

would increase the thickness values over those measured during smaller controlled discharges and spills of opportunity. BSEE agrees with these statements and believes the thickness values selected by GENWEST are valid for addressing response planning to a WCD as required under the OPA.

*Incorporating Multiple Oil Thickness Scenarios Into the ERSP Calculator:* The NAS recommends developing several planning scenario options that would allow plan holders to fine tune and customize their oil thickness values based on their oil type and facility-specific parameters. This would allow a plan holder to tailor their ERSP calculations for their specific operational conditions (such as a sustained subsea loss of well control of medium crude oil in the Gulf of Mexico or a well with heavy crude in the Arctic). While these recommendations may improve the accuracy of individual plan holders' specific ERSP calculations, BSEE believes the significant increase in complexity associated with using this approach far outweighs the minimal gains in accuracy that might be realized for an individual plan holder's ERSP values. At this time, BSEE does not plan to incorporate multiple scenarios that would require the customized inputs for oil thickness values to be estimated or selected based upon a plan holder's oil type, environmental operating conditions, and discharge scenarios.

*Assigning Uncertainty Values to ERSP Input Values:* The NAS suggests adding the ability for users to input uncertainty values attached to user-selected inputs, and that additional guidance in the user manual should be developed to guide users on how to interpret and use the outputs that would result. The end result of using these uncertainty values would be to create a probability range of ERSP outcomes rather than a singularly defined number, which the NAS believed would provide additional clarity on the accuracy of the ERSP data generated. BSEE does not believe it is necessary for users to develop and input uncertainty data, as this may unnecessarily complicate the use of the calculator tool, and would not result in additional information that is necessary for developing and/or reviewing effective OSRPs.

*Additional Public Review:* The NAS recommended the calculator methodology be exposed to an additional round of public review by a broad range of subject matter experts. Currently, BSEE relies on the NAS Letter Report itself as the primary means for subjecting the ERSP study to a rigorous "expert" assessment. However,

BSEE fully acknowledges the value of additional public review of critical documents such as the EDRC Study. BSEE believes publishing this **Federal Register** notice that announces the results of both the EDRC Study and NAS Letter Report (as well as BSEE's analysis and response to these documents), and providing an opportunity for public review and comment, successfully meets the intent of the NAS recommendation. Additionally, if any portion of the ERSP methodology were to be incorporated into a future Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM), there would be another opportunity, in addition to this **Federal Register** notice, for public review and comment.

*Public Availability of Comments:* Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment including your personal identifying information may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

*Docket:* All documents in the docket are listed in the <http://www.regulations.gov> index. Although all documents submitted will be listed in the index, some information may not be publicly available, e.g., confidential business information or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, may be publicly available only in hard copy. Otherwise, publicly available docket materials are available electronically in <http://www.regulations.gov>.

Dated: July 29, 2014.

**David M. Moore,**

*Chief, Oil Spill Response Division.*

[FR Doc. 2014-18608 Filed 8-5-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-VH-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Fish and Wildlife Service

[FWS-R4-ES-2014-N166; 41910-1112-0000-F2]

#### Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Receipt of Application for Incidental Take Permit; Availability of Proposed Low-Effect Habitat Conservation Plan; City of Deltona, Volusia County, FL and Adventist Health System/Sunbelt, Inc., Orange County, FL

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of receipt; request for comment/information.

**SUMMARY:** We, the Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), have received applications from the City of Deltona and Adventist Health System/Sunbelt, Inc. (applicants) for incidental take permits under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act). The City of Deltona has applied for modification of an ITP (ITP; modification #TE28377B-1), and Adventist Health System/Sunbelt, Inc. has applied for a 10-year incidental take permit (ITP; #TE41877B-0).

We request public comment on the permit applications and accompanying proposed habitat conservation plans (HCPs), as well as on our preliminary determination that the plan qualifies as low-effect under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). To make this determination, we used our environmental action statement and low-effect screening form, which are also available for review.

**DATES:** To ensure consideration, please send your written comments by September 5, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** If you wish to review the applications and HCPs, you may request documents by email, U.S. mail, or phone (see below). These documents are also available for public inspection by appointment during normal business hours at the office below. Send your comments or requests by any one of the following methods.

*Email:* [northflorida@fws.gov](mailto:northflorida@fws.gov). Use "Attn: Permit number TE28377B-1" as your message subject line for the City of Deltona and "Attn: Permit number TE41877B-0" for Adventist Health System/Sunbelt, Inc.

*Fax:* Field Supervisor, (904) 731-3045, Attn.: Permit number TE28377B-0 or TE41877B-0.

*U.S. mail:* Field Supervisor, Jacksonville Ecological Services Field Office, Attn: Permit number TE28377B-0 or TE41877B-0, U.S. Fish and

Wildlife Service, 7915 Baymeadows Way, Suite 200, Jacksonville, FL 32256.

*In-person drop-off:* You may drop off information during regular business hours at the above office address.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Erin M. Gawera, telephone: (904) 731-3121; email: [erin\\_gawera@fws.gov](mailto:erin_gawera@fws.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

Section 9 of the Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*) and our implementing Federal regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) at 50 CFR part 17 prohibit the “take” of fish or wildlife species listed as endangered or threatened. Take of listed fish or wildlife is defined under the Act as “to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct” (16 U.S.C. 1532). However, under limited circumstances, we issue permits to authorize incidental take—i.e., take that is incidental to, and not the purpose of, the carrying out of an otherwise lawful activity.

Regulations governing incidental take permits for threatened and endangered species are at 50 CFR 17.32 and 17.22, respectively. The Act’s take prohibitions do not apply to federally listed plants on private lands unless such take would violate State law. In addition to meeting other criteria, an incidental take permit’s proposed actions must not jeopardize the existence of federally listed fish, wildlife, or plants.

**Applicant’s Proposal**

The City of Deltona is requesting additional take of approximately 0.9 acres (ac) of occupied Florida scrub-jay foraging and sheltering habitat incidental to construction of a 24-ac public park. The existing 10-year permit is for take of approximately 1.9 acres (ac) for a 35-ac public utility on the same property. The 122-ac project site is located on parcel numbers 31183166150001, 31183105150010, 31183105140010, 31183105130010, 31183105120010, 31183105110010, 31183105160010, 31183105170010, 31183105180010, 31183105190010, 31183105200010, 31183104050010, 31183104040010, 31183104030010, 31183104020010, 31183104010010, 31183166170001, 31183104060010, 31183104070010, 31183104080010, 31183104090010, 31183104100010, 31183103010010, 31183103020010, 31183103030010, 31183103040010, 31183103050010, 31183103060010, 31183103070010, 31183103080010, 31183103090010, 31183103100010, 31183103030010, and 31183103080160,

within Section 31, Township 18 South, Range 31 East, Volusia County, Florida. The project includes construction of a public park and public utility and the associated infrastructure, and landscaping. The applicant proposes to mitigate for the take of the Florida scrub-jay through the additional deposit of good funds in the amount of \$13,320.90 to the Nature Conservancy’s Conservation Fund, for the management and conservation of the Florida scrub-jay based on Service Mitigation Guidelines. The applicant has deposited \$56,243.80 to the Nature Conservancy’s Conservation Fund for the public utilities.

Adventist Health System/Sunbelt, Inc. is requesting take of approximately 3.08 acres (ac) of occupied sand skink foraging and sheltering habitat incidental to construction of a 73-ac hospital, and seeks a 10-year permit. The 73-ac project site is located on parcel numbers 20-21-28-0000-00-007, 20-21-28-0000-00-042, 20-21-28-0000-00-044, and 20-21-28-0000-00-046, within Section 20, Township 21 South, Range 28 East, Orange County, Florida. The project includes construction of a hospital and the associated infrastructure, and landscaping. The applicant proposes to mitigate for the take of the sand skink by the purchase of 6.2 mitigation credits within the Sebring Scrub Conservation Bank.

**Our Preliminary Determination**

We have determined that the applicant’s proposals, including the proposed mitigation and minimization measures, would have minor or negligible effects on the species covered in the HCPs. Therefore, we determined that the ITPs are “low-effect” projects and qualify for categorical exclusions under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), as provided by the Department of the Interior Manual (516 DM 2 Appendix 1 and 516 DM 6 Appendix 1). A low-effect HCP is one involving (1) Minor or negligible effects on federally listed or candidate species and their habitats, and (2) minor or negligible effects on other environmental values or resources.

**Next Steps**

We will evaluate the plans and comments we receive to determine whether the ITP applications meets the requirements of section 10(a) of the Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*). If we determine that the applications meet these requirements, we will issue ITP #TE28377B-1 and TE41877B-0. We will also evaluate whether issuance of the section 10(a)(1)(B) ITPs comply with

section 7 of the Act by conducting intra-Service section 7 consultations. We will use the results of these consultations, in combination with the above findings, in our final analysis to determine whether or not to issue the ITPs. If the requirements are met, we will issue the permits to the applicants.

**Public Comments**

If you wish to comment on the permit application, plan, and associated documents, you may submit comments by any one of the methods in **ADDRESSES**.

**Public Availability of Comments**

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comments, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

**Authority**

We provide this notice under Section 10 of the Act and NEPA regulations (40 CFR 1506.6).

Dated: July 28, 2014.

**Jay B. Herrington,**

*Field Supervisor, Jacksonville Field Office.*

[FR Doc. 2014-18591 Filed 8-5-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-55-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Fish and Wildlife Service**

**[FWS-R5-ES-2014-0020; 50120-1112-0000]**

**Availability of a Revised Environmental Assessment and Incidental Take Plan for the Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife’s Trapping Program**

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** On November 9, 2011, we, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), published a notice of availability of a draft environmental assessment (DEA) and receipt of an application for an incidental take permit (permit) pursuant to the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (ESA), submitted by the Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife (MDIFW), for the Maine Trapping Program Incidental Take Plan (ITP). MDIFW is requesting a permit