

section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, in this investigation. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert Needham, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-5468. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on March 5, 2013, based on a complaint filed by Neptun Light, Inc., and Mr. Andrzej Bobel (together, "Neptun") to consider alleged violations of section 337 by reason of infringement of claims 1, 2, 10, and 11 of U.S. Patent No. 7,053,540 ("the '540 patent"). 78 Fed. Reg. 14357-58. The Commission's notice of investigation named as respondents Maxlite, Inc. ("Maxlight"); Satco Products, Inc. ("Satco"); Litetronics International, Inc. ("Litetronics") (together, "Respondents"); and Technical Consumer Products, Inc. ("TCP"). *Id.* at 14358. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations did not participate in this investigation. *Id.*

On June 10, 2013, Neptun and TCP moved to terminate the investigation with respect to TCP on the basis of a settlement agreement. The motion was granted on June 11, 2013. Order No. 20, not reviewed (July 8, 2013).

On February 3, 2014, the ALJ issued his final initial determination ("ID"), finding a violation of section 337. Specifically, the ALJ found that Maxlite and Satco violated section 337 with respect to claims 1, 2 and 11 of the '540 patent, and that Litetronics violated section 337 with respect to claims 1, 2 and 10 of the '540 patent. The ALJ recommended that a limited exclusion order issue against the infringing products of Maxlite, Satco, and

Litetronics. He did not recommend the issuance of any cease and desist orders.

On February 18, 2014, Respondents petitioned for review of several of the ALJ's findings. Also on February 18, 2014, Neptun contingently petitioned for review of the ALJ's finding that Neptun had not made a sufficient showing on the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement through 19 U.S.C. 1337(a)(3)(C). On February 26, 2014, Neptun and Respondents opposed each other's petitions.

On April 8, 2014, the Commission determined to review the ALJ's findings on the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement, the claim construction of "mating opening," and infringement. The Commission also sought briefing from the parties on seven issues, and received opening submissions on April 22, 2014, and responsive submissions on April 29, 2014.

Having examined the record of this investigation, including the ALJ's final ID and the submissions from the parties, the Commission has determined that Neptun has not proven a violation of section 337. Specifically, the Commission has determined to reject the ALJ's construction of "mating opening," and to reverse the ALJ's findings of infringement. The Commission takes no position on whether Neptun satisfied the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement. *See Beloit Corp. v. Valmet Oy*, 742 F.2d 1421, 1423 (Fed. Cir. 1984). All other findings in the ID that are consistent with the Commission's determinations are affirmed. A Commission Opinion will issue shortly.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Dated: June 3, 2014.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2014-13340 Filed 6-6-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1140-0070]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Application for Explosives License or Permit

AGENCY: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 30-day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice (DOJ), Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF) will submit the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** Volume 79, Number 64, page 18698 on April 3, 2014, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

DATES: The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until July 9, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

If you have comments, especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Christopher R. Reeves, Federal Explosives Licensing Center, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, 244 Needy Road, Martinsburg, WV 25405, Telephone 1-877-283-3352. Written comments and/or suggestions can also be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503 or email to OIRA_submission@omb.eop.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10. Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection 1140-0070

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Extension without change of an existing collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Application for Explosives License or Permit.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:*

Form number(s): ATF Form 5400.13/5400.16.

Component: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, U.S. Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:*

Primary: Business or other-for-profit.

Other: Individual or households.

Abstract: All persons intending to engage in the business of manufacturing, dealing, importing or using explosives materials must submit an ATF Form 5400.13/5400.16 Application for Explosives License or Permit to the Department of Justice (DOJ), Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF). The explosives application will be processed by the ATF Federal Explosives Licensing Center (FELC), and upon approval, the applicant shall receive their explosives license or permit within a ninety-day timeframe.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* An estimated 10,200 respondents will take 1 hour and 30 minutes to complete the form.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* The estimated annual public burden associated with this collection is 15,300 hours.

If additional information is required contact: Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice

Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE., Room 3E-405B, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: June 3, 2014.

Jerri Murray,

Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2014-13252 Filed 6-6-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-FY-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Amendment to Consent Decree Under the Clean Water Act

On May 30, 2014, the Department of Justice lodged with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia a proposed Third Amendment to the Consent Decree previously entered in *United States and Commonwealth of Virginia v. Hampton Roads Sanitation District*, Civil Action No. 2:09-cv-481 ("Third Amendment").

The United States filed a complaint and lodged a consent decree concurrently in September, 2009, to address claims under the Clean Water Act arising from sanitary sewer overflows in the service area of the Hampton Roads Sanitation District ("HRSD"). A second amendment, entered in 2013, extended the deadline for the completion of the Regional Wet Weather Management Plan ("RWWMP") in order to give HRSD and the local governments up to three years to study, evaluate, and implement regionalization, *i.e.*, consolidation of ownership and control of all the collection and treatment system under HRSD.

The regionalization study was completed but the local governments and HRSD did not agree to transfer ownership to HRSD. This Third Amendment provides, consistent with HRSD and the local governments' agreement, that HRSD, as the central authority, will design, fund and implement the projects in the RWWMP, including in systems where the assets were owned by the local communities, so that the benefits of the regional approach could be realized. The Third Amendment also extends a final deadline for submission of the Regional Wet Weather Management Plan to 2017.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the proposed Third Amendment. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States et al. v. Hampton Roads Sanitation District*, D.J. Ref. No.

90-5-1-1-09125. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments:	Send them to:
By email	pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

During the public comment period, the proposed Third Amendment may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/ConsentDecrees.html>. We will provide a paper copy of the Third Amendment upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$3.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

Robert Brook,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2014-13296 Filed 6-6-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to The National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—American Society of Mechanical Engineers

Notice is hereby given that, on May 2, 2014, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. § 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), the American Society of Mechanical Engineers ("ASME") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing additions or changes to its standards development activities. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, since January 8, 2014 ASME has published four new standards and withdrawn ten published standards within the general nature and scope of ASME's standards