating Service Valves from the People’s Republic of China: Rejection of Zhejiang Sanhua Co., Ltd. (“Sanhua”)’s Submissions of June 2, 2014, and June 26, 2014,” dated October 14, 2014.

⁷ See Memorandum to the File, “2012–2013 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Frontseating Service Valves from the People’s Republic of China: Placing Additional Surrogate Value Information on the Record After the Preliminary Results,” dated October 14, 2014.

⁸ See letter from Sanhua, “Frontseating Service Valves from the People’s Republic of China; A–570–933; Case Brief of Zhejiang Sanhua Co., Ltd.,” dated October 25, 2014.

⁹ See letter from Sanhua, “Frontseating Service Valves from the People’s Republic of China; A–570–933; Withdrawal of Hearing Request,” dated October 28, 2014.

¹⁰ See Memorandum to Christian Marsh, “Frontseating Service Valves from the People’s Republic of China: Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,” dated August 13, 2014.