

MET currently has one facility (site) recognized by OSHA for product testing and certification, with its headquarters located at: MET Laboratories, Inc., 914 West Patapsco Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21230. A complete list of MET's scope of recognition is available at <http://www.osha.gov/dts/otpca/nrtl/met.html>.

II. General Background on the Application and Request

MET submitted an application, dated March 7, 2014 (OSHA-2006-0028-0014), to expand its recognition to include one additional test standard. OSHA staff performed a comparability analysis and reviewed other pertinent

information. OSHA did not perform any on-site reviews in relation to this application.

Table 1 below lists the appropriate test standard found in MET's application for expansion for testing and certification of products under the NRTL Program.

TABLE 1—PROPOSED APPROPRIATE TEST STANDARD FOR INCLUSION IN MET'S NRTL SCOPE OF RECOGNITION

| Test standard | Test standard title |
|--|---|
| ANSI/AAMI ES60601-1:2005/(R)2012 | Medical electrical equipment, Part 1: General requirements for basic safety and essential performance (with amendments) |

III. Preliminary Findings on the Application

MET submitted an acceptable application for expansion of its scope of recognition. OSHA's review of the application file and the comparability analysis indicates that MET can meet the requirements prescribed by 29 CFR 1910.7 for expanding its recognition to include the addition of this one test standard for NRTL testing and certification listed above. This preliminary finding does not constitute an interim or temporary approval of MET's application.

OSHA welcomes public comment as to whether MET meets the requirements of 29 CFR 1910.7 for expansion of its recognition as an NRTL. Comments should consist of pertinent written documents and exhibits. Commenters needing more time to comment must submit a request in writing, stating the reasons for the request. Commenters must submit the written request for an extension by the due date for comments. OSHA will limit any extension to 10 days unless the requester justifies a longer period. OSHA may deny a request for an extension if it is not adequately justified. To obtain or review copies of the publicly available information in MET's application, including pertinent documents and all submitted comments, contact the Docket Office, Room N-2625, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, at the above address; these materials also are available online at <http://www.regulations.gov> under Docket No. OSHA-2006-0028.

OSHA staff will review all comments to the docket submitted in a timely manner and, after addressing the issues raised by these comments, will recommend to the Assistant Secretary for Occupational Safety and Health whether to grant MET's application for expansion of its scope of recognition.

The Assistant Secretary will make the final decision on granting the application. In making this decision, the Assistant Secretary may undertake other proceedings prescribed in Appendix A to 29 CFR 1910.7. OSHA will publish a public notice of this final decision in the **Federal Register**.

IV. Authority and Signature

David Michaels, Ph.D., MPH, Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210, authorized the preparation of this notice. Accordingly, the Agency is issuing this notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 657(g)(2), Secretary of Labor's Order No. 1-2012 (77 FR 3912, Jan. 25, 2012), and 29 CFR 1910.7.

Signed at Washington, DC, on July 18, 2014.

David Michaels,

Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health.

[FR Doc. 2014-17343 Filed 7-22-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-26-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

[Docket No. OSHA-2014-0012]

Whistleblower Protection Advisory Committee (WPAC)

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

ACTION: Announcement of a meeting of WPAC.

SUMMARY: WPAC will meet September 3 and 4, 2014, in Washington, DC.

DATES: *WPAC meeting:* WPAC will meet from 1:30 p.m. to 5:00 p.m., E.T., Wednesday, September 3, 2014 and 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., E.T., Thursday, September 4, 2014.

Written comments, requests to speak, speaker presentations, and requests for special accommodation: You must submit (postmark, send, transmit) comments, requests to address the WPAC meeting, speaker presentations (written or electronic), and requests for special accommodations for the WPAC meeting by August 20, 2014.

ADDRESSES: *WPAC meeting:* WPAC will meet in Room S-4215 A-C, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210.

Submission of comments, requests to speak, and speaker presentations: You may submit comments, requests to speak at the WPAC meeting, and speaker presentations using one of the following methods:

Electronically: You may submit materials, including attachments, electronically at <http://www.regulations.gov>, which is the Federal eRulemaking Portal. Follow the on-line instructions for submissions.

Facsimile (Fax): If your submission, including attachments, does not exceed 10 pages, you may fax it to the OSHA Docket Office at (202) 693-1648.

Regular mail, express mail, hand delivery, or messenger (courier) service: You may submit your materials to the OSHA Docket Office, Docket No. OSHA-2014-0012, Room N-2625, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone (202) 693-2350 (TTY (877) 889-5627). OSHA's Docket Office accepts deliveries (hand deliveries, express mail, and messenger service) during normal business hours, 8:15 a.m.-4:45 p.m., E.T., weekdays.

Requests for special accommodations: Please submit any requests for special accommodations to attend the WPAC meeting to Ms. Gretta Jameson, OSHA, Office of Communications, Room N-3647, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone (202) 693-1999; email jameson.gretta@dol.gov.

Instructions: Your submissions must include the agency name and docket number for this **Federal Register** notice (Docket No. OSHA–2014–0012). Due to security-related procedures, submissions by regular mail may experience significant delays. Please contact the OSHA Docket Office for information about security procedures for making submissions. For additional information on submitting comments, requests to speak, and speaker presentations, see the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

For press inquiries: Mr. Frank Meilinger, Director, OSHA Office of Communications, Room N–3647, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone (202) 693–1999; email meilinger.francis2@dol.gov.

For general information about WPAC and WPAC meetings: Ms. Meghan Smith, OSHA, Directorate of Whistleblower Protection Programs, Room N–4624, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone (202) 693–2199; email smith.meghan.p@dol.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

WPAC Meeting

WPAC will meet Wednesday, September 3, 2014, and Thursday, September 4, 2014 in Washington, DC. WPAC meetings are open to the public.

The tentative agenda of the WPAC meeting includes:

Remarks from the Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health (OSHA);

WPAC work group reports and discussion;

Invited reports from other agencies or the public regarding whistleblower enforcement;

WPAC and WPAC Work Group administrative business; and Public comments (September 4).

OSHA transcribes WPAC meetings and prepares detailed minutes of the meetings.

OSHA places the meeting transcripts and minutes in the public record of the WPAC meeting. The public record also includes Work Group reports, speaker presentations, comments and other materials submitted to WPAC.

WPAC Work Groups

The WPAC work groups (11(c), Best Practices and Corporate Culture, and Transportation Industry) will meet on September 3, 2014. These work group meetings will be open to the public. The purpose of the work groups is to provide

recommendations to the full WPAC committee on their subject matters. The work groups will report to WPAC at the September 3 and 4, 2014 meeting for discussion by the full committee.

The work groups will meet from 8:30 a.m. to 12:30 p.m. on September 3 in the following rooms in the Francis Perkins Building: 11(c)—Room S–4215 A, Best Practices and Corporate Culture—Room S–4215 B, Transportation Industry—Room S–4215 C.

For additional information on WPAC work group meetings or participating in them, please contact Ms. Smith or look on the WPAC page on OSHA's Web page at <http://www.whistleblowers.gov>.

Public Participation, Submissions, and Access to Public Record

WPAC meetings: All WPAC meetings are open to the public. Individuals attending meetings at the U.S. Department of Labor must enter the building at the visitors' entrance, 3rd and C Streets NW., and pass through building security. Attendees must have valid government-issued photo identification (such as a driver's license) to enter the building. For additional information about building security measures for attending WPAC meetings, please contact Ms. Jameson (see **ADDRESSES** section).

Individuals needing special accommodations to attend the WPAC meeting should contact to Ms. Jameson as well.

Submission of written comments: You may submit comments using one of the methods identified in the **ADDRESSES** section. Your submissions must include the Agency name and docket number for this WPAC meeting (Docket No. OSHA–2014–0012) OSHA will provide copies of submissions to WPAC members.

Because of security-related procedures, submissions by regular mail may experience significant delays. For information about security procedures for submitting materials by hand delivery, express mail, and messenger or courier service, please contact the OSHA Docket Office (see **ADDRESSES** section).

Requests to speak and speaker presentations: If you want to address WPAC at the meeting you must submit your request to speak, as well as any written or electronic presentation, by August 20, 2014, using one of the methods listed in the **ADDRESSES** section. Your request must state:

- The amount of time requested to speak;
- The interest you represent (e.g., business, organization, affiliation), if any; and
- A brief outline of your presentation.

PowerPoint presentations and other electronic materials must be compatible with PowerPoint 2010 and other Microsoft Office 2010 formats.

The WPAC Chair may grant requests to address WPAC as time and circumstances permit.

Public docket of the WPAC meeting: OSHA will place comments, requests to speak, and speaker presentations, including any personal information you provide, in the public docket of this WPAC meeting without change, and those documents may be available online at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Therefore, OSHA cautions you about submitting personal information such as Social Security numbers and birthdates.

OSHA also places in the public docket the meeting transcript, meeting minutes, documents presented at the WPAC meeting, and other documents pertaining to the WPAC meeting. These documents are available online at <http://www.regulations.gov> under Docket No. OSHA–2014–0012.

Access to the public record of WPAC meetings: To read or download documents in the public docket of this WPAC meeting, go to Docket No. OSHA–2014–0012 at <http://www.regulations.gov>. The <http://www.regulations.gov> index also lists all documents in the public record for this meeting; however, some documents (e.g., copyrighted materials) are not publicly available through that Web page. All documents in the public record, including materials not available through <http://www.regulations.gov>, are available for inspection and copying in the OSHA Docket Office (see **ADDRESSES** section). Please contact the OSHA Docket Office for assistance in making submissions to, or obtaining materials from, the public docket.

Electronic copies of this **Federal Register** notice are available at <http://www.regulations.gov>. This notice, as well as news releases and other relevant information, also are available on the Directorate of Whistleblower Protections Programs Web page at <http://www.whistleblowers.gov>.

Authority and Signature

David Michaels, Ph.D., MPH, Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, authorized the preparation of this notice under the authority granted by 5 U.S.C. App. 2, 41 CFR Part 102–3, chapter 1600 of Department of Labor Management Series 3 (Mar. 17, 2008), 77 FR 3912 (Jan. 25, 2012), and the Secretary of Labor's authority to administer the whistleblower provisions found in 29 U.S.C. § 660(c), 49 U.S.C. § 31105, 15 U.S.C. § 2651, 46 U.S.C. § 80507, 42

U.S.C. § 300j–9(i), 33 U.S.C. § 1367, 15 U.S.C. § 2622, 42 U.S.C. § 6971, 42 U.S.C. § 7622, 42 U.S.C. § 9610, 42 U.S.C. § 5851, 49 U.S.C. § 42121, 18 U.S.C. § 1514A, 49 U.S.C. § 60129, 49 U.S.C. § 20109, 6 U.S.C. § 1142, 15 U.S.C. § 2087, 29 U.S.C. § 218c, 12 U.S.C. § 5567, 46 U.S.C. § 2114, 21 U.S.C. § 399d, and 49 U.S.C. § 30171.

Signed at Washington, DC on July 18, 2014.

David Michaels,

Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health.

[FR Doc. 2014–17342 Filed 7–22–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–26–P

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

Copyright Office

[Docket No. 2014–03]

Music Licensing Study: Second Request for Comments

AGENCY: U.S. Copyright Office, Library of Congress.

ACTION: Notice of inquiry.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Copyright Office has undertaken a study to evaluate the effectiveness of current methods for licensing musical works and sound recordings. At this time, the Office seeks additional comments on whether and how existing music licensing methods serve the music marketplace, including new and emerging digital distribution platforms.

DATES: Written comments are due on or before August 22, 2014.

ADDRESSES: All comments shall be submitted electronically. A comment page containing a comment form is posted on the Office Web site at <http://www.copyright.gov/200B/docs/200B;musiclicensingstudy>. The Web site interface requires commenting parties to complete a form specifying their name and organization, as applicable, and to upload comments as an attachment via a browser button. To meet accessibility standards, commenting parties must upload comments in a single file not to exceed six megabytes (MB) in one of the following formats: The Portable Document File (PDF) format that contains searchable, accessible text (not an image); Microsoft Word; WordPerfect; Rich Text Format (RTF); or ASCII text file format (not a scanned document). The form and face of the comments must include both the name of the submitter and organization. The Office will post the comments publicly on its Web site in the form that they are received, along with associated names and organizations. If electronic

submission of comments is not feasible, please contact the Office at 202–707–8350 for special instructions.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jacqueline C. Charlesworth, General Counsel and Associate Register of Copyrights, by email at jcharlesworth@loc.gov or by telephone at 202–707–8350; or Sarang V. Damle, Special Advisor to the General Counsel, by email at sdam@loc.gov or by telephone at 202–707–8350.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The U.S. Copyright Office is conducting a study to assess the effectiveness of the current methods for licensing musical works and sound recordings. To aid with this study, the Office published an initial Notice of Inquiry on March 17, 2014 (“First Notice”) seeking written comments on twenty-four subjects concerning the current environment in which music is licensed. 78 FR 14739 (Mar. 17, 2014). The eighty-five written submissions received in response to this initial notice can be found on the Copyright Office Web site at http://www.copyright.gov/docs/musiclicensingstudy/200B;comments/Docket2014_3/. In June 2014, the Office conducted three two-day public roundtables in Nashville, Los Angeles, and New York City. The three roundtables provided participants with the opportunity to share their views on the topics identified in the First Notice and other issues relating to music licensing. See 79 FR 25626 (May 5, 2014). Transcripts of the proceedings at each of the three roundtables will be made available on the Copyright Office Web site at <http://www.copyright.gov/docs/200B;musiclicensingstudy/>.

In the initial round of written comments and during the roundtable sessions, a number of significant issues were discussed that the Office believes merit additional consideration.

First, as explained in the First Notice, in 2013, the two federal district courts overseeing the antitrust consent decrees governing the largest performance rights organizations (“PROs”), American Society of Composers, Authors and Publishers (“ASCAP”) and Broadcast Music, Inc. (“BMI”), held in separate opinions that under those decrees, music publishers could not withdraw selected rights—such as “new media” rights—to be directly licensed outside of the PROs; rather, a particular publisher’s song catalog must either be “all in” or “all out.”¹ Following these

¹ *In re Pandora Media, Inc.*, Nos. 12–cv–8035, 41–cv–1395, 2013 WL 5211927 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 17,

both in public statements and at the recent roundtables, certain major music publishers have indicated that, if the consent decrees remain in place without modification, they intend to withdraw their entire catalogs from the two PROs and directly license public performances.² Such a move would affect not only online services, but more traditional areas of public performance such as radio, television, restaurants, and bars.

Stakeholders at the roundtables expressed significant concerns regarding the impact of major publishers’ complete withdrawal from the PROs. Notably, traditional songwriter contracts typically include provisions that assume that a songwriter’s performance royalties will be collected by and paid directly to the songwriter through a PRO, without contemplating alternative arrangements. Songwriters and composers raised questions as to how withdrawing publishers would fulfill this responsibility in the future, including whether they would be in a position to track and provide adequate usage and payment data under a direct licensing system. Another concern is how such withdrawals would affect the PROs’ cost structures and the commission rates for smaller entities and individual creators who continued to rely upon these organizations to license and administer their public performance rights. At the same time, some stakeholders questioned the existing distribution methodologies of the PROs, suggesting that the PROs should rely more on census-based reporting (as is typically supplied by digital services) and less on sampling or non-census-based approaches to allocate royalty fees among members.

Next, many stakeholders appear to be of the view that the Section 115 statutory license for the reproduction and distribution of musical works should either be eliminated or significantly modified to reflect the realities of the digital marketplace. While music owners and music users have expressed a range of views as to the particulars of how this might be accomplished, much of the commentary and discussion has centered on two

2013); *Broadcast Music, Inc., v. Pandora Media, Inc.*, Nos. 12–cv–4037, 64–cv–3787, 2013 WL 6697788 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 19, 2013).

² See Ed Christman, *Universal Music Publishing Plots Exit From ASCAP, BMI*, *Billboard* (Feb. 1, 2013), <http://www.billboard.com/biz/articles/news/publishing/1537554/universal-music-publishing-plots-exit-from-ascap-bmi>; see also Ed Christman, *Sony/ATV’s Martin Bandier Repeats Warning to ASCAP, BMI*, *Billboard* (July 11, 2014), <http://www.billboard.com/biz/articles/news/publishing/6157469/sonyatv-s-martin-bandier-repeats-warning-to-ascap-bmi>.