is to conduct a nation-wide survey to update the scientific evidence of the relationship between aircraft noise exposure and its effects on communities around airports.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted by August 11, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kathy DePaepe at (405) 954–9362, or by email at: *Kathy.DePaepe@faa.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 2120–XXXX (to be determined).

Title: Neighborhood Environmental Survey.

Form Numbers: There are no FAA forms associated with this collection of information.

Type of Review: Clearance of a new information collection.

Background: This Neighborhood Environmental Survey is necessary to update the relationship between aircraft noise exposure and its effect on communities around United States airports. This survey will collect data on annoyance from a representative sample of households surrounding airports chosen from a representative sample, and relate the annovance level to the noise exposure for that address. The FAA will use the information from this collection to derive the empirical data to support potential updates to or validation of the national aviation noise policy.

Respondents: 12,147 respondents affected by airport noise.

Frequency: One time per respondent. Estimated Average Burden per Response: Five minutes for a mail survey, twenty minutes for a telephone survey for selected respondents.

Estimated Total Annual Burden: 1,544 hours.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to the FAA at the following address: Ms. Kathy DePaepe, Room 126B, Federal Aviation Administration, ASP–110, 6500 S. MacArthur Blvd., Oklahoma City, OK 73169.

Public Comments Invited: You are asked to comment on any aspect of this information collection, including (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for FAA's performance; (b) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (c) ways for FAA to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and (d) ways that the burden could be minimized without reducing the quality of the collected information. The agency will summarize and/or include your comments in the request for OMB's clearance of this information collection. Issued in Washington, DC, on June 5, 2014. Albert R. Spence,

FAA Assistant Information Collection Clearance Officer, IT Enterprises Business Services Division, ASP–110.

[FR Doc. 2014–13686 Filed 6–11–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Agency Information Collection Activities: Requests for Comments; Clearance of Renewed Approval of Information Collection: FAA Entry Point Filing Form—International Registry

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DoT. **ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, FAA invites public comments about our intention to request the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval to renew an information collection. The respondents supply information through the AC 8050–135 to the FAA Civil Aviation Registry's Aircraft Registration Branch in order to obtain an authorization code for access to the International Registry.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted by August 11, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kathy DePaepe at (405) 954–9362, or by email at: *Kathy.DePaepe@faa.gov.* **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

OMB Control Number: 2120–0697. Title: FAA Entry Point Filing Form— International Registry.

Form Numbers: FAA Form 8050–135. Type of Review: Renewal of an

information collection.

Background: The information collected is necessary to obtain an authorization code for transmission of information to the International Registry. To transmit certain types of interests or prospective interests to the International Registry, interested parties must file a completed FAA Entry Point Filing Form—International Registry, AC Form 8050–135, with the FAA Civil Aviation Registry. Upon receipt of the completed form, the FAA Civil Aviation Registry will issue the unique authorization code.

Respondents: Approximately 8,750 applicants.

Frequency: Information is collected on occasion.

Estimated Average Burden per Response: 30 minutes. *Estimated Total Annual Burden:* 4,375 hours.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to the FAA at the following address: Ms. Kathy DePaepe, Room 126B, Federal Aviation Administration, ASP–110, 6500 S. MacArthur Blvd., Oklahoma City, OK 73169.

Public Comments Invited: You are asked to comment on any aspect of this information collection, including (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for FAA's performance; (b) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (c) ways for FAA to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and (d) ways that the burden could be minimized without reducing the quality of the collected information. The agency will summarize and/or include your comments in the request for OMB's clearance of this information collection.

Issued in Washington, DC, on June 5, 2014.

Albert R. Spence,

FAA Assistant Information Collection Clearance Officer, IT Enterprises Business Services Division, ASP–110.

[FR Doc. 2014–13688 Filed 6–11–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

FAA Approval of Noise Compatibility Program; Seattle-Tacoma International Airport, Seattle, Washington

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) announces its findings on the noise compatibility program submitted by the Seattle-Tacoma International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Noise Abatement Act, hereinafter referred to as "the Act" and FAA regulations. These findings are made in recognition of the description of Federal and nonfederal responsibilities in Senate Report No. 96-52 (1980). On December 13, 2013, the FAA determined that the noise exposure maps submitted by the Seattle-Tacoma International Airport were in compliance with applicable requirements. On May 29, 2014, the FAA approved the Seattle-Tacoma International Airport noise compatibility program. Nineteen of the Twenty-two recommendations of the program were approved. One recommendation was disapproved, one withdrawn and one approved in part and disapproved in part.