

meeting federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, these proposed actions:

- Are not “significant regulatory action[s]” subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993);

- do not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);

- are certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);

- do not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4);

- do not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);

- are not economically significant regulatory actions based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);

- are not significant regulatory actions subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);

- are not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and

- do not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

The SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), nor will it impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law.

#### List of Subjects

##### 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, and Particulate matter.

##### 40 CFR Part 81

Environmental protection, Air pollution control.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: November 3, 2014.

**V. Anne Heard,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.*

[FR Doc. 2014-26736 Filed 11-10-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 63

[EPA-R06-OAR-2012-0765; FRL-9918-62-Region 6]

#### National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants; Delegation of Authority to Arkansas

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA is proposing to approve, through a “direct final” procedure, a request for delegation of the Federal air toxics program contained within 40 CFR Parts 63 pursuant to Section 112(l) of the Clean Air Act (Act). The State’s mechanism of delegation involves the straight delegation of certain existing and future Section 112 standards unchanged from the Federal standards. The actual delegation of authority of individual standards, except standards addressed specifically in this action, will occur through a mechanism set forth in a memorandum of agreement (MOA) between the Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) and EPA. ADEQ is requesting delegation and approval to implement and enforce the existing Part 63 standards as they apply to Part 70 sources, including major and area sources subject to the Title V (Part 70) permitting requirements. The delegation of authority under this action does not include CAA Section 112(r).

**DATES:** Written comments on this proposed rule must be received on or before December 12, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be mailed to Mr. Rick Barrett, Air Permits Section (6PD-R), Environmental Protection Agency, 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733. Comments may also be submitted electronically or through hand delivery/courier by following the detailed instructions in the Addresses section of the direct final rule located in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Rick Barrett, (214) 665-7227, [barrett.richard@epa.gov](mailto:barrett.richard@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In the final rules section of this **Federal**

**Register**, EPA is approving ADEQ’s request for delegation of authority to implement and enforce certain NESHAPs for all sources which are subject to part 70 as a direct rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this proposed approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant, adverse comments are received in response to this action no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives relevant, adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

For additional information, see the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: October 14, 2014.

**Ron Curry,**

*Regional Administrator, Region 6.*

[FR Doc. 2014-25947 Filed 11-10-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Fish and Wildlife Service

#### 50 CFR Part 17

[Docket No. FWS-R8-ES-2013-0011; 4500030114]

RIN 1018-AZ44

#### Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Designation of Critical Habitat for the Western Distinct Population Segment of the Yellow-Billed Cuckoo (*Coccyzus americanus*)

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; reopening of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** On August 15, 2014, we, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), announced a proposal to designate critical habitat for the western distinct population segment of the yellow-billed cuckoo under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act). We now announce a reopening of the comment period for our August 15, 2014, proposed rule to allow for us to accept and consider additional public comments on the proposed rule.

**DATES:** The comment period for the proposed rule published on August 15, 2014 (79 FR 48548), is reopened. We