within 10 days of the publication of the Notice of Initiation.

Please note that if the Department receives a Notice of Intent to Participate from a member of the domestic industry within 15 days of the date of initiation, the review will continue. Thereafter, any interested party wishing to participate in the Sunset Review must provide substantive comments in response to the notice of initiation no later than 30 days after the date of initiation.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: August 18, 2014.

#### Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations. [FR Doc. 2014–20861 Filed 8–29–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# International Trade Administration

[A-549-821]

# Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags From Thailand: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012– 2013

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. SUMMARY: On June 11, 2014, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order polyethylene retail carrier bags (PRCBs) from Thailand.<sup>1</sup> For these final results, we continue to find that subject merchandise has been sold at less than normal value by the companies subject to this review.

DATES: *Effective* September 2, 2014. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sandra Dreisonstok or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0768 and 202–482–1690, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On June 11, 2014, the Department published the *Preliminary Results*. The

period of review (POR) is August 1, 2012, through July 31, 2013.

We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. We received no comments on our *Preliminary Results*. The final weightedaverage dumping margins for the companies subject to this administrative review are listed below in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

The Department conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

## Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the antidumping duty order is PRCBs, which may be referred to as t-shirt sacks, merchandise bags, grocery bags, or checkout bags. The subject merchandise is defined as non-sealable sacks and bags with handles (including drawstrings), without zippers or integral extruded closures, with or without gussets, with or without printing, of polyethylene film having a thickness no greater than 0.035 inch (0.889 mm) and no less than 0.00035 inch (0.00889 mm), and with no length or width shorter than 6 inches (15.24 cm) or longer than 40 inches (101.6 cm). The depth of the bag may be shorter than 6 inches but not longer than 40 inches (101.6 cm).

PRCBs are typically provided without any consumer packaging and free of charge by retail establishments, e.g., grocery, drug, convenience, department, specialty retail, discount stores, and restaurants, to their customers to package and carry their purchased products. The scope of the order excludes (1) polyethylene bags that are not printed with logos or store names and that are closeable with drawstrings made of polyethylene film and (2) polyethylene bags that are packed in consumer packaging with printing that refers to specific end-uses other than packaging and carrying merchandise from retail establishments, e.g., garbage bags, lawn bags, trash-can liners.

As a result of changes to the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS), imports of the subject merchandise are currently classifiable under statistical category 3923.21.0085 of the HTSUS. Furthermore, although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

# **Final Results of Review**

Because no party commented on our *Preliminary Results,* we made no changes to these final results. As a result of our review, we determine that the following weighted-average dumping margins on PRCBs from Thailand exist for the period August 1, 2012, through July 31, 2013:

Company	Weighted- average dumping margin (percent)
Beyond Packaging Co., Ltd Dpac Inter Corporation Co., Ltd. Elite Poly and Packaging Co.,	122.88 4.69
Ltd	4.69
Poly World Co., Ltd	4.69
Triple B Pack Company Limited	4.69
Two Path Plaspack Co., Ltd	4.69

# **Assessment Rates**

In accordance section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review at the rates listed above.

For these final results we continue to rely on adverse facts available to establish Beyond Packaging's weightedaverage dumping margin, and will instruct CBP to apply an *ad valorem* assessment rate of 122.88 percent to all entries of subject merchandise during the POR which were produced and/or exported by Beyond Packaging.

We intend to issue liquidation instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of these final results of review.

#### **Cash Deposit Requirements**

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of these final results of administrative review for all shipments of PRCBs from Thailand entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rates for the reviewed companies will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margins established in these final results of this review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-than-fair-value investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the manufacturer of the merchandise; (4) if neither the exporter

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags From Thailand: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013, 79 FR 33505 (June 11, 2014) (Preliminary Results).

nor the manufacturer has its own rate, the cash deposit rate will be 4.69 percent.<sup>2</sup> These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

# Notifications

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

These final results of administrative review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: August 26, 2014.

# Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2014–20862 Filed 8–29–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### [A-570-890]

# Wooden Bedroom Furniture From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and New Shipper Review; 2012

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On February 26, 2014, the Department of Commerce (the "Department") published the

preliminary results of the eighth administrative review ("AR") and new shipper review ("NSR") of wooden bedroom furniture from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") covering the period of review ("POR") January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012.<sup>1</sup> We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the Preliminary Results. After reviewing interested parties' comments, we made certain changes to our dumping margin calculations for the only participating mandatory respondent in the AR, Hualing Furniture (China) Co., Ltd., Tony House Manufacture (China) Co., Ltd., Buysell Investments Ltd., and Tony House Industries Co., Ltd. (collectively "Tony House Group") and the new shipper Dongguan Chengcheng Co., Ltd. ("Dongguan Chengcheng"). For these final results of the AR, we continue to find that 46 companies, including two of the three mandatory respondents,<sup>2</sup> failed to establish eligibility for separate-rate status and, thus, we treated these companies as part of the PRC-wide entity. We also continue to find that 12 companies, which made no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR and timely filed certifications to that effect, will retain their separate-rate status. The final antidumping duty margins for these reviews are listed below in the "Final Results of the 2012 Administrative Review" and "Final Results of the 2012 New Shipper Review" sections of this notice. **DATES:** *Effective Dates:* September 2,

2014.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Patrick O'Connor, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0989.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On February 26, 2014, the Department published its *Preliminary Results* of the AR and NSR of the antidumping order on wooden bedroom furniture from the PRC covering the period January 1, 2012, through December 31, 2012. In March 2014, interested parties timely submitted surrogate value information in the AR and surrogate value and rebuttal surrogate value information in the NSR. In April 2014, interested parties submitted briefs and rebuttal briefs in both the AR and the NSR.

#### Analysis of the Comments Received

All issues raised in the case briefs are addressed in the memorandum from Gary Taverman, Senior Advisor for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Wooden Bedroom Furniture from the People's Republic of China: Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2012 Administrative Review and New Shipper Review" ("I&D Memorandum"), which is dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice. A list of the issues addressed in the I&D Memorandum is appended to this notice. The I&D Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Services System ("IA ACCESS"). Access to IA ACCESS is available to registered users at http:// *iaaccess.trade.gov* and in the Central Records Unit of the main Commerce Building, Room 7046. In addition, a complete version of the I&D Memorandum is accessible on the Department's Web site at http:// www.trade.gov/enforcement/. The signed I&D Memorandum and electronic version of the I&D Memorandum are identical in content.

## **Changes Since the Preliminary Results**

For the AR, we corrected errors in the financial ratio calculations that we used to calculate the Tony House Group's antidumping duty margin. For the NSR, we selected new surrogate values for multi-density fiberboard and paint, used different financial statements to calculate the financial ratios that we used to calculate Dongguan Chengcheng's antidumping duty margin, and revised the calculation for brokerage and handling.

# Scope of the Order

The product covered by the order is wooden bedroom furniture, subject to certain exceptions. Imports of subject merchandise are currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") subheadings: 9403.50.9042,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Notice of Implementation of Determination Under Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act and Partial Revocation of the Antidumping Duty Order on Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags From Thailand, 75 FR 48940 (August 12, 2010) (Section 129 Determination).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Wooden Bedroom Furniture From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and New Shipper Reviews; 2012, 79 FR 10768 (February 26, 2014) (''Preliminary Results') and accompanying "Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative and New Shipper Review: Wooden Bedroom Furniture from the People's Republic of China" (''Preliminary Decision Memorandum'').

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Those two mandatory respondents are Marvin Furniture (Shanghai) Co., Ltd. ("Marvin Furniture"), and Foliot Furniture Inc./Meubles Foliot Inc. ("Foliot"), Foliot Furniture Corporation, and Foliot Furniture Pacific Inc. (collectively, "the Foliot Group").