Amount of Peak Load Already Served by Federal Hydropower

Ak-Chin Indian Community;

- Central Arizona Irrigation & Drainage District;
- City of Maricopa, Arizona;
- City of Mesa, Ārizona;
- City of Mesquite, Nevada;
- City of Needles, California;
- City of Page, Arizona Water Utility;
- City of Williams, Arizona;
- Colorado River Indian Tribes;
- Fort Mohave Indian Tribe;
- Hohokam Irrigation & Drainage District;
- Hyder Valley Irrigation & Water **Delivery District;**
- Maricopa-Standfield Irrigation & Drainage District;
- Moapa Band of Paiutes; Moapa Valley Water District;
- Mt. Wheeler Power Inc.;
- New Magma Irrigation & Drainage District;
- Nye County, Nevada;
- Paloma Irrigation & Drainage District;
- San Carlos Apache Tribe;
- State of Nevada Department of Veterans Sevices:
- Town of Fredonia, Arizona;
- Town of Gilbert, Arizona;
- Virgin Valley Water District;
- White Mountain Apache Tribe.

Potential Allocation Does Not Meet 100 kW Minimum

Arizona Rural Irrigation District Pooling Parties:

Avra Water Co-op, Inc.;

- Board of Regents, Nevada State College;
- City of Sedona, Arizona;
- City of Sierra Vista, Arizona;
- Desert Research Institute;
- Division of Museums & History, Lost City Museum;
- Ewiiaapaayp Band of Kumeyaay Indians;
- Grover's Hill Irrigation District;
- Havasupai Tribe;
- Henderson District Public Libraries;
- Mohave Valley Irrigation & Dainage District;
- State of Nevada Department of Administration;
- State of Nevada Department of Employment;
- State of Nevada Department of Health & Human Services;
- State of Nevada Department of Transportation;
- State of Nevada Division of State Parks & Division of Forestry;
- State of Nevada, Office of the Military; Yavapai-Apache Nation.

Two applicants did not provide sufficient historical load information to receive further consideration for an allocation and three applicants did not meet the general eligibility

requirements. The Red Rock Agricultural Improvement and Power District Irrigation Water Delivery District of Pinal County, and the Pauma Band of Mission Indians failed to submit suitable historical load information for consideration in their application. The Silverbell Irrigation and Drainage District is a direct allottee of the APA for BCP power and therefore is not considered a new allottee eligible for Schedule D. The San Carlos Irrigation Project and the Department of **Energy National Nuclear Security** Administration are Federal agencies and are not considered eligible under Section 5 of the BCPA.

## **Regulatory Procedure Requirements**

Determination Under Executive Order 12866

Western has an exemption from centralized regulatory review under Executive Order 12866; accordingly, no clearance of this notice by the Office of Management and Budget is required.

Environmental Compliance

In accordance with the DOE National Environmental Policy Act Implementing Procedures (10 CFR 1021), Western has determined that these actions fit within a class of action B4.1 Contracts, policies, and marketing and allocation plans for electric power, in Appendix B to Subpart D to Part 1021-Categorical **Exclusions Applicable to Specific** Agency Actions.

Dated: July 30, 2014.

Mark A. Gabriel, Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2014-18797 Filed 8-7-14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

# DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

#### Western Area Power Administration

## Pick-Sloan Missouri Basin Program— Eastern Division-Rate Order No. **WAPA-166**

**AGENCY:** Western Area Power Administration, DOE. **ACTION:** Notice of proposed power rates.

SUMMARY: The Western Area Power Administration (Western) Pick-Sloan Missouri Basin Program—Eastern Division (P–SMBP–ED) Rate Schedules P-SED-F11 and P-SED-FP11 for firm power and firm peaking power service expire December 31, 2014. Western is proposing modifications to the charge components in Rate Schedules P-SED-F11 and P-SED-FP11 in order to true up the base and drought adder charges, which require a minor rate adjustment

process. The revenue requirement and the total rate charges in the firm power and firm peaking power service rate schedules are not changing from Rate Schedules P-SED-F11 and P-SED-FP11 and will continue to provide sufficient revenue to pay all annual costs, including interest expense, and repay investments within the allowable periods. If approved, the proposed Rate Schedules P–SED–F12 and P–SED–FP12 will become effective on January 1, 2015, and will remain in effect through December 31, 2019. Publication of this Federal Register notice begins the formal process for the proposed rate schedules.

**DATES:** The consultation and comment period will begin today and will end September 8, 2014. Western will accept written comments any time during the consultation and comment period. **ADDRESSES:** Written comments and requests to be informed of Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) actions concerning the rates submitted by Western to FERC for approval should be sent to: Mr. Robert J. Harris, Regional Manager, Upper Great Plains Region, Western Area Power Administration, 2900 4th Avenue North, Billings, MT 59101–1266, or email ugpfirmrate@ wapa.gov. Information about the rate process is posted on Western's Web site at http://www.wapa.gov/ugp/rates/2015 firmrateadjust. Western will post official comments received via letter and email to its Web site after the close of the comment period. Western must receive written comments by the end of the consultation and comment period to ensure they are considered in Western's decision process.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Linda Cady-Hoffman, Rates Manager, Upper Great Plains Region, Western Area Power Administration, 2900 4th Avenue North, Billings, MT 59101-1266, telephone: (406) 255–2920, email: cady@wapa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: OnDecember 14, 2009, the Deputy Secretary of Energy approved, on an interim basis, Rate Order No. WAPA-147 and Rate Schedules P–SED–F11 and P–SED–FP11 for the period beginning January 1, 2010, and ending December 31, 2014 (74 FR 67197-67204 (Dec. 18, 2009)).<sup>1</sup> The existing P-SMBP-ED charges in the current rate schedules for firm power and firm peaking power continue to provide sufficient revenue

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> FERC confirmed and approved Rate Order WAPA-147 on a final basis on September 10, 2010, in Docket No. EF10-2-000. See United States Department of Energy, Western Area Power Administration (Pick-Sloan Missouri Basin Program—Eastern Division), 132 FERC ¶ 62,159.

to meet the P–SMBP—ED repayment obligations. The total annual revenue requirement for P–SMBP—ED remains \$320.2 million for firm power and firm peaking power service, and the overall capacity and energy charges are not changing, as indicated in the following Table 1:

TABLE 1—COMPARISON OF EXISTING AND PROPOSED RATES	3
---	---

Firm power service	Existing charges under rates effective (January 1, 2010) P–SED– F11/P–SED– FP11 PP12 Proposed charges under (January 1, 2015) P–SED– F12/P–SED– F12/P		Percent change (%)	
P–SMBP—ED Revenue Requirement (millions \$) P–SMBP—ED Composite Rate (mills/kWh) Firm Capacity (\$/kW-month)	\$320.2 33.25 \$7.65	\$320.2 33.25 \$7.65	000	
Firm Capacity (\$/kW-month) Firm Energy (mills/kWh) Firm Peaking Capacity (\$/kW-month) Firm Peaking Energy (mills/kWh) 1/	\$7.65 19.05 \$6.90 19.05	\$7.65 19.05 \$6.90 19.05	0 0 0	

1 Firm Peaking Energy is normally returned. This charge will be assessed in the event Firm Peaking Energy is not returned.

Under the current rate methodology, rates for P–SMBP—ED firm power and firm peaking power service are designed to recover an annual revenue requirement that includes investment repayment, interest, purchase power, operation and maintenance, and other expenses within the allowable period.

Western is proposing to true up the base and drought adder components of the rate schedules and place new rate schedules into effect for the 5-year period, beginning January 1, 2015, through December 31, 2019. The proposed true up updates the base components to represent present costs and lowers the drought adder components to represent present drought costs. Over the past 5-year rate period, the P–SMBP costs included in the drought adder have decreased as the actual deficits taken were less than projected when the current charges were placed into effect. Additionally, there have been drought costs repaid ahead of schedule which decreased the drought deficit interest expense. Base costs increased during that same period due to a new 5-year cost evaluation period, new investments and replacements, and inflationary costs. A comparison of the current and proposed components is listed in Table 2.

	Existing charge F11 and P-SE	es under rate sche ED-FP11 as of Ja	edules P-SED- inuary 1, 2010	Proposed Charges under rate schedules P–SED–F12 and P–SED–FP12 as of January 1, 2015			
	Base component	Drought adder component	Total charge			Total charge	
Firm Capacity (/kWmonth) Firm Energy (mills/kWh) Firm Peaking Capacity (\$/kWmonth) Firm Peaking Energy (mills/kWh) 1/	\$3.80 9.53 \$3.45 9.53	\$3.85 9.52 \$3.45 9.52	\$7.65 19.05 \$6.90 19.05	\$4.90 12.33 \$4.45 12.26	\$2.75 6.72 \$2.45 6.79	\$7.65 19.05 \$6.90 19.05	

1/Firm peaking energy is normally returned. This charge will be assessed in the event firm peaking energy is not returned.

Over the past 5 years, Western has conducted its annual process of reviewing the sufficiency of the drought adder. With the rate schedules set to expire December 31, 2014, and the requirement of a public process to place new rate schedules into effect, Western proposes to true up the base and drought adder components in the new rate schedules to current values. Updating the components does not change the overall charges. It only identifies what portion of the charge is driven by base and drought adder components.

### Legal Authority

The proposed modifications to the rate schedules for firm power and firm peaking power service, resulting in a true up of the base and drought adder components described above, constitute a minor rate adjustment, as defined by 10 CFR part 903.2(f). Western determined it is not necessary to hold a public information or public comment forum and, instead, held an informal public meeting via webinar for this minor rate adjustment, pursuant to 10 CFR 903.17. Western will review all timely public comments and make amendments or adjustments to the proposal as appropriate. The proposed rate schedules will be forwarded to the Deputy Secretary of Energy for approval on an interim basis.

Western is establishing firm power and firm peaking power service rates for P–SMBP—ED under the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7152); the Reclamation Act of 1902 (ch. 1093, 32 Stat. 388), as amended and supplemented by subsequent laws, particularly section 9(c) of the Reclamation Project Act of 1939 (43 U.S.C. 485h(c)) and section 5 of the Flood Control Act of 1944 (16 U.S.C. 825s); and other acts that specifically apply to the projects involved.

By Delegation Order No. 00–037.00A, effective October 25, 2013, the Secretary of Energy delegated: (1) The authority to develop power and transmission rates to Western's Administrator; (2) the authority to confirm, approve, and place such rates into effect on an interim basis to the Deputy Secretary of Energy; and (3) the authority to confirm, approve, and place into effect on a final basis, to remand or to disapprove such rates to FERC. Existing DOE procedures for public participation in power rate adjustments (10 CFR part 903) were published on September 18, 1985.

## **Availability of Information**

All studies, comments, letters, memorandums, or other documents that Western initiates or uses to develop the proposed rates are available for inspection and copying at the Upper Great Plains Regional Office, located at 2900 4th Avenue North, Billings, Montana. Many of these documents and supporting information are also available on Western's Web site under the "2015 Firm Rate Adjustment" section located at http://www.wapa.gov/ ugp/rates/2015firmrateadjust.

Western held an informal public meeting on May 2, 2014, via webinar to inform customers, Native American tribes, stakeholders, and other interested parties about the proposed minor rate adjustment. The webinar was recorded and posted to the above referenced Western Web site.

#### **Ratemaking Procedure Requirements**

Environmental Compliance

In compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, 42 U.S.C. 4321, *et seq.;* the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing NEPA (40 CFR parts 1500–1508); and DOE NEPA Implementing Procedures and Guidelines (10 CFR part 1021), Western is in the process of determining whether an environmental assessment or an environmental impact statement should be prepared or if this action can be categorically excluded from those requirements.

Determination Under Executive Order 12866

Western has an exemption from centralized regulatory review under Executive Order 12866; accordingly, no clearance of this notice by the Office of Management and Budget is required.

Dated: July 23, 2014.

Mark A. Gabriel,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2014–18788 Filed 8–7–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-R09-OAR-2014-0485; FRL-9914-85-Region 9]

## Adequacy Status of Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets in Submitted 8-Hour Ozone Attainment Plan for Sacramento Metro; California

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice of adequacy.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is notifying the public that the Agency has found that the motor vehicle emissions budgets (MVEBs) for ozone for the years 2014, 2017, and 2018 in the *Sacramento Regional 8-Hour Ozone Attainment Plan* 

and Reasonable Further Progress Plan, 2013 SIP Revisions ("2013 Sacramento Ozone Plan'') are adequate for transportation conformity purposes. The 2013 Sacramento Ozone Plan was submitted to EPA on December 31, 2013 by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) as a revision to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) and includes an attainment demonstration for the 1997 8-hour ozone national ambient air quality standard. Upon the effective date of this notice of adequacy, the Sacramento Area Council of Governments and the U.S. Department of Transportation must use the MVEBs for future conformity determinations.

**DATES:** This finding is effective August 25, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Ungvarsky, U.S. EPA, Region IX, Air Division AIR–2, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901, (415) 972–3963 or *ungvarsky.john@epa.gov*.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, whenever "we," "us," or "our" is used, we mean EPA.

Today's notice is simply an announcement of a finding that we have already made. EPA sent a letter to CARB on July 25, 2014 stating that the MVEBs for oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>X</sub>) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in the submitted 2013 Sacramento Ozone Plan for the years of 2014, 2017, and 2018 are adequate. The adequate MVEBs are provided in the following table:

MOTOR VEHICLE EMISSIONS BUDGETS IN THE 2013 SACRAMENTO OZONE PLAN

	NO <sub>x</sub>			VOC		
Budget year	2014	2017	2018	2014	2017	2018
Tons per average summer weekday	49	39	37	23	18	17

Receipt of the MVEBs in the 2013 Sacramento Ozone Plan was announced on EPA's transportation conformity Web site on May 20, 2014. We received no comments in response to the adequacy review posting. The finding is available at EPA's transportation conformity Web site: http://www.epa.gov/otaq/state resources/transconf/adequacy.htm.

Transportation conformity is required by Clean Air Act section 176(c). EPA's conformity rule requires that transportation plans, transportation improvement programs, and projects conform to SIPs and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do conform. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's MVEBs are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4) which was promulgated in our August 15, 1997 final rule (62 FR 43780, 43781–43783). We have further described our process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in our July 1, 2004 final rule (69 FR 40004, 40038), and we used the information in these resources in making our adequacy determination. Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review, and should not be used to prejudge EPA's ultimate approval action for the SIP. Even if we

find a budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: July 25, 2014.

#### Jared Blumenfeld,

Regional Administrator, Region IX. [FR Doc. 2014–18819 Filed 8–7–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P