B. Draft Agenda and Topics for the Meeting

A copy of a draft agenda is provided in the docket under docket ID number EPA-HQ-OPPT-2014-0516. Members of the public are invited to review and comment during the public comment period at the meeting on the following topics for the one-and-a-half day workshop:

1. What characterizes a substance as being difficult-to-test in aquatic systems (i.e., physical/chemical properties, presence of impurities, etc.)?

2. After a substance is released into the environment, what determines its distribution in the environment? How should this information be used to determine which environmental medium/organism should be tested?

3. What are the advantages and disadvantages of current test methods and approaches for poorly water soluble substances?

• Water accommodated fraction (WAF) methodology.

• Use of solvents.

Role of Static/Semi-static/

Continuous flow-through systems. 4. How can current test methods be changed, or, are there new methods available to better test the toxicity of difficult-to-test substances in the aquatic ecosystem?

C. Web Meeting Access

The workshop will be held via Web connect and teleconferencing for those interested. All registered participants will receive information on how to connect to the workshop prior to its start.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Aquatic toxicity, Business and industry, Chemicals, Ecotoxicity, Health and safety, Industrial chemicals, Unknown or Variable Compositions, Complex Reaction Products and Biological Materials (UVCBs), Water.

Dated: August 22, 2014.

Wendy C. Hamnett,

Director, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics.

[FR Doc. 2014–20500 Filed 8–27–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Information Collection Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission. **ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, and as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520), the Federal Communications Commission (FCC or the Commission) invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection. Comments are requested concerning: Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and ways to further reduce the information collection burden on small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees. The FCC may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the PRA that does not display a valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number.

DATES: Written PRA comments should be submitted on or before October 27, 2014. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all PRA comments to Cathy Williams, FCC, via email *PRA@ fcc.gov* and to *Cathy.Williams@fcc.gov*. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information about the

information collection, contact Cathy Williams at (202) 418–2918. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control No.: 3060–1124.

Title: 80.231, Technical Requirements for Class B Automatic Identification System (AIS) Equipment.

Form No.: Not applicable. *Type of Review:* Extension of a

currently approved collection. *Respondents:* Business or other for-

profit entities.

Number of Respondents: 20 respondents; 50,020 responses.

Éstimated Time per Response: 1 hour per requirement.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement and third party disclosure requirement.

Obligation to Respond: Required to obtain or retain benefits. Statutory authority for this information collection is contained in 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 307(e), 309 and 332 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

Total Annual Burden: 50,020 hours. *Annual Cost Burden:* \$25,000. *Privacy Act Impact Assessment:* No impact(s).

Nature and Extent of Confidentiality: There is no need for confidentiality with this collection of information.

Needs and Uses: On September 19, 2008, the Commission adopted a Second Report and Order, FCC 08-208, which added a new section 80.231, which requires that manufacturers of Class B Automatic Identification Systems (AIS) transmitters for the Marine Radio Service include with each transmitting device a statement explaining how to enter static information accurately and a warning statement that entering inaccurate information is prohibited. The Commission is seeking to extend this collection in order to obtain the full three-year clearance from OMB. Specifically, the information collection requires that manufacturers of AIS transmitters label each transmitting device with the following statement:

WARNING: It is a violation of the rules of the Federal Communications Commission to input an MMSI hat has not been properly assigned to the end user, or to otherwise input any inaccurate data in this device.

Additionally, prior to submitting a certification application (FCC Form 731, OMB Control Number 3060–0057) for a Class B AIS device, the following information must be submitted in duplicate to the Commandant (CG-521), U.S. Coast Guard, 2100 2nd Street SW., Washington, DC 20593-0001: (1) The name of the manufacturer or grantee and the model number of the AIS device; and (2) copies of the test report and test data obtained from the test facility showing that the device complies with the environmental and operational requirements identified in IEC 62287-1. After reviewing the information described in the certification application, the U.S. Coast Guard will issue a letter stating whether the AIS device satisfies all of the requirements specified in IEC 62287-1. A certification application for an AIS device submitted to the Commission must contain a copy of the U.S. Coast Guard letter stating that the device satisfies all of the requirements specified in IEC-62287-1, a copy of the technical test data and the instruction manual(s).

These reporting and third party disclosure requirements aid the Commission monitoring advance marine vessel tracking and navigation information transmitted from Class B AIS devices to ensure that they are accurate and reliable, while promoting marine safety.

Federal Communications Commission. Gloria J. Miles,

Federal Register Liaison, Office of the Secretary, Office of the Managing Director. [FR Doc. 2014–20432 Filed 8–27–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied to the Board for approval, pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1841 *et seq.*) (BHC Act), Regulation Y (12 CFR part 225), and all other applicable statutes and regulations to become a bank holding company and/or to acquire the assets or the ownership of, control of, or the power to vote shares of a bank or bank holding company and all of the banks and nonbanking companies owned by the bank holding company, including the companies listed below.

The applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The applications will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)). If the proposal also involves the acquisition of a nonbanking company, the review also includes whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company complies with the standards in section 4 of the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1843). Unless otherwise noted, nonbanking activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than September 24, 2014.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia (William Lang, Senior Vice President) 100 North 6th Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19105– 1521:

1. *Beneficial Bancorp, Inc.,* Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 100 percent of the voting shares of Beneficial Mutual Savings Bank, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, and all of its nonbanking subsidiaries, upon its conversion to a bank.

In connection with this proposal, Beneficial Savings Bank MHC, and Beneficial Mutual Bancorp, Inc., both in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, will convert stock form and merge with Beneficial Bancorp, Inc., Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

B. Federal Reserve Bank of New York (Ivan Hurwitz, Vice President) 33 Liberty Street, New York, New York 10045–0001:

1. CIT Group Inc., Livingston, New Jersey, and its subsidiary, Carbon Merger Sub LLC, New York, New York; to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares of, and thereby merge with, IMB HoldCo LLC, and thereby indirectly acquire voting shares of OneWest Bank, N.A., both in Pasadena, California. In addition, Carbon Merger Sub LLC also has applied to a become bank holding company.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, August 25, 2014.

Michael J. Lewandowski,

Associate Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. 2014–20497 Filed 8–27–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6210–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

[Document Identifier: HHS–OS0990–new– 60D]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Public Comment Request

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, HHS. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Office of the Secretary (OS), Department of Health and Human Services, announces plans to submit a new Information Collection Request (ICR), described below; to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Prior to submitting that ICR to OMB, OS seeks comments from the public regarding the burden estimate, below, or any other aspect of the ICR.

DATES: Comments on the ICR must be received on or before October 27, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments to *Information.CollectionClearance*@ *hhs.gov* or by calling (202) 690–6162.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Information Collection Clearance staff, Information.CollectionClearance@ hhs.gov or (202) 690–6162. **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** When submitting comments or requesting information, please include the document identifier HHS–OS–0990–New–60D for reference.

Comment Due Date: Comments regarding this information collection are best assured of having their full effect if received within 60 days of the date of this publication.

Information Collection Request Title: Tissue and Organ Donor Epidemiology Study (TODES), OMB # 0990-New request, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health.

Abstract: This Study is a request for a new data collection OMB Number: 0990-New TODES is being conducted in order to better understand the impact of donor screening and selection procedures, and to determine the extent of donor-donation level data that are collected for organ and tissue (including ocular) donors. The data that are obtained from Organ Procurement Organizations (OPOs) and Eye Banks will provide a better characterization of the deceased donor pool; information regarding data management and storage practices; and a measure of the degree of standardization of data collected by various organizations across the U.S. TODES may provide better estimates of the risk of HIV, HBV and HCV infections associated with organ and tissue transplantation and the potential for disease transmission; illustrate differences in laboratory screening methods and the impact of protocol variations; and serve as a pilot for future studies. This retrospective study will provide a framework for future, prospective studies of organ and tissue donors that could inform policy decisions regarding donor qualification procedures and, potentially, increase the donor pool.

A workshop in June 2005 ("Preventing Organ and Tissue Allograft-Transmitted Infection: Priorities for Public Health Intervention") identified gaps in organ and tissue safety in the United States.1 Participants developed a series of allograft safety initiatives, assessed progress, and identified priorities for future interventions. Despite progress, improved recognition and prevention of donor-derived transmission events is needed. It was concluded that this requires systems integration across the organ and tissue transplantation communities including organ procurement organizations, eye and tissue banks, and transplant infectious disease experts. Commitment of resources and improved coordination of efforts are required to develop essential