

Supporting documentation may also be included as needed to establish the appropriate historical context and provide any necessary background information. Written statements can be submitted to the Designated Federal Officer (DFO) at the Air Force address detailed below at any time. However, if a written statement is not received at least 10 calendar days before the first day of the meeting which is the subject of this notice, then it may not be provided to or considered by the BoV until its next open meeting. The DFO will review all timely submissions with the BoV Chairman and ensure they are provided to members of the BoV before the meeting that is the subject of this notice. If after review of timely submitted written comments and the BoV Chairman and DFO deem appropriate, they may choose to invite the submitter of the written comments to orally present the issue during an open portion of the BoV meeting that is the subject of this notice. Members of the BoV may also petition the Chairman to allow specific personnel to make oral presentations before the BoV. In accordance with 41 CFR Section 102–3.140(d), any oral presentations before the BoV shall be in accordance with agency guidelines provided pursuant to a written invitation and this paragraph. Direct questioning of BoV members or meeting participants by the public is not permitted except with the approval of the DFO and Chairman. For the benefit of the public, rosters that list the names of BoV members and any releasable materials presented during the open portions of this BoV meeting shall be made available upon request.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information or to attend this BoV meeting, contact Maj Mark Cipolla, Accessions and Training Division, AF/A1PT, 1040 Air Force Pentagon, Washington, DC 20330, (703) 695–4066, [mark.cipolla@us.af.mil](mailto:mark.cipolla@us.af.mil).

**Henry Williams,**

*Civ, DAF, Acting Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2014–26952 Filed 11–13–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001–10–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army

#### **Finding of No Significant Impact and Final Supplemental Programmatic Environmental Assessment for Army 2020 Force Structure Realignment**

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Army announces the availability of the Finding of No Significant Impact (FNSI) for implementation of force structure realignment to reduce the Army active duty end-strength from 562,000 at the end of Fiscal Year (FY) 2012 to 420,000 by FY 2020. The Supplemental Programmatic Environmental Assessment for Army 2020 Force Structure Realignment (SPEA) supplements the 2013 Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA). After reviewing the SPEA and comments received during the public review period, the Deputy Chief of Staff of the Army, G–3/5/7 has signed the FNSI that concluded there will be no significant environmental impacts, other than socioeconomic impacts, likely to result from the implementation of the Proposed Action under the alternative analyzed. Although there could be significant socioeconomic impacts, these alone do not require the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement; therefore, one will not be prepared.

Force restructure decisions reducing the Army active duty end-strength from 562,000 to 490,000 were supported by the 2013 PEA. The SPEA builds on the foundation of the 2013 PEA and assesses the impacts of a potential reduction of an additional 70,000 Soldiers and associated Army civilian employees (Army employees), from the end-strength of 490,000 Soldiers analyzed in the 2013 PEA to an active component end-strength of 420,000.

The information in the SPEA will be used to support a series of decisions in the coming years regarding how the force is to be further realigned.

An electronic version of the FNSI and SPEA is available for download at: <http://aec.army.mil/Services/Support/NEPA/Documents.aspx>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** U.S. Army Environmental Command, Public Affairs Office, 2450 Connell Road (Building 2264), Joint Base San Antonio-Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234–7664 or email to [usarmy.jbsa.aec.nepa@mail.mil](mailto:usarmy.jbsa.aec.nepa@mail.mil).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Both the 2013 PEA and 2014 SPEA analyzed potential reductions at Fort Benning, GA; Fort Bliss, TX; Fort Bragg, NC; Fort Campbell, KY; Fort Carson, CO; Fort Drum, NY; Fort Gordon, GA; Fort Hood, TX; Fort Irwin, CA; Fort Knox, KY; Fort Lee, VA; Fort Leonard Wood, MO; Fort Polk, LA; Fort Riley, KS; Fort Sill, OK; Fort Stewart, GA; Fort Wainwright, AK; Joint Base Elmendorf-Richardson, AK; Joint Base Langley-Eustis, VA; Joint Base Lewis-McChord, WA; and, United

States Army Garrison (USAG) Hawaii—Schofield Barracks, HI. The SPEA also analyzed potential reductions at Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD; Fort Belvoir, VA; Fort Huachuca, AZ; Fort Jackson, SC; Fort Leavenworth, KS; Fort Meade, MD; Fort Rucker, AL; Joint Base San Antonio—Fort Sam Houston, TX; and USAG Hawaii—Fort Shafter, HI.

The SPEA provides an assessment of the possible direct, indirect, and cumulative environmental and socioeconomic impacts of the greatest Army employee reductions being considered at each installation. The SPEA does not identify any significant environmental impacts as a result of implementing the proposed action, with the exception of socioeconomic impacts at most installations; consequently, the preparation of an environmental impact statement is not required. Socioeconomic impacts are of particular concern to the Army because they affect communities around military installations. Therefore, the SPEA has a comprehensive analysis of the socioeconomic impacts to inform decision-makers and communities.

**Brenda S. Bowen,**

*Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2014–26724 Filed 11–13–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3710–08–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Navy

[Docket ID: USN–2014–0023]

#### **Privacy Act of 1974; System of Records**

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice to alter a System of Records.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Navy proposes to alter the system of records, N06150–5, entitled “Millennium Cohort Study” in its inventory of record systems subject to the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended. This system will create a probability-based database of service members and veterans who have, or have not, deployed overseas so that various longitudinal health and research studies may be conducted over a 67-year period. The database will be used:

a. To systematically collect population-based demographic and health data to evaluate the health of Armed Forces personnel throughout their careers and after leaving the service.

b. To evaluate the impact of operational deployments on various