The Exchange believes that amending Tiers 1–5 and Tier 8 of the Customer and Professional Penny Pilot Options Rebates to Add Liquidity, as well as the Tier 8 incentive of \$0.02 per contract to permit Participants to add all types of market participant liquidity does not create an undue burden on competition, rather the proposal will incentivize market participants to send additional order flow to the Exchange. Customer liquidity offers unique benefits to the market which benefits all market participants. Customer liquidity benefits all market participants by providing more trading opportunities, which attracts market makers. An increase in the activity of these market participants in turn facilitates tighter spreads, which may cause an additional corresponding increase in order flow from other market participants. The Exchange believes that encouraging Participants to add Professional liquidity creates competition among options exchanges because the Exchange believes that the rebates may cause market participants to select NOM as a venue to send Professional order flow.

The Exchange's proposal to amend the Tier 3 and 4 NOM Market Maker Penny Pilot Options Rebates to Add Liquidity to pay a higher rebate for AAPL of \$0.40 per contract, similar to SPY, QQQ and VXX, does not create an undue burden on competition because all NOM Market Makers may qualify for the Tier 3 or 4 NOM Market Maker Penny Pilot Options Rebate to Add Liquidity. The Exchange's proposal to offer another means to qualify for the Tier 6 NOM Market Maker Penny Pilot Options Rebate to Add Liquidity does not create an undue burden on competition, rather the proposal will incentivize market participants to send additional order flow to the Exchange.

The Exchange believes the differing outcomes, rebates and fees created by the Exchange's proposed pricing incentives contribute to the overall health of the market place to the benefit of all Participants that willing choose to transact options on NOM. For the reasons specified herein, the Exchange does not believe this proposal creates an undue burden on competition. The Exchange operates in a highly competitive market comprised of twelve U.S. options exchanges in which many sophisticated and knowledgeable market participants can readily and do send order flow to competing exchanges if they deem fee levels or rebate incentives at a particular exchange to be excessive or inadequate. These market forces support the Exchange belief that the proposed rebate structure and tiers proposed herein are competitive with

rebates and tiers in place on other exchanges. The Exchange believes that this competitive marketplace continues to impact the rebates present on the Exchange today and substantially influences the proposals set forth above.

## C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

# III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act.<sup>15</sup> At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

# **IV. Solicitation of Comments**

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

#### Electronic Comments

• Use the Commission's Internet comment form (*http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml*); or

• Send an email to *rule-comments*@ *sec.gov.* Please include File Number SR– NASDAQ–2014–105 on the subject line.

## Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NASDAQ–2014–105. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (*http://www.sec.gov/ rules/sro.shtml*). Copies of the submission, all subsequent

amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NASDAQ-2014-105, and should be submitted on or before December 22, 2014.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.  $^{16}\,$ 

#### Kevin M. O'Neill,

Deputy Secretary. [FR Doc. 2014–28172 Filed 11–28–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–73677; File No. SR–BATS– 2014–058]

# Self-Regulatory Organizations; BATS Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change to Rule 11.24 of BATS Exchange, Inc.

November 24, 2014.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on November 17, 2014, BATS Exchange, Inc. (the "Exchange" or "BATS") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange has designated this proposal as a "noncontroversial" proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the

<sup>15 15</sup> U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

<sup>16 17</sup> CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

Act <sup>3</sup> and Rule 19b–4(f)(6) thereunder,<sup>4</sup> which renders it effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

## I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of the Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange filed a proposal to renumber Rule 11.24, entitled "Retail Order Attribution Program," as Rule 11.25.

The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Exchange's Web site at *http://www.batstrading.com/*, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

# II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

## A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

## 1. Purpose

The Exchange is proposing to renumber Rule 11.24, entitled "Retail Order Attribution Program," as Rule 11.25. The Exchange recently adopted this rule to allow retail orders to be attributed as such on Exchange data feeds.<sup>5</sup> However, at the time such proposal was filed, the Exchange was awaiting approval of a separate filing to add an opening process for non-Exchange-listed securities, which rule was also numbered 11.24. Accordingly, the Exchange proposes to re-number the rule related to its Retail Order Attribution Program as 11.25.

## 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Securities Exchange

Act of 1934 (the "Act")<sup>6</sup> and further the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act<sup>7</sup> because it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Specifically, the correction of this numbering error will contribute to the protection of investors and the public interest by helping to avoid confusion with respect to Exchange rules.

## B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition as it is not a competitive proposal and does not reflect any substantive modification to the Exchange's operations.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

Not applicable.

# III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The Exchange has filed the proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act <sup>8</sup> and Rule 19b– 4(f)(6) thereunder.<sup>9</sup> Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act and Rule 19b– 4(f)(6) thereunder.<sup>10</sup>

A proposed rule change filed pursuant to Rule 19b-4(f)(6) under the Act <sup>11</sup> normally does not become operative for 30 days after the date of its filing. However, Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii) <sup>12</sup> permits the Commission to designate a

 $^{10}$  17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6). As required under Rule 19b–4(f)(6)(iii), the Exchange provided the Commission with written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change, along with a brief description and the text of the proposed rule change, at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change.

shorter time if such action is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. The Exchange has asked the Commission to waive the 30-day operative delay so that the proposal may become operative immediately upon filing. The Exchange believes that waiving the 30-day operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest because it would allow the Exchange to immediately correct the numbering error described above. The Commission believes that waiving the 30-day operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. Therefore, the Commission hereby waives the operative delay and designates the proposed rule change operative upon filing.<sup>13</sup>

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

# **IV. Solicitation of Comments**

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

#### Electronic Comments

• Use the Commission's Internet comment form (*http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml*); or

• Send an email to *rule-comments*@ *sec.gov.* Please include File Number SR– BATS–2014–058 on the subject line.

# Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–BATS–2014–058. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (*http://www.sec.gov/* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>4 17</sup> CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 73237 (September 26, 2014), 79 FR 59537 (October 2, 2014) (SR–BATS–2014–043).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>7 15</sup> U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>917</sup> CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6).

<sup>12 17</sup> CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> For purposes only of waiving the 30-day operative delay, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. *See* 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-BATS-2014–058, and should be submitted on or before December 22, 2014.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>14</sup>

# Kevin M. O'Neill,

Deputy Secretary. [FR Doc. 2014–28173 Filed 11–28–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

# OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

## Membership of the Performance Review Board (PRB)

AGENCY: Office of the United States Trade Representative.

# ACTION: Notice

**SUMMARY:** The following staff members have been appointed to serve on the Performance Review Board: Performance Review Board (PRB) Chair: Wendy Cutler Member: Barbara Weisel Member: Florizelle Liser Member: Lewis Karesh Member: Sharon Bomer-Lauritsen Executive Secretary: Ronald Nerida

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* November 20, 2014

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Questions regarding this submission should be directed to Susan Buck, Acting Director, USTR Office of Human Resources (202) 395–7630.

## Fred Ames,

Assistant U.S. Trade Representative for Administration, Office of the United States Trade Representative.

[FR Doc. 2014–28179 Filed 11–28–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3290–F4–P

# DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

# Federal Railroad Administration

[Safety Advisory 2014-02]

Roadway Worker Authority Limits— Importance of Clear Communication, Compliance with Applicable Rules and Procedures, and Ensuring that Appropriate Safety Redundancies Are in Place in the Event of Miscommunication or Error; Correction

**AGENCY:** Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), Department of Transportation (DOT). **ACTION:** Notice of Safety Advisory; Correction

SUMMARY: On November 25, 2014, FRA published a document in the Federal **Register** to reemphasize the importance of clear communication and compliance with applicable rules and procedures regarding roadway worker authority limits on controlled track, and to ensure that appropriate safety redundancies are in place to protect against miscommunication or error. The document contained an incorrect job designation ("foreman" instead of "roadway worker in charge") for an employee in the first incident discussed in the safety advisory that resulted in an employee fatality, and an incorrect location ("Danbury," instead of "West Haven," Connecticut) for the second incident that also resulted in an employee fatality. The safety advisory otherwise remains unchanged.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kenneth Rusk, Staff Director, Track Division, Office of Railroad Safety, FRA, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, telephone (202) 493–6236; or Anna Nassif Winkle, Trial Attorney, Office of Chief Counsel, FRA, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, telephone (202) 493–6166.

# Correction

In the **Federal Register** of November 25, 2014, in FR Doc. 2014–27955, on page 70268, in the third column, correct the second and third paragraphs to read as follows:

In November 2013, a BNSF Railway Co. (BNSF) lead welder was killed when his welding truck collided with an eastbound freight train on a single main track at a location that was outside of his roadway work group's limits of authority. It appears from FRA's preliminary investigation that the two-man work group set on the track at a location outside of their authority limits after the workers disagreed regarding the extent of the authority limits and after not being able to quickly resolve the discrepancy because the screen displaying their authority was not visible at the time they set on the track. The roadway worker in charge was apparently attempting to "wake up" the computer screen as the operator was setting their vehicle on and operating over the track, rather than remaining clear of the track until the discrepancy could be resolved, as required by the railroad's good faith challenge procedures.

In May 2013, a Metro-North Commuter Railroad Co. (Metro-North) track foreman was struck and killed by a passenger train in West Haven, Connecticut, after a student dispatcher prematurely removed the control signal blocking devices that had been established for the track foreman's work group, and cleared the signal for the passenger train. Investigation by FRA and the National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) determined that the student dispatcher assumed that the foreman no longer needed the main track after the dispatcher had lined the foreman-piloted locomotive crane into an out-of-service track. Several weeks prior to this incident, a very similar incident occurred on the same railroad. However, in that situation, the roadway worker detected the advancing train movement in sufficient time to move away from the track and avoid being struck by the train.

Dated: November 26, 2014.

#### Brenda Moscoso,

Director, Office of Safety Analysis. [FR Doc. 2014–28380 Filed 11–28–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–06–P

# DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

## Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

November 25, 2014.

The Department of the Treasury is planning to submit the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104– 13.

**DATES:** Comments should be received on or before January 30, 2015 to be assured of consideration.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments regarding the burden estimate, or any other aspect of the information collection, including suggestion for reducing the burden, to Kim M. Bloomquist, Internal Revenue

<sup>14 17</sup> CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).