

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

[Docket No. APHIS–2014–0045]

#### Notice of Request for Revision to and Extension of Approval of an Information Collection; Importation of Tomatoes From Spain, Chile, France, Morocco, and Western Sahara

**AGENCY:** Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Revision to and extension of approval of an information collection; comment request.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service's intention to request a revision to and extension of approval of an information collection associated with the regulations for the importation of tomatoes from Spain, Chile, France, Morocco, and Western Sahara.

**DATES:** We will consider all comments that we receive on or before September 15, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments by either of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov#!docketDetail;D=APHIS-2014-0045>.

- *Postal Mail/Commercial Delivery:* Send your comment to Docket No. APHIS–2014–0045, Regulatory Analysis and Development, PPD, APHIS, Station 3A–03.8, 4700 River Road Unit 118, Riverdale, MD 20737–1238.

Supporting documents and any comments we receive on this docket may be viewed at <http://www.regulations.gov#!docketDetail;D=APHIS-2014-0045> or in our reading room, which is located in room 1141 of the USDA South Building, 14th Street and Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC. Normal reading room

hours are 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays. To be sure someone is there to help you, please call (202) 799–7039 before coming.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For information on the regulations for the importation of tomatoes from Spain, Chile, France, Morocco, and Western Sahara, contact Dr. Jo-Ann Bentz-Blanco, Trade Director, PIM, PPQ, APHIS, 4700 River Road Unit 140, Riverdale, MD 20737; (301) 851–2091. For copies of more detailed information on the information collection, contact Mrs. Celeste Sickles, APHIS' Information Collection Coordinator, at (301) 851–2908.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Title:* Importation of Tomatoes From Spain, Chile, France, Morocco, and Western Sahara.

*OMB Control Number:* 0579–0131.

*Type of Request:* Revision to and extension of approval of an information collection.

*Abstract:* Under the Plant Protection Act (PPA, 7 U.S.C. 7701 *et seq.*), the Secretary of Agriculture is authorized to prohibit or restrict the importation, entry, or interstate movement of plants, plant products, and other articles to prevent the introduction of plant pests into the United States or their dissemination within the United States. As authorized by the PPA, the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) regulates the importation of certain fruits and vegetables in accordance with the regulations contained in “Subpart–Fruits and Vegetables” (7 CFR 319.56 through 319.56–68).

The regulations in § 319.56–28 allow tomatoes from specified areas of Spain, Chile, France, Morocco, and Western Sahara to be imported into the United States subject to certain conditions designed to protect the tomatoes from infestation by Mediterranean fruit fly (Medfly). Allowing tomatoes to be imported necessitates the use of certain information collection activities, including phytosanitary certificates and maintaining records regarding trap placement and Medfly captures.

The information collection activities of phytosanitary certificates and maintenance of the specified records were approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under control number 0579–0131. However,

when we reviewed the regulations, we discovered that a trust fund agreement, quality control program, box markings, and the registration of production sites, greenhouses, and treatment facilities were not included in the previous approval. In addition, for the estimated annual number of respondents indicated in our previous approval, we counted 34 national plant protection organizations (NPPOs), but the number of respondents should have been 10. There are only four NPPOs, one for each country, and also, in the previous approval, we did not count the businesses. Based on these adjustments, the estimated annual number of responses per respondent has increased from 72.88 to 246.3; however, the estimated total annual burden on respondents has decreased from 1,704 hours to 1,350 hours.

We are asking OMB to approve our use of these information collection activities, as described, for an additional 3 years.

The purpose of this notice is to solicit comments from the public (as well as affected agencies) concerning our information collection. These comments will help us:

- (1) Evaluate whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (2) Evaluate the accuracy of our estimate of the burden of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

- (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through use, as appropriate, of automated, electronic, mechanical, and other collection technologies; e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

*Estimate of burden:* The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 0.5481 hours per response.

*Respondents:* Importers, shippers, and NPPOs of the exporting countries.

*Estimated annual number of respondents:* 10.

*Estimated annual number of responses per respondent:* 246.3.

*Estimated annual number of responses:* 2,463.

*Estimated total annual burden on respondents:* 1,350 hours. (Due to

averaging, the total annual burden hours may not equal the product of the annual number of responses multiplied by the reporting burden per response.)

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

Done in Washington, DC, this 9th day of July 2014.

**Kevin Shea,**

*Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.*

[FR Doc. 2014-16531 Filed 7-14-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-34-P

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Commodity Credit Corporation

#### Farm Service Agency

#### Notice of Availability of Draft Supplemental Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for the Conservation Reserve Program

**AGENCY:** Commodity Credit Corporation and Farm Service Agency, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces that the Farm Service Agency (FSA), on behalf of the Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC), completed a Draft Supplemental Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (Draft SPEIS) to examine the potential environmental consequences associated with implementing changes to the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) specified in the Agricultural Act of 2014 (the 2014 Farm Bill), and assist in developing new regulations. FSA is requesting comments on the Draft SPEIS.

**DATES:** We will consider comments that we receive by September 8, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** We invite you to submit comments on the Draft SPEIS. In your comments, include the volume, date, and page number of this issue of the **Federal Register**. You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow online instructions for submitting comments.
- Email: [CRPComments@cardnotec.com](mailto:CRPComments@cardnotec.com).
- Online: Go to the Web site at <http://crpspeis.com>. Follow online instructions for submitting comments.
- Fax: (757) 594-1469.
- Mail, hand delivery, or courier: CRP SPEIS, C/O CardnoTEC, Inc., 11817

Canon Blvd., Suite 300, Newport News, VA 23606.

A copy of the Draft SPEIS is available through the FSA homepage at <http://www.fsa.usda.gov/FSA/webapp?area=home&subject=ecrc&topic=nep-cd> or at <http://crpspeis.com>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Nell Fuller, (202) 720-6303. Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication (Braille, large print, audio tape, etc.) should contact the USDA Target Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) provides a means for the public to comment on alternatives and environmental concerns for Federal programs or actions. The CRP Draft SPEIS was completed as required by NEPA (42 U.S.C. 4321-4347), the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of NEPA (40 CFR parts 1500-1508), and FSA's NEPA regulations (7 CFR part 799). FSA provided notice of its intent (NOI) to prepare the CRP SPEIS in the **Federal Register** on November 29, 2013 (78 FR 71561-71562), and solicited public comment on the preliminary alternatives for analyzing changes to CRP from the 2014 Farm Bill. FSA considered input from those comments to develop the alternatives analyzed in the Draft SPEIS. We received a total of 8 comments from private organizations, members of the concerned public, and Federal, State, and local government agencies. The comments involved 55 individual issues covering a range of topics including proposed 2014 Farm Bill changes, CRP maximum enrollment and acreages, regional differences in haying and grazing impacts, claims of a lack of thorough environmental and socioeconomic impact analysis in previous NEPA documentation, and CRP funding policy. All substantive comments received that were within the defined scope of the SPEIS were incorporated.

As specified in the 2014 Farm Bill, FSA plans to consolidate a number of conservation programs to simplify the programs, reduce overlapping goals, and reduce overall budgets. Many of the changes to CRP from the 2014 Farm Bill are administrative in nature, would not result in major changes to the current administration of CRP, or have been addressed in previous NEPA documentation concerning CRP. Such changes do not require further analysis in the SPEIS.

Those changes, and the justification for eliminating them from analysis,

include the following, each of which is discussed below:

- Maximum enrollment authority;
- Farmable Wetland Program enrollment changes;
- Tree thinning payments;
- Early termination of contracts;
- Managed harvesting and routine grazing payment reduction;
- Transition option funding;
- Emergency haying and grazing payment reduction; and
- Prescribed grazing frequency.

#### Maximum Enrollment Authority

The maximum enrollment authority will be gradually reduced to no more than 24 million acres by 2018, as required by the 2014 Farm Bill. The "2010 Conservation Reserve Program Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement" (referred to as the 2010 CRP SEIS) analyzed an alternative to reduce the enrollment authority to no more than 24 million acres; therefore, that analysis is incorporated by reference.

#### Farmable Wetland Program Enrollment Changes

The change in the 2014 Farm Bill to reduce the maximum enrollment authority to 750,000 acres nationally (from 1 million) would still allow for approximately 410,000 acres of farmable wetlands to be enrolled in the Farmable Wetland Program. The mandated reduction in enrollment is not required to be analyzed since there is no discretion for any other level. Additionally, the mandatory reduction is not expected to affect actual enrollment, as historically enrollment has been well below the cap.

#### Tree Thinning Payments

The payment authority for tree thinning activities was reduced to \$10 million and incentive payments are allowed. The 2014 Farm Bill change allows FSA to incentivize owners and operators to conduct practices and use management tools that would promote forest management, enhance the overall health of tree stands, improve the condition of resources, or provide valuable habitat for wildlife. Less than \$50,000 in payments have been provided for tree thinning activities since the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 (Pub. L. 110-246, commonly referred to as the 2008 Farm Bill). The reduction in funding available for tree thinning activities would not represent a real change in current use of the funds and does not require further analysis.

#### Early Termination of Contracts

As provided in the 2014 Farm Bill, the early termination provision of CRP