

ANNUAL BURDEN ESTIMATES

Forms	Annualized number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden (in hours) per response	Total annualized burden	Hourly wage rate	Total annualized hourly cost
MFS-IP Follow-up Survey—Male (9 & 18 month) .....	321	1	1.5	481.5	\$5.85	\$2816.78
MFS-IP Follow-up Survey—Female (9 & 18 month) .....	488.3	1	1.5	732.5	17.17	12577.03
MFS-IP Follow-up Survey—Male (34 month and follow-back) .....	462.7	1	1.5	694	5.85	4059.90
MFS-IP Follow-up Survey—Female (34 month and follow-back) .....	462.7	1	1.5	694	17.17	11915.98
Totals .....	.....	.....	.....	2602	.....	31369.69

OS specifically requests comments on (1) the necessity and utility of the proposed information collection for the proper performance of the agency’s functions, (2) the accuracy of the estimated burden, (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected, and (4) the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology to minimize the information collection burden.

**Darius Taylor,**  
*Information Collection Clearance Officer.*  
 [FR Doc. 2014-12850 Filed 6-3-14; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4150-05-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

**Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality**

**Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Generic Clearance for the Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery**

**AGENCY:** Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** As part of a Federal Government-wide effort to streamline the process to seek feedback from the public on service delivery, AHRQ has submitted a Generic Information Collection Request (Generic ICR): “Generic Clearance for the Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery” to OMB for approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA).  
**DATES:** Comments must be submitted August 4, 2014.  
**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be submitted to: Doris Lefkowitz, Reports Clearance Officer, AHRQ, by email at [doris.lefkowitz@AHRQ.hhs.gov](mailto:doris.lefkowitz@AHRQ.hhs.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** To request additional information, please contact: Doris Lefkowitz, AHRQ Reports Clearance Officer, (301) 427-1477, or by email at [doris.lefkowitz@AHRQ.hhs.gov](mailto:doris.lefkowitz@AHRQ.hhs.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Title:* Generic Clearance for the Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery.

*Abstract:* The information collection activity will garner qualitative customer and stakeholder feedback in an efficient, timely manner, in accordance with the Administration’s commitment to improving service delivery. By qualitative feedback we mean information that provides useful insights on perceptions and opinions, but are not statistical surveys that yield quantitative results that can be generalized to the population of study. This feedback will provide insights into customer or stakeholder perceptions, experiences and expectations, provide an early warning of issues with service, or focus attention on areas where communication, training or changes in operations might improve delivery of products or services. These collections will allow for ongoing, collaborative and actionable communications between the Agency and its customers and stakeholders. It will also allow feedback to contribute directly to the improvement of program management. The current clearance was approved on July 24th, 2011 (OMB Control Number 0935-0179) and will expire on July 31st, 2014.

Feedback collected under this generic clearance will provide useful information, but it will not yield data that can be generalized to the overall population. This type of generic clearance for qualitative information will not be used for quantitative information collections that are designed to yield reliably actionable results, such as monitoring trends over time or documenting program

performance. Such data uses require more rigorous designs that address: The target population to which generalizations will be made, the sampling frame, the sample design (including stratification and clustering), the precision requirements or power calculations that justify the proposed sample size, the expected response rate, methods for assessing potential non-response bias, the protocols for data collection, and any testing procedures that were or will be undertaken prior to fielding the study. Depending on the degree of influence the results are likely to have, such collections may still be eligible for submission for other generic mechanisms that are designed to yield quantitative results.

Below we provide AHRQ’s projected average annual estimates for the next three years:

*Current Actions:* New collection of information. Type of Review: New Collection.

*Affected Public:* Individuals and Households, Businesses and Organizations, State, Local or Tribal Government.

*Average Expected Annual Number of activities:* 10.

*Respondents:* 10,900.

*Annual responses:* 10,900.

*Frequency of Response:* Once per request.

The total number of respondents across all 10 activities in a given year is 10,900.

*Average minutes per response:* 19.

*Burden hours:* 3,452.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget control number.

**Request for Comments**

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act, comments on AHRQ’s information collection are requested

with regard to any of the following: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of AHRQ healthcare research and healthcare information dissemination functions, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of AHRQ's estimate of burden (including hours and costs) of the proposed collection(s) of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information upon the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and included in the Agency's subsequent request for OMB approval of the proposed information collection. All comments will become a matter of public record.

Dated: May 23, 2014.

**Richard Kronick,**  
*Director.*

[FR Doc. 2014-12908 Filed 6-3-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4160-90-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[60Day-14-0907]

#### Proposed Data Collections Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), as part of its continuing effort to reduce public burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. To request more information on the below proposed project or to obtain a copy of the information collection plan and instruments, call 404-639-7570 or send comments to LeRoy Richardson, 1600 Clifton Road, MS-D74, Atlanta, GA 30333 or send an email to [omb@cdc.gov](mailto:omb@cdc.gov).

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the

information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (e) estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; to develop, acquire, install and utilize technology and systems for the purpose of collecting, validating and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; to train personnel and to be able to respond to a collection of information, to search data sources, to complete and review the collection of information; and to transmit or otherwise disclose the information. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

#### Proposed Project

Musculoskeletal Disorder (MSD) Intervention Effectiveness in Material Handling Operations (OMB No. 0920-0907, expires 11/30/2014)—Revision—National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

#### Background and Brief Description

The mission of the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) is to promote safety and health at work for all people through research and prevention. Under Public Law 91-596, sections 20 and 22 (Section 20-22, Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970), NIOSH has the responsibility to conduct research to advance the health and safety of workers. In this capacity, NIOSH proposes a two-year approval to continue a study to assess the effectiveness and cost-benefit of occupational safety and health (OSH) interventions for musculoskeletal disorders.

NIOSH and the Ohio Bureau of Workers Compensation (OBWC) will continue to collaborate on a multi-site intervention study at OBWC-insured companies from 2014-2016. In overview, MSD engineering control interventions (such as stair-climbing, powered hand trucks, and powered

truck lift gates) will be tested for effectiveness in reducing self-reported back and upper extremity pain among 960 employees performing material handling operations in 72 establishments using a prospective experimental design (multiple baselines across groups). The costs of the interventions will be funded through existing OBWC funds and participating establishments.

This study will provide important information that is not currently available elsewhere on the effectiveness of OSH interventions for workers. The study sub-sample will be volunteer employees at OBWC-insured establishments who perform material handling tasks that are expected to be impacted by the engineering control interventions. It is estimated that there will be 960 impacted employees in the recruited establishments, which will be paired according to previous workers compensation loss history and establishment size.

This protocol is changed from the previous data collection in that:

- A Low Back Functional Assessment is no longer being conducted to increase data collection efficiency.

- The study population now includes workers performing material handling tasks in all industries, not just wholesale retail trade. Tested interventions also include a number of material handling engineering controls. These changes were made to increase generalizability of results.

- All employers will now receive the intervention immediately, rather than half being randomly selected to receive the intervention six months later. This change was made to increase participation among employers.

The main outcomes for this study are self-reported low back pain and upper extremity pain collected using surveys every three months over a two-year period from volunteer material handling workers at participating establishments. Individuals will also be asked to report usage of the interventions and material handling exposures every three months over two years. Individuals will also be asked to complete an annual health assessment survey at baseline, and once annually for two years.

In order to maximize efficiency and reduce burden, a choice of web-based or paper survey is proposed for the data collection.

All collected information will be used to determine whether there are significant differences in reported musculoskeletal pain and functional back pain score ratios (pre/post intervention scores), while controlling for covariates. Once the study is