

current. Regulatory Guide 10.1 provides a summary of the reporting requirements in existence at the time of issuance and becomes outdated upon the first change to any NRC reporting requirement after issuance. The most recent version of RG 10.1, Revision 4, was issued in October 1981 (ADAMS Accession No. ML003740185). Regulatory Guide 10.1 provides a compilation of reporting requirements applicable to the various types of NRC licensees and other persons subject to NRC regulations. It is impractical to continue to maintain current and accurate information in a timely manner, and is duplicative of the information that is already provided in a timely manner in the NRC's regulations in Chapter 1 of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR).

II. Additional Information

The withdrawal of RG 10.1 does not alter any prior or existing licensing commitments based on its use. The guidance provided in RG 10.1 is no longer necessary. Regulatory guides may be withdrawn when their guidance no longer provides useful information, or is superseded by technological innovations, Congressional actions, or other events.

Regulatory guides are revised for a variety of reasons and the withdrawal of an RG should be thought of as the final revision of the guide. Although a regulatory guide is withdrawn, current licensees may continue to use it, and withdrawal does not affect any existing licenses or agreements. Withdrawal of a regulatory guide means that the regulatory guide should not be used for future NRC licensing activities. Changes to existing licenses can be accomplished using other regulatory products.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 24th day of June, 2014.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Thomas H. Boyce,

Chief, Regulatory Guidance and Generic Issues Branch, Division of Engineering, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.

[FR Doc. 2014-15640 Filed 7-2-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-482; NRC-2014-0054]

Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation; Wolf Creek Generating Station

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: License amendment application; withdrawal by applicant.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has granted the request of Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation to withdraw its application dated August 13, 2013, as supplemented by letters dated January 28, February 25, March 20, March 26, and May 13, 2014, for a proposed amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-42. The proposed amendment would have revised the Technical Specifications to replace the existing licensee methodologies for performing core design and safety analyses; adopted Option A of Technical Specification Task Force Traveler TSTF-493-A, Revision 4, "Clarify Application of Setpoint Methodologies for LSSS [Limiting Safety System Setting] Functions"; and adopted the alternative source term radiological analysis methodology.

ADDRESSES: Please refer to Docket ID NRC-2014-0054 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Web site:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2014-0054. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301-287-3422; email: Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individual(s) listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- *NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may obtain publicly available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select "ADAMS Public Documents" and then select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov.

- *NRC's PDR:* You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC's PDR, Room O1-F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Lyon, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington DC 20555-0001; telephone: 301-415-2296 email: Fred.Lyon@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The NRC has granted the request of Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation (the licensee) to withdraw its August 13, 2013, application, as supplemented by letters dated January 28, February 25, March 20, March 26, and May 13, 2014 (ADAMS Accession Nos. ML13247A076, ML14035A224, ML14063A371, ML14091A245, ML14091A261, and ML14143A006, respectively), for proposed amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-42 for the Wolf Creek Generating Station, located in Coffey County, Kansas.

The proposed amendment would have revised the Technical Specifications to replace the existing licensee methodologies for performing core design and safety analyses; adopted Option A of Technical Specification Task Force Traveler TSTF-493-A, Revision 4, "Clarify Application of Setpoint Methodologies for LSSS Functions;" and adopted the alternative source term radiological analysis methodology in accordance with 10 CFR 50.67, "Accident source term."

The Commission had previously issued a Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment published in the **Federal Register** on April 8, 2014 (79 FR 19402). However, by letter dated June 18, 2014 (ADAMS Accession No. ML14175A119), the licensee withdrew the proposed change.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 25th day of June 2014.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Carl F. Lyon,

Project Manager, Plant Licensing Branch IV-1, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 2014-15689 Filed 7-2-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. MC2014-28; Order No. 2105]

Postal Product Changes

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.
ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing concerning several changes affecting Inbound Surface Parcel Post (At UPU Rates) and another product. These include a transfer from the market dominant product list to the competitive product list; a merger; and a new name for the merged product. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: *Comments are due:* July 28, 2014. *Reply Comments are due:* August 11, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202-789-6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Notice of Filings
- III. Commission Action
- IV. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

On June 25, 2014, the Postal Service filed a notice with the Commission under 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.* requesting that certain changes be made to the market dominant and competitive product lists.¹ Specifically, the Postal Service proposes to: (1) Transfer Inbound Surface Parcel Post (at Universal Postal Union (UPU) rates) from the market dominant product list to the competitive product list; (2) merge the transferred product with Inbound Air Parcel Post (at UPU rates); and (3) identify the merged product as Inbound Parcel Post (at UPU rates). *Id.* at 1.

The Postal Service asserts that the transfer of Inbound Surface Parcel Post (at UPU rates) to the competitive product list is consistent with the current classification schedule and fulfills all of the criteria for competitive products under 39 U.S.C. 3642. *Id.* at 1–2. It therefore requests that the Commission transfer Inbound Surface Parcel Post (at UPU rates) from the market dominant product list to the competitive product list, merge the transferred product with Inbound Air Parcel Post (at UPU rates), and identify the merged product as Inbound Parcel Post (at UPU rates). The Postal Service states that there are no material changes to the product description and pricing but that the proposed Mail Classification Schedule (MCS) language does contain minor adjustments to ensure consistency between the Air and Surface Parcel Post descriptions. *Id.* at 2.

II. Notice of Filings

Supporting materials. To support its Request, the Postal Service filed the following attachments:

- Attachment A—Resolution of the Governors of the United States Postal Service, June 18, 2014 (Resolution No. 14–03);
- Attachment B—Statement of Supporting Justification; and
- Attachment C—Draft Mail Classification Schedule (MCS) Language.

In its Statement of Supporting Justification, the Postal Service explains why the proposed changes will not violate the standards of 39 U.S.C. 3633. It notes that in FY 2013, Inbound Surface Parcel Post (at UPU rates) had a cost coverage of 153.6 percent. This cost coverage, together with the combined cost coverage of Inbound Surface Parcel Post (at UPU rates) and Inbound Air Parcel Post (at UPU rates) from FY 2013, show that the transfer will not adversely affect the Postal Service's ability to cover total institutional costs. *Id.*, Attachment B at 2.

To verify that the proposed change would not classify as competitive a product over which the Postal Service exercises sufficient market power, the Postal Service asserts that the UPU sets prices for Inbound Surface Parcel Post such that the Postal Service's market dominance is not related to the pricing of the product. *Id.*, Attachment B at 2. The Postal Service also claims Inbound Surface Parcel Post (at UPU rates) is outside the scope of the letter monopoly because the rates payable are higher than six times the current price of a one-ounce Single-Piece First-Class letter and therefore falls within an exception to the Private Express Statutes in section 601(b)(1) of title 39. *Id.*

The Postal Service states that enterprises in the private sector engaged in the delivery of the product consist of private consolidators, freight forwarders, and integrators offering international shipping arrangements providing inbound parcel delivery services under similar conditions. *Id.*, Attachment B at 3.

In describing the views of current customers who use the product, the Postal Service indicates that it does not anticipate any major concern of customers because: (1) Neither the product nor the inward land rate is changing as a result of the transfer; (2) the Inbound Surface Parcel Post (at UPU rates) has been available for years; and (3) the classification of the product does not affect the availability of the service or terms and conditions. *Id.*

Similarly, the Postal Service does not anticipate an impact on small businesses because: (1) Neither the product nor the inward land rates is changing as a result of the transfer; (2) Inbound Surface Parcel Post (at UPU rates) has been available for years; and (3) the classification of the product does not affect the availability of the service or the terms and conditions. *Id.*

The Postal Service contends the modifications will be consistent with past practices regarding the MCS. It asserts that Inbound Air Parcel Post (at UPU rates) has been classified previously as competitive; Inbound Surface Parcel Post (at UPU rates) has been included in bilateral agreements as part of the Inbound competitive Multi-Service Agreements with Foreign Postal Operations product; the domestic Parcel Post has recently been classified as competitive; and the single classification of Inbound Parcel Post (at UPU rates) will provide consistent treatment of parcel products in the MCS. *Id.*, Attachment B at 3–4.

III. Commission Action

The Commission establishes Docket No. MC2014–28 to consider the Postal Service's proposals described in its Request. Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Request is consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3642, 3632, 3633, and 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.* Comments are due by July 28, 2014. Reply comments are due by August 11, 2014.

The Request and related filings are available on the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>). The Commission encourages interested persons to review the Request for further details.

The Commission appoints Anne C. O'Connor to serve as Public Representative in this proceeding.

IV. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:

1. The Commission establishes Docket No. MC2014–28 to consider matters raised by the Request.

2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Anne C. O'Connor is appointed to serve as an officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in this proceeding.

3. Comments by interested persons are due by July 28, 2014.

4. Reply comments are due by August 11, 2014.

5. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

¹ Request of the United States Postal Service to Transfer Inbound Surface Parcel Post (at UPU rates) to the Competitive Product List, June 25, 2014 (Request).

By the Commission.

Ruth Ann Abrams,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014-15587 Filed 7-2-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P

POSTAL SERVICE

Product Change—Priority Mail Negotiated Service Agreement

AGENCY: Postal Service™.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service gives notice of filing a request with the Postal Regulatory Commission to add a domestic shipping services contract to the list of Negotiated Service Agreements in the Mail Classification Schedule's Competitive Products List.

DATES: *Effective date:* July 3, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Elizabeth A. Reed, 202-268-3179.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The United States Postal Service® hereby gives notice that, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 3632(b)(3), on June 27, 2014, it filed with the Postal Regulatory Commission a *Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Priority Mail Contract 82 to Competitive Product List*. Documents are available at www.prc.gov, Docket Nos. MC2014-29, CP2014-54.

Stanley F. Mires,

Attorney, Legal Policy & Legislative Advice.

[FR Doc. 2014-15600 Filed 7-2-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-12-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. IC-31136]

Notice of Applications for Deregistration Under Section 8(f) of the Investment Company Act of 1940

June 27, 2014.

The following is a notice of applications for deregistration under section 8(f) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 for the month of June, 2014. A copy of each application may be obtained via the Commission's Web site by searching for the file number, or for an applicant using the Company name box, at <http://www.sec.gov/search/search.htm> or by calling (202) 551-8090. An order granting each application will be issued unless the SEC orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing on any application by writing to the SEC's Secretary at the address below and

serving the relevant applicant with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the SEC by 5:30 p.m. on July 22, 2014, and should be accompanied by proof of service on the applicant, in the form of an affidavit or, for lawyers, a certificate of service. Hearing requests should state the nature of the writer's interest, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a hearing may request notification by writing to the Secretary, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Diane L. Titus at (202) 551-6810, SEC, Division of Investment Management, Chief Counsel's Office, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-8010.

Dreyfus LifeTime Portfolios Inc. [File No. 811-7878]; Dreyfus Dynamic Alternatives Fund, Inc. [File No. 811-22361]

Summary: Each applicant seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. On December 5, 2012, and April 13, 2012, respectively, applicants made liquidating distributions to their shareholders, based on net asset value. Expenses of approximately \$785, and \$608, respectively, incurred in connection with the liquidations were paid by The Dreyfus Corporation, applicants' investment adviser.

Filing Date: The applications were filed on June 12, 2014.

Applicants' Address: c/o The Dreyfus Corporation, 200 Park Ave., New York, NY 10166.

Security Large Cap Value Fund [File No. 811-487]; Security Mid Cap Growth Fund [File No. 811-1316]; Security Income Fund [File No. 811-2120]

Summary: Each applicant seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. Applicants transferred their assets to corresponding series of Guggenheim Funds Trust, and on January 28, 2014, made distributions to their shareholders based on net asset value. Expenses of \$10,626, \$17,185 and \$349,480, respectively, incurred in connection with the reorganizations were paid by each applicant and Security Investors, LLC, applicants' investment adviser.

Filing Date: The applications were filed on May 30, 2014.

Applicants' Address: 805 King Farm Blvd., Suite 600, Rockville, MD 20850.

Hennessy Funds Inc. [File No. 811-7493]; Hennessy Mutual Funds Inc. [File No. 811-7695]; Hennessy SPARX Funds Trust [File No. 811-21419]

Summary: Each applicant seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. Each applicant transferred its assets to Hennessy Funds Trust, and on February 28, 2014, made distributions to its shareholders based on net asset value. Expenses of \$49,000 incurred in connection with the reorganizations were paid by Hennessy Advisors, Inc., investment adviser to applicants and the acquiring fund.

Filing Date: The applications were filed on June 16, 2014.

Applicants' Address: 7250 Redwood Blvd., Suite 200, Novato, CA 94945.

First Trust Municipal Target Term Trust [File No. 811-22267]; First Trust Global Equity Dividend Fund [File No. 811-22627]; First Trust Strategic Allocation Fund [File No. 811-22629]; First Trust Global Resource Solution Fund [File No. 811-22630]; First Trust Diversified Short Duration Fund [File No. 811-22751]

Summary: Each applicant, a closed-end investment company, seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. Applicants have never made a public offering of their securities and do not purpose to make public offerings or engage in business of any kind.

Filing Date: The applications were filed on May 30, 2014.

Applicants' Address: 120 East Liberty Dr., Suite 400, Wheaton, IL 60187.

Fidelity Account II of Monarch Life Insurance Company [File No. 811-5991]

Summary: Applicant, a unit investment trust, seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. Applicant has never made a public offering of its securities and does not propose to make a public offering or engage in business of any kind.

Filing Dates: The application was filed on December 11, 2013, and amended on May 15, 2014.

Applicant's Address: Monarch Life Insurance Company, 330 Whitney Ave., Suite 500, Holyoke, MA 01040.

Symetra Mutual Funds Trust [File No. 811-22653]

Summary: Applicant seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. On December 27, 2013, applicant made a liquidating distribution to its shareholders, based on net asset value. Expenses of \$367,623 incurred in connection with the liquidation were paid by Symetra