

questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary (202–205–2000).

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS.<sup>5</sup>

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and of sections 201.10 and 210.8(c) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.10, 210.8(c)).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: April 30, 2014.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2014–10230 Filed 5–2–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–744 (Remand)]

### Investigations: Terminations, Modifications and Rulings: Certain Mobile Devices, Associated Software, and Components Thereof

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“the Commission”) has determined to terminate the above-captioned investigation because the only remaining asserted patent has expired.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3115. Copies of all non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. General

information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov/>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on November 5, 2010, based on a complaint filed by Microsoft Corporation of Redmond, Washington (“Microsoft”). 75 FR 68379–80 (Nov. 5, 2010). The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain mobile devices, associated software, and components thereof by reason of infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,579,517 (“the ‘517 patent”); 5,758,352 (“the ‘352 patent”); 6,621,746 (“the ‘746 patent”); 6,826,762 (“the ‘762 patent”); 6,909,910 (“the ‘910 patent”); 7,644,376 (“the ‘376 patent”); 5,664,133 (“the ‘133 patent”); 6,578,054 (“the ‘054 patent”); and 6,370,566 (“the ‘566 patent”). Subsequently, the ‘517 and the ‘746 patents were terminated from the investigation. The notice of investigation, as amended, names Motorola Mobility, Inc., of Libertyville, Illinois, and Motorola, Inc., of Schaumburg, Illinois (collectively, “Motorola”), as respondents. Motorola, Inc., n/k/a Motorola Solutions, was terminated from the investigation based on withdrawal of infringement allegations on July 12, 2011.

The presiding ALJ issued the final ID on violation in this investigation on December 20, 2011, finding a violation of section 337 only with respect to the ‘566 patent. The Commission affirmed the ALJ's finding and issued a limited exclusion order barring the entry of articles that infringe the ‘566 patent. See Commission Opinion at 36 (May 18, 2012).

Microsoft appealed to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit for review of the Commission's determination of no violation with respect to the ‘054, ‘762, ‘376, and ‘133 patents. On October 3, 2013, the Federal Circuit affirmed the Commission's determination of no violation with respect to the ‘054, ‘762, and ‘376 patents. The Federal Circuit remanded consideration of the ‘133 patent to the Commission, with instructions to apply a different construction of the asserted

‘133 patent claims. *Microsoft Corp. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n*, 731 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2013). On December 16, 2013, the Federal Circuit affirmed the Commission's exclusion order based on infringement of the ‘566 patent. *Motorola Mobility, LLC v. Int'l Trade Comm'n*, 737 F.3d 1345 (Fed. Cir. 2013).

On December 13, 2013, the remanded ‘133 patent expired. On December 16, 2013, Motorola filed a motion with the Federal Circuit seeking to have the court's decision with respect to that patent vacated based on mootness due to the expiration of the ‘133 patent. On January 3, 2014, in a non-precedential order, the Federal Circuit denied Motorola's motion. *Microsoft Corp. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n*, Appeal No. 2012–1445, Order (Fed. Cir. Jan. 3, 2014). The court stated its conclusion was not dependent on “whether the Commission can any longer take action on the ‘133 patent or otherwise has any continuing interest in this matter.” *Id.* at 2.

Because the ‘133 patent has expired, the Commission has determined no remedy may issue in this investigation. The Commission has therefore terminated the investigation.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: April 30, 2014.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2014–10231 Filed 5–2–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1125–0002]

### Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection; eComments Requested; Notice of Appeal From a Decision of an Immigration Judge

**AGENCY:** Executive Office for Immigration Review, Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** 30-day notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Justice (DOJ), Executive Office for Immigration Review (EOIR), will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register**

<sup>5</sup> Electronic Document Information System (EDIS): <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

Volume 79, Number 37, page 10563, on February 25, 2014, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

**DATES:** Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for an additional 30 days until June 4, 2014.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Jeff Rosenblum, General Counsel, USDOJ–EOIR–OGC, Suite 2600, 5107 Leesburg Pike, Falls Church, Virginia 20530; telephone: (703) 305–0470.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10. Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

#### Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Notice of Appeal from a Decision of an Immigration Judge.

(3) *Agency form number:* EOIR–26

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: A party (either the U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement of the Department of Homeland Security or the respondent/applicant) who appeals a decision of an Immigration Judge to the Board of

Immigration Appeals (Board). Other: None. Abstract: A party affected by a decision of an Immigration Judge may appeal that decision to the Board, provided the Board has jurisdiction pursuant to 8 CFR 1003.1(b). An appeal from an Immigration Judge's decision is taken by completing the Form EOIR–26 and submitting it to the Board.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* It is estimated that 20,141 respondents will complete the form annually with an average of thirty minutes per response.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* There are an estimated 10,070.5 total burden hours associated with this collection annually.

If additional information is required contact: Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE., Room 3E.405B, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: April 30, 2014.

**Jerri Murray,**

*Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 2014–10183 Filed 5–2–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–30–P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1122–NEW]

#### Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; New Collection Certification of Compliance With the Statutory Eligibility Requirements of the Violence Against Women Act as Amended and the Prison Rape Elimination Act for Applicants to the STOP (Services\* Training\* Officers\* Prosecutors) Violence Against Women Formula Grant Program

**AGENCY:** Office on Violence Against Women, Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** 30-Day notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office on Violence Against Women, will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** Volume 79, Number 17, page 10557, on

February 25, 2014, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

**DATES:** Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 30 days until June 4, 2014.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Cathy Poston, Attorney Advisor, Office on Violence Against Women, 145 N Street NE., Washington, DC 20530 (phone: 202–514–5430).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10. Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

#### Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* New collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Certification of Compliance with the Statutory Eligibility Requirements of the Violence Against Women Act as Amended and the Prison Rape Elimination Act for Applicants to the STOP (Services\* Training\* Officers\* Prosecutors) Violence Against Women Formula Grant Program.

(3) *Agency form number:* 1122–XXXX. The applicable component within the Department of Justice is the Office on Violence Against Women.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief*