

Terminal Instrument Procedures (TERPS). In developing these SIAPS and Takeoff Minimums and ODPs, the TERPS criteria were applied to the conditions existing or anticipated at the affected airports. Because of the close and immediate relationship between these SIAPs, Takeoff Minimums and ODPs, and safety in air commerce, I find that notice and public procedures before adopting these SIAPS, Takeoff Minimums and ODPs are impracticable and contrary to the public interest and, where applicable, that good cause exists for making some SIAPs effective in less than 30 days.

### Conclusion

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore—(1) is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866;(2) is not a “significant rule” under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. For the same reason, the FAA certifies that this amendment will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 97

Air Traffic Control, Airports, Incorporation by reference, and Navigation (air).

Issued in Washington, DC, on May 23, 2014.

**John Duncan,**

*Director, Flight Standards Service.*

### Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 97 (14 CFR part 97) is amended by establishing, amending, suspending, or revoking Standard Instrument Approach Procedures and/or Takeoff Minimums and/or Obstacle Departure Procedures effective at 0902 UTC on the dates specified, as follows:

### PART 97—STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 97 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40106, 40113, 40114, 40120, 44502, 44514, 44701, 44719, 44721–44722.

■ 2. Part 97 is amended to read as follows:

#### Effective 26 JUNE 2014

Alexander City, AL, Thomas C Russell Fld, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Amdt 3  
 Aliceville, AL, George Downer, RNAV (GPS) RWY 6, Orig–B  
 Mojave, CA, Mojave, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Amdt 2A  
 Akron, NY, Akron, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Amdt 1  
 Bend, OR, Bend Muni, RNAV (GPS) Y RWY 16, Amdt 2  
 Bend, OR, Bend Muni, VOR/DME RWY 16, Amdt 10  
 Lewisburg, TN, Ellington, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Amdt 1  
 Dallas, TX, Collin County Rgnl At Mc Kinney, ILS OR LOC RWY 18, Amdt 5  
 Winchester, VA, Winchester Rgnl, RNAV (GPS) RWY 14, Amdt 1

#### Effective 24 JULY 2014

Susanville, CA, Susanville Muni, RNAV (GPS) RWY 29, Amdt 1A  
 Jacksonville, FL, Herlong Recreational, RNAV (GPS) RWY 25, Amdt 1  
 Zephyrhills, FL, Zephyrhills Muni, NDB RWY 18, Amdt 1A, CANCELED  
 Zephyrhills, FL, Zephyrhills Muni, NDB RWY 22, Amdt 1A, CANCELED  
 Zephyrhills, FL, Zephyrhills Muni, NDB RWY 36, Amdt 1A, CANCELED  
 Jeffersonville, IN, Clark Rgnl, ILS OR LOC RWY 18, Amdt 3  
 Jeffersonville, IN, Clark Rgnl, NDB RWY 18, Amdt 2  
 Jeffersonville, IN, Clark Rgnl, VOR RWY 18, Amdt 4, CANCELED  
 Natchitoches, LA, Natchitoches Rgnl, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Amdt 7  
 Indian Head, MD, Maryland, RNAV (GPS) RWY 2, Orig  
 Indian Head, MD, Maryland, RNAV (GPS) RWY 36, Orig–C, CANCELED  
 Indian Head, MD, Maryland, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Orig  
 Indian Head, MD, Maryland, VOR–A, Orig–B, CANCELED  
 Marlette, MI, Marlette, VOR/DME–A, Amdt 6, CANCELED  
 Plymouth, MI, Canton-Plymouth-Mettetal, RNAV (GPS) RWY 18, Orig, CANCELED  
 Plymouth, MI, Canton-Plymouth-Mettetal, RNAV (GPS)–B, Orig  
 Branson, MO, Branson, ILS OR LOC RWY 32, Orig–A  
 Grenada, MS, Grenada Muni, ILS OR LOC/DME RWY 13, Amdt 2  
 Elizabeth City, NC, Elizabeth City CG Air Station/Rgnl, RNAV (GPS) RWY 28, ORIG–A  
 Kinston, NC, Kinston Rgnl Jetport At Stallings Fld, VOR RWY 23, Amdt 16  
 Stigler, OK, Stigler Rgnl, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Amdt 1  
 Newport, OR, Newport Muni, RNAV (GPS) RWY 34, Orig–A  
 Redmond, OR, Roberts Field, RNAV (GPS) RWY 10, Amdt 1  
 Redmond, OR, Roberts Field, RNAV (GPS) Y RWY 4, Amdt 1  
 Redmond, OR, Roberts Field, RNAV (GPS) Y RWY 22, Orig–B

Redmond, OR, Roberts Field, RNAV (RNP) Z RWY 4, Amdt 1  
 Redmond, OR, Roberts Field, RNAV (RNP) Z RWY 22, Amdt 1  
 Redmond, OR, Roberts Field, VOR–A, Amdt 6  
 Altoona, PA, Altoona-Blair County, ILS OR LOC RWY 21, Amdt 8  
 Latrobe, PA, Arnold Palmer Rgnl, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Amdt 5  
 Philadelphia, PA, Philadelphia Intl, ILS V RWY 9R (CONVERGING), Amdt 4B  
 Philadelphia, PA, Philadelphia Intl, ILS V RWY 17 (CONVERGING), Amdt 6B  
 Philadelphia, PA, Philadelphia Intl, ILS Z OR LOC RWY 9R, ILS Z RWY 9R (CAT II), ILS Z RWY 9R (CAT III), Amdt 9B  
 Philadelphia, PA, Philadelphia Intl, ILS Z OR LOC RWY 17, Amdt 8A  
 Greeneville, TN, Greeneville-Greene County Muni, NDB RWY 5, Amdt 5  
 Greeneville, TN, Greeneville-Greene County Muni, RNAV (GPS) RWY 5, Orig  
 Greeneville, TN, Greeneville-Greene County Muni, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Amdt 4  
 Smyrna, TN, Smyrna, ILS OR LOC/DME RWY 32, Amdt 6  
 Smyrna, TN, Smyrna, NDB RWY 32, Amdt 9B, CANCELED

Moundsville, WV, Marshall County, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Amdt 2

[FR Doc. 2014–13401 Filed 6–10–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–13–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Food and Drug Administration

#### 21 CFR Part 73

[Docket No. FDA–2012–C–0900]

### Listing of Color Additives Exempt From Certification; Spirulina Extract; Confirmation of Effective Date

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Final rule; confirmation of effective date.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA or we) is confirming the effective date of May 13, 2014, for the final rule that appeared in the **Federal Register** of April 11, 2014, and that amended the color additive regulations to expand the permitted use of spirulina extract made from the dried biomass of the cyanobacteria *Arthrospira platensis* (*A. platensis*) as a color additive in food.

**DATES:** The effective date for the final rule published April 11, 2014 (79 FR 20095), is confirmed as May 13, 2014.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Felicia M. Ellison, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFS–265), Food and Drug Administration,

5100 Paint Branch Pkwy., College Park, MD 20740-3835, 240-402-1264.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In the **Federal Register** of April 11, 2014 (79 FR 20095), we amended the color additive regulations in § 73.530 *Spirulina extract* (21 CFR 73.530) to expand the permitted use of spirulina extract made from the dried biomass of the cyanobacteria *A. platensis*, as a color additive in confections (including candy and chewing gum), frostings, ice cream and frozen desserts, dessert coatings and toppings, beverage mixes and powders, yogurts, custards, puddings, cottage cheese, gelatin, breadcrumbs, and ready-to-eat cereals (excluding extruded cereals).

We gave interested persons until May 12, 2014, to file objections or requests for a hearing. We received no objections or requests for a hearing on the final rule. Therefore, we find that the effective date of the final rule that published in the **Federal Register** of April 11, 2014, should be confirmed.

#### List of Subjects in 21 CFR Part 73

Color additives, Cosmetics, Drugs, Medical devices.

Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 321, 341, 342, 343, 348, 351, 352, 355, 361, 362, 371, 379e) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs, and redelegated to the Director, Office of Food Additive Safety, we are giving notice that no objections or requests for a hearing were filed in response to the April 11, 2014, final rule. Accordingly, the amendments issued thereby became effective May 13, 2014.

Dated: June 6, 2014.

**Philip L. Chao,**

*Acting Director, Office of Regulations, Policy and Social Sciences, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition.*

[FR Doc. 2014-13524 Filed 6-10-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

### 34 CFR Chapter VI

[Docket ID ED-2014-OPE-0036; CFDA Number 84.016A.]

#### Final Priority; Undergraduate International Studies and Foreign Language Program

**AGENCY:** Office of Postsecondary Education, Department of Education.

**ACTION:** Final Priority.

**SUMMARY:** The Acting Assistant Secretary for Postsecondary Education

announces a priority under the Undergraduate International Studies and Foreign Language (UISFL) Program administered by the International and Foreign Language Education Office. The Acting Assistant Secretary may use this priority for competitions in fiscal year (FY) 2014 and later years. We take this action to focus Federal financial assistance on an identified national need. We intend the priority to address a gap in the types of institutions, faculty, and students that have historically benefited from international education opportunities.

**DATES: Effective Date:** This priority is effective July 11, 2014.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Tanyelle Richardson, U.S. Department of Education, 1990 K Street NW., Room 6099, Washington, DC 20006-8521. Telephone: (202) 502-7626 or by email: [tanyelle.richardson@ed.gov](mailto:tanyelle.richardson@ed.gov).

If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) or a text telephone (TTY), call the Federal Relay Service (FRS), toll free, at 1-800-877-8339.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Purpose of Program:** The UISFL Program provides grants for planning, developing, and carrying out programs to strengthen and improve undergraduate instruction in international studies and foreign languages.

**Program Authority:** 20 U.S.C. 1124.

**Applicable Program Regulations:** 34 CFR parts 655 and 658.

We published a notice of proposed priority for this program in the **Federal Register** on March 18, 2014 (79 FR 15087). That notice contained background information and our reasons for proposing this particular priority.

There are technical differences between the proposed priority and this final priority. We have clarified how applicants that are consortia or partnerships may meet the priority.

**Public Comment:** In response to our invitation in the notice of proposed priority, six parties submitted comments.

Generally, we do not address technical and other minor changes.

**Analysis of Comments and Changes:** An analysis of the comments and any changes in the priority since publication of the notice of proposed priority follows.

**Comment:** Several commenters noted their support of the proposed priority, and praised the Department's efforts to promote the participation of Minority-Serving Institutions (MSIs) and community colleges in programs funded under Title VI of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended (HEA), and to

serve students that are historically under-represented in international education programs.

**Discussion:** We appreciate the commenters' support.

**Changes:** None.

**Comment:** One commenter suggested that traditional four-year colleges and universities are better prepared to serve as the lead applicant in a consortium than are community colleges and MSIs, as they are better able, in the current fiscal climate, to devote resources to study-abroad activities and the study of critical languages. The commenter also suggested that community colleges and MSIs struggle to continue and sustain efforts begun with UISFL grant funds.

**Discussion:** We disagree that community colleges and MSIs would not be able to serve effectively as the lead applicant in a consortium for this program. This priority aims to increase the number of MSIs and community colleges that become grantees, in order to increase their students' access to academic coursework, instructional activities, and training that would better prepare them for the 21st-century global economy, careers in international service, and lifelong engagement with the diverse communities in which they will live.

Although the Department notes the commenter's concerns, the UISFL Program is not meant to be utilized solely for study abroad or critical language study efforts. The program is also intended to support institution-wide internationalization efforts that are customized according to the institution's and its students' needs and goals. This could include a program of study that does not include study abroad or critical language study.

Where fiscal and other resources are limited, the Department encourages applicants to the UISFL Program to design consortium applications in which institutions join together to build upon the resources, financial and otherwise, of their partners. In this way, the partnership increases the likelihood of projects being sustained and fully supported. In addition, the program's matching requirement is meant to encourage sustainability and demonstrate commitment by an applicant institution's administration.

**Changes:** None.

**Comment:** One commenter suggested that the Department has underestimated the number of additional burden hours required to complete new, OMB-approved forms on project-specific performance measures. The commenter also suggested that new applicants to the program would be at a disadvantage until they are familiar with the forms.