

products and the use, cost, or availability of major inputs into production); and factors related to the ability to shift supply among different national markets (including barriers to importation in foreign markets or changes in market demand abroad). Demand conditions to consider include end uses and applications; the existence and availability of substitute products; and the level of competition among the *Domestic Like Product* produced in the United States, *Subject Merchandise* produced in the *Subject Country*, and such merchandise from other countries.

(13) (Optional) A statement of whether you agree with the above definitions of the *Domestic Like Product* and *Domestic Industry*; if you disagree with either or both of these definitions, please explain why and provide alternative definitions.

Authority: This proceeding is being conducted under authority of Title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.61 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: October 29, 2014.

By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2014-26099 Filed 11-3-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-1012 (Second Review)]

Certain Frozen Fish Fillets From Vietnam

Determination

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission ("Commission") determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on certain frozen fish fillets from Vietnam would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted this review on June 2, 2014 (79 FR 31345) and determined on September 5, 2014, that it would conduct an expedited review (79 FR 56826).

The Commission completed and filed its determination in this review on October 30, 2014. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4498 (October 2014), entitled *Certain Frozen Fish Fillets From Vietnam: Investigation No. 731-TA-1012 (Second Review)*.

Issued: October 30, 2014.

By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2014-26157 Filed 11-3-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-915]

Certain Set-Top Boxes, Gateways, Bridges, and Adapters and Components Thereof; Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting Complainants' Unopposed Motion To Terminate the Investigation on the Basis of Settlement; Termination of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 17) granting the unopposed motion of complainants ViXS Systems, Inc., of Toronto, Ontario, Canada and ViXS USA, Inc. of Austin, Texas (collectively "ViXS") to terminate the above-referenced investigation as to respondent Entropic Communications, Inc. of San Diego, California ("Entropic") on the basis of a settlement agreement. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Megan M. Valentine, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2301. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation

may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on May 21, 2014, based on a Complaint filed by ViXS, as supplemented and amended. 79 FR 29204 (May 21, 2014). The Complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 ("section 337"), in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain set-top boxes, gateways, bridges, and adapters and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,301,900; 7,099,951; 7,200,855; and 7,406,598. The Complaint further alleges the existence of a domestic industry. The Commission's Notice of Investigation named as respondents Entropic; DirectTV, LLC of El Segundo, California ("DirectTV"); Wistron NeWeb Corporation of Hsinchu, Taiwan and Winstron Corporation of Taipei Hsien, Taiwan (collectively "Wistron"); and CyberTAN Technology, Inc. of Hsinchu, Taiwan ("CyberTAN"). The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was also named as a party to the investigation.

The Commission later terminated the investigation with respect to certain asserted claims of the patents-in-suit, thus limiting the investigation to products incorporating Entropic chips and, in effect, terminating the investigation with respect to respondents DirectTV, Wistron, and CyberTAN. Notice (Aug. 25, 2014); Order No. 9 (Aug. 15, 2014).

On September 15, 2014, ViXS filed a motion to terminate this investigation based on a settlement agreement between ViXS and Entropic. The motion stated that the respondents do not oppose the motion. On September 29, 2014, the Commission investigative attorney (Mr. Taylor) filed a response in support of the motion.

On October 7, 2014, the ALJ issued the subject ID granting ViXS's motion for termination of the investigation. The ALJ found that the joint motion complied with the requirements of section 210.21(b)(1) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.21(b)(1)) and that there are no extraordinary circumstances that would prevent the requested terminations. The ALJ also found that granting the motion

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

would not be contrary to the public interest.

No petitions for review of the subject ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

Issued: October 30, 2014.

By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2014-26158 Filed 11-3-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

On October 28, 2014, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut in the lawsuit entitled United States v. City of Derby, Connecticut, Civil Action No. 3:14-cv-01594-WWE.

The United States filed this lawsuit under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9601, et seq., seeking to recover costs incurred in performing a removal action at the O'Sullivan's Island Superfund Site in the City of Derby, Connecticut. Under the proposed ability-to-pay Consent Decree, the City will pay \$675,000.00 to EPA. The United States covenants not to sue the City under Section 107 of CERCLA to recover past response costs.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division and should refer to United States v. City of Derby, Connecticut, D.J. Ref. No. 90-11-3-10354. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

Table with 2 columns: To submit comments: and Send them to:; Row 1: By email pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.

Table with 2 columns: To submit comments: and Send them to:; Row 1: By mail Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ-ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/ConsentDecrees.html. We will provide a paper copy of the Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ-ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$6.00 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

Robert E. Maher Jr.,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2014-26180 Filed 11-3-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993; National Spectrum Consortium

Notice is hereby given that, on September 24, 2014, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), National Spectrum Consortium ("NSC") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties to the venture and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties to the venture are: ADS Corporation, Arlington, VA; AEA, Inc., Anacortes, WA; ATDI Government Services, LLC, Fairfax, VA; BAE Systems, Nashua, NH; Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA; Cognitive Radio Technologies, LLC, Lynchburg, VA; Comsearch, Warren, RI; Darkblade Systems Corporation, Stafford, VA; Disney/ABC TV Group, New York, NY; Draper Laboratory,

Cambridge, MA; Eigen Wireless, Liberty Lake, WA; Exelis, Inc., McLean, VA; Federated Wireless, Boston, MA; Georgia Tech Applied Research Corporation, Atlanta, GA; Gonzaga University, Spokane, WA; Honeywell, Morristown, NJ; Hughes Network Systems, Gaithersburg, MD; ICF International, Fairfax, VA; Innovative Solutions Consortium, Leesburg, VA; Lockheed Martin Corporation, Washington, DC; Monterey Consultants, Inc., Dayton, OH; Nokia Networks, Chicago, IL; Northrop Grumman Corporation, Falls Church, VA; Nouveau Innovation Alliance, LLC, Dayton, OH; Oceus Networks, Reston, VA; Peha Consulting, Washington, DC; Raytheon BBN Technologies, Cambridge, MA; Roberson and Associates, LLC, Chicago, IL; Rockwell Collins, Inc., Cedar Rapids, IA; Rumpf Associates International, Inc., Alexandria, VA; S2 Corporation, Bozeman, MT; SECUTOR US, LLC, Clifton, VA; Shared Spectrum Corporation, Vienna, VA; Shenandoah Research and Technology, Luray, VA; Silvus Technologies, Los Angeles, CA; Space Data Association, Malvern, PA; Spectronn, Holmdel, NJ; SRC, Inc., North Syracuse, NY; Stat Tech, Inc., Alexandria, VA; Stevens Institute of Technology, Hoboken, NJ; Strategic Contrivance, LLC, Annandale, VA; TCI International, Inc., Fremont, CA; Telecommunications Industry Association (TIA), Washington, DC; Test Equipment Plus, Inc., La Center, WA; University of Illinois, Urbana, IL; University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS; University of Mississippi, Oxford, MS; University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE; University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK; University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA; University of Washington, Seattle, WA; URS Federal Support Services, Inc., Germantown, MD; VA Tech Applied Research Corporation, Blacksburg, VA; Vanu, Inc., Cambridge, MA; VISTology, Inc. Framingham, MA; Wireless Innovation Forum, Reston, VA; and xG Technology Inc., Sarasota, FL. The general areas of NSC's planned activities are (i) maturing technologies that assist in improved electromagnetic spectrum awareness, sharing, and use; (ii) experimentation to better inform the optimal allocation of those technologies for both public and private objectives; (iii) demonstration of new technologies to increase trust among spectrum stakeholders; and (iv) policy development to ensure