

the Agreement: (a) An administrative or judicial hearing; (b) judicial review or other challenge or contest of the validity of the Order or of the Commission's actions; (c) a determination by the Commission of whether Williams-Sonoma failed to comply with the CPSA and the underlying regulations; (d) a statement of findings of fact and conclusions of law; and (e) any claims under the Equal Access to Justice Act.

27. Paragraphs 21, 22, and 23 of the Settlement Agreement between Williams-Sonoma, Inc. and the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, CPSC Docket No.: 13-C0005, 78 **Federal Register** 27,190 (May 9, 2013), which Williams-Sonoma has represented have been implemented, hereby are incorporated by reference into this Agreement as if fully set forth herein.

28. The parties acknowledge and agree that the Commission may make public disclosure of the terms of the Agreement and the Order.

29. Williams-Sonoma represents that the Agreement: (i) Is entered into freely and voluntarily, without any degree of duress or compulsion whatsoever; (ii) has been duly authorized; and (iii) constitutes the valid and binding obligation of Williams-Sonoma, and each of its successors and/or assigns, enforceable against Williams-Sonoma in accordance with the Agreement's terms. The individuals signing the Agreement on behalf of Williams-Sonoma represent and warrant that they are duly authorized by Williams-Sonoma to execute the Agreement.

30. The Commission signatories represent that they are signing the Agreement in their official capacities and that they are authorized to execute the Agreement.

31. The Agreement is governed by the laws of the United States.

32. The Agreement and the Order shall apply to, and be binding upon, Williams-Sonoma and each of its subsidiaries, successors, transferees, and assigns; and a violation of the Agreement or Order may subject Williams-Sonoma and each of its subsidiaries, successors, transferees, and assigns to appropriate legal action.

33. The Agreement and the Order constitute the complete agreement between the parties on the subject matter contained herein and therein.

34. The Agreement may be used in interpreting the Order. Understandings, agreements, representations, or interpretations apart from those contained in the Agreement and the Order may not be used to vary or contradict their terms. For purposes of construction, the Agreement shall be deemed to have been drafted by both of

the parties, and therefore, the Agreement shall not be construed against any party for that reason in any subsequent dispute.

35. The Agreement shall not be waived, amended, modified, or otherwise altered, except as in accordance with the provisions of 16 CFR 1118.20(h). The Agreement may be executed in counterparts.

36. If any provision of the Agreement or the Order is held to be illegal, invalid, or unenforceable under present or future laws effective during the terms of the Agreement and the Order, such provision shall be fully severable. The balance of the Agreement and the Order shall remain in full force and effect, unless the Commission and Williams-Sonoma agree that severing the provision materially affects the purpose of the Agreement and Order.

Williams-Sonoma, Inc.

Dated: *October 14, 2014*

By:

Julie P. Whalen

Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Williams-Sonoma, Inc., 3250 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94109

Dated: *October 15, 2014*

By:

Eric A. Rubel

Arnold & Porter, LLP, 555 Twelfth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20004-1206, Counsel for Williams-Sonoma, Inc.

U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission Staff

Stephanie Tsacoumis
General Counsel

Mary T. Boyle
Deputy General Counsel

Mary B. Murphy
Assistant General Counsel

Dated: *October 16, 2014*

By:

Gregory M. Reyes

Trial Attorney, Division of Compliance

Order

Upon consideration of the Settlement Agreement entered into between Williams-Sonoma, Inc. (Williams-Sonoma), and the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission (Commission), and the Commission having jurisdiction over the subject matter and over Williams-Sonoma, and it appearing that the Settlement Agreement and the Order are in the public interest, it is

Ordered that the Settlement Agreement be, and is, hereby, accepted; and it is

Further ordered, that Williams-Sonoma shall comply with the terms of the Settlement Agreement and shall pay a civil penalty of \$700,000.00 within

twenty (20) calendar days after receiving service of the Commission's final Order accepting the Settlement Agreement. Upon failure of Williams-Sonoma to make the foregoing payment when due, interest on the unpaid amount shall accrue and be paid by Williams-Sonoma at the federal legal rate of interest set forth at 28 U.S.C. 1961(a) and (b). If Williams-Sonoma fails to make such a payment or to comply in full with any other provision as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, such conduct will be considered a violation of the Settlement Agreement and Order.

Provisionally accepted and provisional Order issued on the 29th day of October, 2014.

By order of the commission:

Todd A. Stevenson, Secretary, U.S.
Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 2014-26065 Filed 10-31-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355-01-P

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Opportunity for Sponsorship of the GreenGov Symposium

AGENCY: Council on Environmental Quality.

ACTION: Notice. GreenGov Symposium Call for Co-Sponsors.

SUMMARY: This notice informs the public of the opportunity for eligible non-governmental entities to submit an application for co-sponsorship of a potential White House Council on Environmental Quality 2015 GreenGov Symposium. Those interested in becoming co-sponsors should submit an application for co-sponsorship by November 28, 2014.

DATES: To be considered, applications for co-sponsorship must be received via email no later than 5:00p.m. Eastern Time on November 28, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Applications and any supporting materials may be submitted electronically to the White House Council on Environmental Quality, Office of the Federal Environmental Executive at ofee@ceq.eop.gov.

FOR FUTURE INFORMATION CONTACT: Will Garvey, Office of the Federal Environmental Executive, White House Council on Environmental Quality, wgarvey@ceq.eop.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Application Information

Only non-government entities that are not-for-profit corporations or entities are eligible for co-sponsorship of the 2015

GreenGov Symposium. Potential co-sponsors could include, but are not limited to, registered 501(c)3 organizations and academic institutions. Eligible entities, including consortia of eligible entities, interested in co-sponsoring the 2015 GreenGov Symposium effort should demonstrate and provide relevant information on:

- Alignment of their organization's mission and goals with the mission and goals of the Council on Environmental Quality and the Office of the Federal Environmental Executive and with the general purpose of the Symposium;
- ability to contribute to selection of Symposium location(s), associated logistics, agenda planning, speaker proposal and selection, and event outreach;
- experience working successfully with private sector, state and local government and academic sector stakeholders that would attend a GreenGov event;
- ability to travel to various locations within the continental United States, if necessary to host GreenGov events;
- technical and programmatic ability to support Internet and Web-based production of GreenGov educational events; and
- ability to support both major multiple day symposium event as well as limited topic specific seminars and workshops.

Background

GreenGov is a Council on Environmental Quality initiative focused on Federal energy and sustainability efforts. Past GreenGov Symposiums brought sustainability leaders and newcomers in the Federal, state, and local government, academic, non-profit and private sectors together to learn from each other, share ideas, and help develop innovative solutions to energy and sustainability challenges in Federal operations. By design, the GreenGov Symposium helps the Federal community save energy, save money, and address sustainability goals and targets under Executive Order 13514, "Federal Leadership in Environmental, Energy, and Economic Performance." Historical information on GreenGov is available at <http://www.whitehouse.gov/greengov>.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 4342, 4344.

Dated: October 29, 2014.

Kate E. Brandt,

Federal Environmental Executive.

[FR Doc. 2014-26046 Filed 10-31-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3225-F5-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Defense Acquisition Regulations System

[Docket Number DARS-2014-0037]

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Defense Acquisition Regulations System, Department of Defense (DoD).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Defense Acquisition Regulations System has submitted to OMB for clearance, the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

DATES: Consideration will be given to all comments received by December 3, 2014.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title, Associated Form, and OMB Number: Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) Part 239, Acquisition of Information Technology, and the associated clauses at 252.239-7000 and 252.239-7006; OMB Control Number 0704-0341.

Type of Request: Extension.

Number of Respondents: 387.

Responses per Respondent: 33.

Annual Responses: 12,915.

Average Burden per Response: approximately 0.6 hour.

Annual Burden Hours: 7,106.

Needs and Uses: This requirement provides for the collection of information from contractors regarding security of information technology; tariffs pertaining to telecommunications services; and proposals from common carriers to perform special construction under contracts for telecommunications services. Contracting officers and other DoD personnel use the information to ensure that information systems are protected; to participate in the establishment of tariffs for telecommunications services; and to establish reasonable prices for special construction by common carriers.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profit entities and not-for-profit institutions.

Frequency: On occasion.

OMB Desk Officer: Ms. Jasmeet Seehra.

Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Ms. Seehra at the Office of Management and Budget, Desk Officer for DoD, Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

You may also submit comments, identified by docket number and title, by the following method:

Federal eRulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name, docket number, and title for the **Federal Register** document. The general policy for comments and other public submissions from members of the public is to make these submissions available for public viewing on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> as they are received without change, including any personal identifiers or contact information provided. To confirm receipt of your comment(s), please check <http://www.regulations.gov> approximately two to three days after submission to verify posting (except allow 30 days for posting of comments submitted by mail).

DoD Clearance Officer: Mr. Frederick C. Licari.

Written requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Mr. Licari at: Publication Collections Program, WHS/ESD Information Management Division, 4800 Mark Center Drive, 2nd Floor, East Tower, Suite 02G09, Alexandria, VA 22350-3100.

Manuel Quinones,

Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations System.

[FR Doc. 2014-26029 Filed 10-31-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Navy

[Docket ID: USN-2014-0022]

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Navy Exchange Service Command (NEXCOM), DON, DoD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the *Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995*, the Navy Exchange Service Command (NEXCOM) announces a proposed public information collection and seeks public comment on the provisions thereof. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; (c) ways to