

workers from United Personnel, Zero Chaos, Integration International, and Manpower, Chicopee, Massachusetts (TA-W-83,227), Avery Products, a publicly reporting operating segment of CCL Industries, Inc., including on-site leased workers from Robert Half Holliston, Massachusetts (TA-W-83,227A), and Avery Products, including on-site leased workers from Workforce Logic, Adecco, Hewlett Packard, Insight Global, Manpower, Trithian, Zero Chaos, and Procure Staff, Brea, California (TA-W-83,227B) who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after November 19, 2012, and all workers in the group threatened with total or partial separation from employment on date of certification through December 17, 2015, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Chapter 2 of Title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 28th day of May, 2014.

Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2014-13874 Filed 6-12-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Investigations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

Petitions have been filed with the Secretary of Labor under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 (“the Act”) and are identified in the Appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Director of the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, has instituted investigations pursuant to Section 221(a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

The petitioners or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than June 23, 2014.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of the investigations to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than June 23, 2014.

The petitions filed in this case are available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-5428, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 6th day of May 2014.

Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

APPENDIX

[15 TAA petitions instituted between 5/26/14 and 5/30/14]

TA-W	Subject Firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
85334	CUBIX Software Ltd., Inc. (Workers)	Longview, TX	05/27/14	05/22/14
85335	Textplus, Inc. (State/One-Stop)	Marina Del Rey, CA	05/27/14	05/23/14
85336	Inalfa Roof Systems, Inc. (State/One-Stop)	Lake Orion, MI	05/28/14	05/27/14
85337	Dell Services, Inc. (Workers)	Plano, TX	05/28/14	05/27/14
85338	Paslode (Company)	Pontotoc, MS	05/29/14	05/27/14
85339	Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. (Workers)	Austin, TX	05/29/14	05/28/14
85340	Aryzta (Otis Spunkmeyer) (Workers)	Export, PA	05/29/14	05/27/14
85341	Sanderson Plumbing Products, Inc. (Company)	Columbus, MS	05/29/14	05/28/14
85342	North Cascade Mechanical, LLC (Company)	Blaine, WA	05/30/14	05/29/14
85343	Risk Specialist Insurance Co (Lexington Ins) (Workers)	Houston, TX	05/30/14	05/29/14
85344	HR Plus/Sterling Infosystems Inc. (State/One-Stop)	Chicago, IL	05/30/14	05/29/14
85345	Eastman Kodak Company (Workers)	Dayton, OH	05/30/14	05/29/14
85346	Amphenol Borisch Technologies (Company)	Grand Rapids, MI	05/30/14	05/29/14
85347	St. Jude Medical (State/One-Stop)	Minnnetonka, MN	05/30/14	05/29/14
85348	Center Partners, Inc. (Workers)	Idaho Falls, ID	05/30/14	05/29/14

[FR Doc. 2014-13879 Filed 6-12-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-83,351]

Sykes Enterprises, Incorporated, Wilton, Maine; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated May 6, 2014, workers requested administrative reconsideration of the negative

determination regarding workers’ eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance applicable to workers and former workers of Sykes Enterprises, Incorporated, Wilton, Maine (subject firm). The determination was issued on March 19, 2014 and the Department’s Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on April 8, 2014 (79 FR 19382).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the findings that there was no increase in imports by the workers’ firm or its customers, nor was there a shift in the supply of services to a foreign country (or foreign acquisition of such services) by the workers’ firm.

The request for reconsideration asserts that the subject firm continues to

supply from a foreign location like or directly competitive services while decreasing services supplied within the United States. The request for reconsideration included new information in support of the allegations.

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 30th day of May, 2014.

Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2014-13875 Filed 6-12-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-85,104]

Fisher and Ludlow A Nucor Company Saegertown, Pennsylvania; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated May 2, 2014, a representative of United Steelworkers, District 10, requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) applicable to workers and former workers of Fisher and Ludlow, a Nucor Company, Saegertown, Pennsylvania. The determination was issued on April 8, 2014 and the Department's Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on April 29, 2014 (79 FR 24018).

The group eligibility requirements for workers of a firm under Section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act are satisfied if the following criteria are met:

- (I) Whether a significant number of workers in the workers' firm are 50 years of age or older;
- (II) Whether the workers in the workers' firm possess skills that are not easily transferable; and

(III) The competitive conditions within the workers' industry (i.e., conditions within the industry are adverse).

The negative determination for ATAA was based on the Department's findings that Section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii)(II) was not been met because the workers in the workers' firm possess skills that are easily transferrable and Section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii)(III) was not been met because conditions within the workers' industry are not adverse.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;
- (2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The request for reconsideration asserts that the workers in the workers' firm possess skills that are not easily transferrable and that conditions within the workers' industry are adverse. The request provides facts not previously considered to support the assertions.

The Department of Labor has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 28th day of May, 2014.

Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2014-13877 Filed 6-12-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-85,019]

Saliency Insight, Inc. F/K/A KD Paine & Partners, Inc. A Subsidiary of News Group International Berlin, New Hampshire; Notice of Negative Determination on Reconsideration

On April 11, 2014, the Department of Labor issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for the workers and former workers of Saliency Insight, Inc., formerly known as KD Paine & Partners, Inc., a subsidiary of News Group International, Berlin, New Hampshire (subject firm). The Department's Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on May 7, 2014 (79 FR 26268).

Workers of a firm may be eligible for worker adjustment assistance if they satisfy the criteria of subsection (a) and (b) of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (the "Act"), 19 U.S.C. 2272(a) and (b). For the Department of Labor to issue a certification for workers under Section 222(a) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2272(a), the following three criteria must be met:

- (1) The first criterion (set forth in Section 222(a)(1) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. § 2272(a)(1)) requires that a significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;
- (2) The second criterion (set forth in Section 222(a)(2) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. § 2272(a)(2)) may be satisfied in one of two ways:

(A) Increased Imports Path:

(i) Sales or production, or both, at the workers' firm must have decreased absolutely, AND

(ii) imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have increased; and

(iii) the increase described in clause (ii) contributed importantly to such workers' separation or threat of separation and to the decline in the sales or production of such firm or subdivision.

(B) Shift in Production Path:

(i) There has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to a foreign country of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by such firm or subdivision; and

(ii)(I) the country to which the workers' firm has shifted production of the articles is a party to a free trade agreement with the United States;

(II) the country to which the workers' firm has shifted production of the articles is a beneficiary country under the African Growth and Opportunity Act, or the Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act; or