

Example 1: Same Side ABBO Terminates the Liquidity Refresh Pause Early

	Bid	Ask
ABBO	1.00 (10)	1.14 (10)
MIAX Book:		
PLMM ⁶	1.00 (10)	1.10 (10)
LMM 1	1.00 (10)	1.12 (10)
LMM 2	1.00 (10)	1.15 (10)
RMM 1	1.00 (10)	1.16 (10)

• Order 1: Buy limit of 1.13 for 20 contracts with a price protection instruction of 3 MPVs.⁷

- NBBO at time of Order 1's arrival = 1.00 (50) x 1.10 (10).
- Order 1 is price protected at 1.13 (which is 1.10 + 3 MPV = 1.13).
- Order 1 trades 10 contracts with PLMM @1.10.
- Liquidity Refresh Pause is triggered because the MBO of 1.10⁸ was alone at NBBO and PLMM's 1.10 offer was exhausted.
 - New MBBO = 1.10 (10) x 1.12 (10).⁹
 - ABB updates to 1.12 for 10 contracts; ABBO = 1.12 (10) x 1.14 (10).
 - Liquidity Refresh Pause is terminated early due to the ABB crossing the original NBO of 1.10.
 - Because no responses to the Liquidity Refresh Pause were received before it terminated early, Order 1 trades 10 contracts with LMM1 @1.12, after which Order 1 has been fully executed.
 - New MBBO: 1.00 (40) x 1.15 (10). New NBBO: 1.12 (10) x 1.14 (10).

III. Discussion and Commission Findings

The Commission has carefully reviewed the proposed rule change and finds that it is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange.¹⁰ In

⁶ A "PLMM" is a MIAX Primary Lead Market Maker; an "LMM" is a MIAX Lead Market Maker; and an "RMM" is a MIAX Registered Market Maker.

⁷ Executions of non-market maker orders on MIAX are subject to the "price protection" provisions of Exchange Rule 515(c)(1). Price protection prevents an order from being executed beyond the price designated in the order's price protection instructions, which are expressed in units of "MPV" away from the NBBO at the time of the order's receipt, or the MBBO if the ABBO is crossing the MBBO. (The MPV is the minimum price variation, or minimum increment, by which bids and offers may be separated.) Market participants may designate or disable price protection instructions on an order by order basis. The default price protection is one MPV. When triggered, price protection cancels an order or the remaining contracts of an order.

⁸ "MBO" and "MBB" refer to the two components of the MBBO separately. "NBB" and "NBO" and "ABB" and "ABO" and are the equivalent conventions used for components of the ABBO and MBBO separately.

⁹ The remaining 10 contracts of Order 1 to buy are posted at 1.10 (the price at which the first 10 contracts were bought), which becomes the new MBB. Note that the new MBO is displayed as non-firm. See *supra* note 4.

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f. In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission has considered the

particular, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,¹¹ which requires, among other things, that the rules of a national securities exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. The Commission believes that the proposal is reasonable in its rationale that terminating the Liquidity Refresh Pause, when the ABBO on the same side of the market as the initiating order crosses the original NBBO price on the opposite side of the market, could allow interest in the Liquidity Refresh Pause to execute, because a move of this kind in the ABBO indicates that conditions may be changing so as to render the initiating order and same side orders/quotes no longer marketable. Terminating the Liquidity Refresh Pause early and permitting normal trading to resume may thus provide an opportunity for the broker routing the initiating order or any remainder thereof to further pursue an execution, assuming that subsequent responses to the Liquidity Refresh Pause would be unlikely when the ABBO moves in such a manner, even if the pause were to run its full course.

IV. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,¹² that the proposed rule change (SR-MIAX-2014-28), is approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹³

Kevin M. O'Neill,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014-18745 Filed 8-7-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

¹² 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

¹³ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-72752; File No. SR-OCC-2014-17]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; The Options Clearing Corporation; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change To Permit OCC To Adjust the Size of Its Clearing Fund Intra-Month and Clearing Member's Clearing Fund Contributions Intra-Month

August 4, 2014.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on July 24, 2014, The Options Clearing Corporation ("OCC") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by OCC.³ The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Clearing Agency's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

OCC proposes to amend its Rules to permit OCC to increase the size of its clearing fund intra-month based upon observed changes in OCC's projected exposure and on an emergency basis. In addition, the proposed change provide [sic] that under certain circumstances OCC will increase a clearing member's required contribution to OCC's clearing fund intra-month.

II. Clearing Agency's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, OCC included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. OCC has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of these statements.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ OCC also filed the proposals in this proposed rule change as an advance notice under Section 806(e)(1) of Title VIII of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act entitled the Payment, Clearing, and Settlement Supervision Act of 2010. See SR-OCC-2014-804; 12 U.S.C. 5465(e)(1).

(A) Clearing Agency's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

OCC is proposing to modify Rule 1001, which concerns the sizing of OCC's clearing fund and the allocation of clearing member contributions thereto. First, by adding Interpretation and Policy .05, Rule 1001 would be revised to permit OCC to increase the size of its clearing fund intra-month based upon observed changes in OCC's projected exposure or on an emergency basis. Second, by adding Interpretation and Policy .06, Rule 1001 would be revised to permit increases to a clearing member's required contribution to the clearing fund at any time, including between regular monthly calculations, under certain circumstances. Rule 1001(b) and 1001(f) would also be revised to clarify certain terminology relating to the calculation of clearing fund contributions, and an Interpretation and Policy would be added to Article VIII, Section 2 of the By-Laws to clarify that this section, which addresses rule changes that increase a clearing member's required clearing fund contributions, does not apply to actions taken under Interpretations and Policies .05 or .06 to Rule 1001. This proposed rule change was also filed as an Advance Notice filing (SR-OCC-2014-804).

Background

The primary purpose of OCC's clearing fund is to provide a high degree of assurance that market integrity will be maintained in the event that one or more clearing members fails to meet its obligations to OCC.⁴ The clearing fund can also be used to meet the obligations resulting from the default of any bank or securities or commodities clearing organization to which OCC is exposed. The clearing fund supplements the financial safeguards afforded by OCC's membership standards and margin requirements.

Currently, the size of the clearing fund is adjusted monthly. On each business day OCC calculates its hypothetical exposure, at a confidence level of at least 99%, under simulated default scenarios that include an "idiosyncratic default" of a single clearing member group⁵ and a "minor systemic event" involving the near-simultaneous default of two random

clearing members. OCC then treats the greater of these two hypothetical exposures as that day's projected peak exposure. OCC also computes the projected draws from the clearing fund that would be necessary in connection with each business day's projected peak exposure. To determine the overall size of the clearing fund, on the first business day of each month, OCC averages these daily projected clearing fund draws over the prior month and uses that average as the required size of the clearing fund for that month. However, notwithstanding this calculation, in no event will the size of the clearing fund be set at less than 110% of the size of OCC's committed credit facilities secured by the clearing fund, in order to assure that at all times OCC will have collateral to pledge sufficient to draw the entire amount of such facilities. OCC publishes the new clearing fund requirement on the first business day of each month and clearing members have five business days to meet the new requirement.⁶

The foregoing calculations and the allocations among clearing members are based on the prescribed formulas included in Rules 1001(a) and 1001(b), respectively, as supplemented by Interpretation and Policy .01 to Rule 1001. These formulas were adopted pursuant to a rule change effective April 18, 2013.⁷ The Rules do not, however, provide for increases to the overall size of the clearing fund between such monthly adjustments, nor do the Rules provide for adjustments to a clearing member's required contribution between such monthly calculations.

Proposed Change To Authorize Certain Adjustments to the Total Size of the Clearing Fund and Individual Clearing Members' Required Contributions

In order to mitigate the risks of an underfunding of the clearing fund, the proposed changes to the Rules would provide OCC with the authority to increase the total size of the clearing fund intra-month upon a significant and sustained increase in exposure based on daily projected clearing fund draw calculations, as described above, and on an emergency basis for the protection of OCC or in the public interest. The proposed changes would also provide OCC with the authority to increase a clearing member's required clearing fund contribution under certain circumstances reflecting a change in the

clearing member's financial condition or risk profile.

Adjustments to the Overall Size of the Clearing Fund

OCC would have the authority to increase the overall size of the clearing fund intra-month in the event that the five-day rolling average of the projected draws against the clearing fund are 150% or more of the size of the clearing fund. This threshold is intended to ensure that intra-month increases in clearing fund size are limited to occasions in which the increase in exposure is significant and prolonged. Based on OCC staff's analysis of historical clearing fund data beginning in July 2011, the use of this 150% threshold would have resulted in only four changes to the clearing fund's size during this period, one of which related to firm-specific changes and three of which related to increased volatility prior to and during the events related to the downgrade of the U.S. Government's credit rating and the ongoing debt crisis of that period. In the event that the 150% threshold is exceeded over a five-day period, OCC's Executive Chairman or President would have the authority to approve an increase in the clearing fund's size. The Risk Committee of OCC's Board would be informed of such officer's determination as soon as practicable. OCC would also provide notification to the SEC and CFTC in the same manner as if an emergency waiver or suspension of OCC's Rules occurred.⁸

The Risk Committee would also be permitted to approve an increase in the clearing fund's size on an emergency basis upon its determination that such action is necessary for the protection of OCC or in the public interest, and OCC would then provide notification to the Board of Directors, SEC and CFTC in the same manner as if an emergency waiver or suspension of OCC's Rules occurred.⁹ OCC believes that these processes ensure proper management and board-level oversight regarding decisions to increase the clearing fund size.

Upon an intra-month increase in the clearing fund's size, clearing members would generally be given two business

⁴ See OCC's By-Laws Article IX, Section 14.

⁹ In recommending that the Risk Committee approve an emergency increase in the size of the clearing fund, OCC would follow the process set forth in OCC's By-Laws Article IX, Section 14 in that the Executive Chairman, Management Vice Chairman or President, in his, her or their judgment, would determine that: (1) An emergency exists, and (2) such an increase is necessary or advisable for the protection of OCC or otherwise in the public interest.

⁴ See, Article VIII, Section 1 of OCC's By-Laws which sets forth the purpose of the clearing fund.

⁵ A Clearing Member Group is a clearing member and any other clearing member that is affiliated with such clearing member. See Article 1, Section 1.C.(15) of OCC's By-Laws.

⁶ See OCC Rules 1002 and 1003, respectively.

⁷ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 69403 (April 18, 2013), 78 FR 24257 (April 24, 2013) (SR-OCC-2013-02).

days to satisfy any deficit,¹⁰ and the increase would generally remain in effect until the next regular monthly calculation occurs unless the Risk Committee determines that a further increase is warranted or the 150% threshold is triggered more than once during the same month. The foregoing changes to OCC's Rules would not affect the basic clearing fund methodology as previously approved by the SEC, nor would they affect allocation of the clearing fund among clearing members.¹¹ OCC has discussed the proposed changes with its Financial Risk Advisory Council, a working group consisting of representatives of clearing members and exchanges formed by OCC to review and comment on various risk management proposals. They additionally were discussed with the OCC Operations Roundtable, also consisting of representatives of clearing members and exchanges, which considers operational efficiencies and improvements. No concerns were raised by either working group during the course of these discussions.

Adjustments to Individual Clearing Members' Required Contributions

The proposed rule change would also permit OCC to increase individual clearing members' required clearing fund contributions in three circumstances. The first circumstance exists when a clearing member's required clearing fund contribution exceeds its net capital.¹² In this situation, the clearing member is placed on OCC's "Watch Level III" surveillance, which is used to assess a clearing member's ability to meet a call to replenish its clearing fund

¹⁰ Intra-month clearing fund adjustments will only occur in limited circumstances and will be due to certain events that could materially affect the overall liquidity of OCC. Based on feedback OCC received from clearing members, OCC believes that providing a clearing member with one day to absorb the increase, including determining the most effective manner in which to collateralize the increase, and a second day to fund the increase strikes the proper balance between effective risk management and not causing material disruptions to a clearing member's business.

¹¹ In particular, the contributions of futures-only affiliated clearing members and clearing members depositing the required minimum clearing fund contribution, respectively, would not be adjusted in connection with any increase in the clearing fund size.

¹² In this context, and for clearing members that are registered broker-dealers, net capital means net capital computed in accordance with Securities Exchange Act Rule 15c3-1. For clearing members that are futures commission merchants, net capital means adjusted net capital computed in accordance with CFTC Regulation Section 1.17 and for Canadian clearing members, net capital means risk-adjusted capital computed in accordance with Investment Industry Regulatory Organization of Canada Rule 17.1.

contribution and requires certain OCC executive officers to consider protective measures with respect to such clearing member. One such protective measure would be to add the amount of such excess to the clearing member's required clearing fund contribution, thereby funding such difference in advance of the regular monthly calculation.¹³ No subsequent adjustment thereunder would be permitted under the applicable Rule provision until the earlier of the next adjustment of the clearing fund (either as a result of the next monthly adjustment or as a result of an increase in the total clearing fund pursuant to the rule changes described above) or the next required reporting of the clearing member's net capital.

The second circumstance is the merger or consolidation of two or more clearing members, in which case the variable amount of the required clearing fund contribution for the surviving clearing member would be adjusted so that it equals the sum of such amount for the surviving clearing member and the total of such amounts for all other clearing members involved in the transaction. Such adjusted amount would be substituted for the variable amount previously calculated for the surviving clearing member.

The third circumstance is the transfer of positions between clearing members, in which case OCC would be able to adjust the clearing fund contributions of the transferor clearing member and the transferee clearing member after giving effect to the transfer, subject to the agreement of the two clearing members. The amount of such adjustment would affect the variable amount of each clearing member's required clearing fund contribution and, irrespective of the amount or size of the positions transferred, each clearing member would continue to be required to maintain OCC's minimum clearing fund contribution.

Proposed Changes to By-Laws and Rules

OCC is proposing to add an Interpretation and Policy .05 under Rule 1001 to provide for the authority to increase the size of the clearing fund on an intra-month basis. Subparagraph (a) of proposed Interpretation and Policy .05 would authorize OCC's Executive Chairman or President, or the Risk Committee, to increase the clearing fund size as described above (*i.e.*, based on

¹³ Pursuant to Interpretation and Policy .01 to Article VIII, Section 5 of OCC's By-Laws, a clearing member's clearing fund contribution is used to determine the clearing member's share of any clearing fund deficiency resulting from a clearing member insolvency.

the daily calculations or by the Risk Committee on an emergency basis).

Proposed Interpretation and Policy .06 under Rule 1001 would provide for the authority to increase individual clearing members' required clearing fund contributions in certain circumstances. Subparagraph (a) would provide for an increase when a clearing member's required clearing fund contribution exceeds its net capital, subparagraph (b) would provide for an increase in the event of a merger or consolidation involving clearing members and subparagraph (c) would provide for an increase in the event of a transfer of positions between clearing members, in each case as described above.

Subparagraph (b) of proposed Interpretation and Policy .05 and subparagraphs (a), (b) and (c) of proposed Interpretation and Policy .06 would provide that if the total size of the clearing fund size or an individual clearing member's required contribution is increased, as applicable, the variable amount would be increased accordingly for each clearing member, and this increase would be effective for all purposes under OCC's By-Laws and Rules, including each clearing member's required contribution in the event the clearing fund is fully depleted in connection with the insolvency of a clearing member.¹⁴ However, subparagraph (b) of proposed Interpretation and Policy .05 and subparagraphs (a), (b) and (c) of proposed Interpretation and Policy .06 would specify that the variable amount would remain subject to nonstandard calculations for futures-only affiliated clearing members and clearing members that have deposited the minimum required clearing fund contribution.

An example will illustrate the manner in which the total size of the clearing fund and individual clearing members' contributions could be adjusted pursuant to Interpretation and Policy .05 to Rule 1001. The example assumes that OCC's total clearing fund requirement is \$1 million divided among five clearing members. Member One has the minimum requirement of \$150,000, Member Two has a requirement of \$212,500 and the other three comprise the remainder in differing amounts. If OCC determined, based on the most recent five-day rolling average of clearing fund draws, that it should resize the fund to \$1.5 million, Member One would maintain the minimum requirement of \$150,000 and the other four members would be

¹⁴ See Interpretation and Policy .01 to Article VIII, Section 5 of OCC's By-Laws.

assessed the incremental amount totaling \$500,000. Member Two would be assessed \$125,000 because the firm's *pro rata* share of the original clearing fund requirement excluding Member One's minimum requirement equaled 25%, *i.e.*, \$212,500 divided by \$850,000. Member Two's new clearing fund requirement would be \$337,500 until the next clearing fund sizing calculation. The other three members would be assessed their share of the remaining \$375,000 (using a denominator of \$850,000 as with Member Two) so that the total clearing fund requirement of \$1.5 million is satisfied.

Subparagraph (c) of proposed Interpretation and Policy .05 and subparagraph (d) of proposed Interpretation and Policy .06 would provide that as soon as practicable after any increase in the total size of the clearing fund size or an individual clearing member's required contribution, as applicable, OCC would provide notice to the affected clearing members, and such clearing members would be required to satisfy their deficits within two business days of such notice in the case of adjustments pursuant to Interpretation and Policy .05 and one business day in the case of adjustments pursuant to Interpretation and Policy .06. If, however, any deficit would be required to be satisfied on the first, second, third or fourth business day of a calendar month, it may instead be satisfied by the fifth business day of the calendar month. These subparagraphs also set forth that a resulting change in a clearing member's contribution to the clearing fund due to an increase in the clearing fund's size or an individual adjustment will be reflected on one or more reports made available by OCC, but that OCC will not revise the clearing member's Clearing Fund Statement.

Subparagraph (d) of proposed Interpretation and Policy .06 would further specify that OCC may require any deficit resulting from a merger of clearing members or the transfer of positions between clearing members to be satisfied prior to the occurrence of the merger or transfer. Moreover, subparagraph (e) of proposed Interpretation and Policy .06 would clarify that the individual adjustments under subparagraphs (a), (b), and (c) of proposed Interpretation and Policy .06 may result in an adjustment to the total size of the clearing fund.

To enhance the readability of the new Interpretations and Policies added to Rule 1001, the term "fixed amount" would be used to refer to the portion of a clearing member's clearing fund

contribution calculated pursuant to clause (x) of Rule 1001(b), and the term "variable amount" would be used to refer to the portion of a clearing member's clearing fund contribution calculated pursuant to clause (y) of Rule 1001(b). Rule 1001(b) and 1001(f) would be amended solely for the purpose of introducing these defined terms.

Article VIII, Section 2(b) of OCC's By-Laws provides, among other things, that if a clearing member's clearing fund contribution is increased as a result of an amendment of the Rules, the increase will not be effective until the clearing member is given five business days' notice of the amendment. OCC proposes to add Interpretation and Policy .01 to Article VIII, Section 2 to clarify that such section shall not apply to increases in the total size of the clearing fund pursuant to Interpretation and Policy .05 of Rule 1001, nor to an increase in an individual clearing member's contribution pursuant to Interpretation and Policy .05 or .06 of Rule 1001.

While the proposed rule change may require clearing members to increase their clearing fund contributions at any time during a month, any such increase in the overall size of the clearing fund would correspond to a material change in OCC's projected exposure and would affect all clearing members proportionally in the same manner as a monthly adjustment, and any such increase in an individual clearing member's required contribution would correspond to a material change in the clearing member's business or financial condition, as well as use of OCC's resources. OCC therefore does not believe that clearing members will have significant problems in complying with the rule change. In addition to the prior communications with clearing members described above, in connection with the filing of this rule change, OCC will inform clearing members of the proposed change via an information memorandum, in order to advise clearing members of the procedures OCC intends to implement in support of the proposed rule change, including notice procedures to advise clearing members of any increases in contribution amounts.

2. Statutory Basis

OCC believes the proposed changes to OCC's Rules are consistent with Section 17A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"),¹⁵ and the rules and regulations thereunder, including Rule 17Ad-22,¹⁶ because the proposed modifications

would help ensure that OCC maintain sufficient resources to withstand, at a minimum, a default by the participant family to which it has the largest exposure in extreme but plausible market conditions.¹⁷ OCC's clearing fund safeguards and protects OCC, its clearing members and the securities and funds in OCC's custody and control from the default of one its clearing members. It is critical that the size OCC's clearing fund accurately reflect OCC's risk profile, and each clearing member's required clearing fund contribution accurately reflect its use of OCC's resources. The proposed changes would provide greater certainty with respect to the clearing fund's capitalization, thereby further assuring the safeguarding of securities and funds which are in the custody or control of OCC or for which it is responsible.¹⁸ The proposed changes are not inconsistent with the existing rules of OCC, including any other rules proposed to be amended.

(B) Clearing Agency's Statement on Burden on Competition

OCC does not believe that the proposed changes to the Rules would impose any burden on competition.¹⁹ Changes to the rules of a clearing agency may have an impact on the participants in a clearing agency, their customers and the markets that the clearing agency serves. The proposed changes primarily affect clearing members and OCC believes that the proposed modifications would not unfairly inhibit access to OCC's services or disadvantage or favor any particular user in relationship to another user because the changes would affect all clearing members equally. Subject to certain exclusions already provided for in OCC's Rules, all clearing members' contributions to the clearing fund would be subject to increase upon an increase to the overall size of the clearing fund and an individual clearing member's contribution to the clearing fund would be subject to increase upon a material change in its business or financial condition. While such increases may impose an increased financial burden on clearing members, any increase in the total size of the clearing fund will be calculated in accordance with the same formula used to determine regular monthly clearing fund contributions, which is tied to usage of OCC's services, and any clearing member-specific increase will reflect a material change in the clearing member's business or financial

¹⁷ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(b)(3).

¹⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

¹⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(I).

¹⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1.

¹⁶ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22.

condition.²⁰ Accordingly, no clearing member will bear a disproportionate share of any intra-month increase in the size of the clearing fund.

For the foregoing reasons, OCC believes that the proposed changes are in the public interest, would be consistent with the requirements of the Act applicable to clearing agencies and would not impose a burden on competition because the changes would permit OCC to increase the size of its clearing fund intra-month in response to an increase in OCC's projected exposure, and to provide for adjustments of a clearing member's required clearing fund contribution at any time under specified circumstances reflecting a change in its financial condition or risk profile, thereby promoting the purposes of the Act and Rule 17Ad-22 thereunder as described above.

(C) Clearing Agency's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

Written comments on the proposed rule change were not and are not intended to be solicited with respect to the proposed rule change and none have been received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period up to 90 days (i) as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

- (A) By order approve or disapprove such proposed rule change, or
- (B) institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

The proposal shall not take effect until all regulatory actions required with respect to the proposal are completed.²¹

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act.

Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-OCC-2014-17 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-OCC-2014-17. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of OCC and on OCC's Web site at http://www.theocc.com/components/docs/legal/rules_and_bylaws/sr_occ_14_17.pdf. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-OCC-2014-17 and should be submitted on or before August 29, 2014.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.²²

Kevin M. O'Neill,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014-18749 Filed 8-7-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

²² 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-72750; File No. SR-NYSE-2014-40]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; New York Stock Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change Establishing the NYSE Best Quote & Trades Data Feed

August 4, 2014.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on July 21, 2014, New York Stock Exchange LLC ("NYSE" or the "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to establish the NYSE Best Quote & Trades ("NYSE BQT") data feed. The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Web site at www.nyse.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to establish the NYSE BQT data feed. The NYSE BQT data feed would provide a unified view of best bid and offer ("BBO") and

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

²⁰ See Rule 1001(b).

²¹ OCC also filed the proposals in this proposed rule change as an advance notice under Section 806(e)(1) of Title VIII of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act entitled the Payment, Clearing, and Settlement Supervision Act of 2010. See *supra* note 3.