

- Take, drive, or operate any vehicle through, around or beyond a restrictive sign, barricade, fence or traffic control barrier or device.

Exceptions to Closure

1. Any Federal, state, or local officer or employees in the scope of their official duties.
2. Members of any organized rescue or firefighting force in performance of an official duty.
3. Vehicles owned by the United States, the state of Utah, and Iron County.
4. Any person authorized in writing by the BLM-Utah Cedar City Field Manager.

Effect of Closure

The entire area encompassed by the legal description above is closed to all unauthorized personnel and will be marked clearly as such on the ground prior to and during the event. Access routes leading to the designated racecourse are closed to vehicles and people and will be marked as such. Unless specifically addressed by regulations set forth in 43 CFR, the laws of the state of Utah shall govern the use and operation of vehicles. The authorized event organizer or their representatives, in conjunction with the BLM, will post warning signs, control access to and clearly mark, the race course, spectator areas, common access roads and road crossings during the closure period. Spectator and support vehicles may be driven on open roads only. Spectators may only observe from designated spectator areas. Support vehicles under permit for operation by event participants must follow the race permit stipulations.

Any person who violates the above rule(s) and/or restriction(s) may be tried before a United States Magistrate and fined no more than \$1,000, imprisoned for no more than 12 months, or both. Such violations may also be subject to the enhanced fines provided for by 18 U.S.C. 3571.

Authority: 43 CFR 8364.1.

Jenna Whitlock,

Associate State Director.

[FR Doc. 2014-09890 Filed 4-29-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-DQ-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

[NPS-PWR-PWRO-14735;
PX.P0073969J.00.1]

Final Environmental Impact Statement for General Management Plan for Golden Gate National Recreation Area and Muir Woods National Monument, Counties of Marin, San Francisco, and San Mateo, CA

AGENCY: National Park Service.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The National Park Service has prepared the Final Environmental Impact Statement (Final EIS) for the proposed General Management Plan (GMP) for Golden Gate National Recreation Area and Muir Woods National Monument. The Final EIS/GMP evaluates four alternatives for managing Golden Gate National Recreation Area and Muir Woods, and upon approval the GMP will serve as a blueprint to guide management of these units of the National Park System over the next 20 years.

DATES: The National Park Service will execute a Record of Decision (ROD) no sooner than 30 days following **Federal Register** publication by the Environmental Protection Agency of its notice of filing and availability of the Final EIS.

ADDRESSES: An electronic version of the Final EIS/GMP will be available for public inspection on the project Web site, and a limited number of CDs and printed copies will be made available at park headquarters. Printed or CD copies may also be requested by contacting Golden Gate National Recreation Area, Fort Mason, Building 201, San Francisco, CA 94123; telephone (415) 561-4930.

Background

Established in 1972 to bring “parks to the people”, until now Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA) has been operating under the 1980 GMP. During the 30 years since the first GMP was approved, GGNRA has doubled in size and visitation now approaches 16 million annually. The management staff has gained a better understanding of the natural and cultural resources of the park and the many recreational uses that occur within the park areas. Muir Woods was declared a national monument in 1908 and is currently managed as part of GGNRA.

Public scoping was initiated in the spring of 2006. The Notice of Intent to prepare an EIS was published in the **Federal Register** on March 29, 2006.

Five public scoping meetings were held in the area; approximately 300 participants overall provided relevant information which was duly considered in drafting preliminary alternatives. The preliminary alternatives were initially reviewed with the public at meetings held in June, 2008 (over 1,500 substantive comments were collected). Additionally, numerous coordination meetings were conducted with local agencies and partner organizations. An update on the evolving preferred alternative was provided to the public in the summer, 2009. The Draft EIS/GMP was released on September 9, 2011 with comments accepted through December 9, 2011. Three public meetings were hosted. Approximately 540 pieces of correspondence were received. Some plan content was modified based on public comments, but there have been very few substantial changes to the alternatives under consideration.

Proposed Plan and Alternatives

The Final EIS/GMP describes and analyzes four alternatives. The no-action alternative consists of the existing park management and serves as a comparative basis for evaluating the other alternatives.

Alternative 1, “Connecting People with the Parks,” would further the founding idea of “parks to the people” and would engage the community and other visitors in the enjoyment, understanding, and stewardship of the park’s resources and values. Park management would focus on ways to attract and welcome people, connect people with the resources, and promote understanding, enjoyment, preservation, and health. Alternative 1 is the “agency-preferred” alternative for managing most park lands in Marin, San Francisco, and San Mateo Counties.

Alternative 2, “Preserving and Enjoying Coastal Ecosystems,” would place an emphasis on preserving, enhancing, and promoting the dynamic and interconnected coastal ecosystems in which marine resources are valued and prominently featured. Recreational and educational opportunities would allow visitors to learn about and enjoy the ocean and bay environments, and gain a better understanding of the region’s international significance and history.

Alternative 3, “Focusing on National Treasures,” would place an emphasis on the park’s nationally important natural and cultural resources. The fundamental resources of each showcased site would be managed at the highest level of preservation to protect the resources in perpetuity and to

promote appreciation, understanding, and enjoyment of those resources. Visitors would have the opportunity to explore locally the wide variety of experiences that are associated with many different types of units of the National Park System. All other resources would be managed to complement the nationally significant resources and associated visitor experiences. Alternative 3 is the "agency-preferred" alternative for Alcatraz Island and Muir Woods National Monument.

Alternative 1 is the "environmentally preferred" course of action for lands in Marin, San Francisco, and San Mateo Counties. Alternative 3 is "environmentally preferred" for Muir Woods National Monument and Alcatraz Island.

Decision Process: As a delegated EIS the official responsible for approval of the GMP is the Regional Director, Pacific West Region. Subsequently the official responsible for implementing the new GMP is the General Superintendent, Golden Gate National Recreation Area.

Dated: December 27, 2013.

Martha J. Lee,

Acting Regional Director, Pacific West Region.

[FR Doc. 2014-09883 Filed 4-29-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-FF-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Robotics Technology Consortium, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on March 25, 2014, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Robotics Technology Consortium, Inc. ("RTC") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, the following members have been added as parties to this venture: Alliant Techsystems (ATK), Tucson, AZ; Dragonfly Pictures, Inc., Essington, PA; Insitu, Inc., Bingen, WA; L-3 Communications, Communication Systems-West, Salt Lake City, UT; OpenSource Robotics Foundation, Inc., Mountain View, CA; Sikorsky Aircraft

Corporation, Stratford, CT; and Telefactor Robotics, LLC, West Conshohocken, PA.

Also, the following members have withdrawn from this venture: ABB, Inc., Cary, NC; Action Engineering, LLC, Lakewood, CA; Advanced Scientific Concepts, Santa Barbara, CA; Applied Research Associates, Inc., Albuquerque, NM; Barrett Technology, Inc., Cambridge, MA; Battelle, Columbus, OH; Battelle Energy Alliance, Idaho Falls, ID; Boston Dynamics, Inc., Waltham, MA; Broadcast Microwave Service, Poway, CA; Caterpillar Inc., Peoria, IL; Cedar Creek Defense, Cartersville, VA; Chatten Associates, Inc., West Conshohocken, PA; Defined Business Solutions, Washington, DC; DeVivo AST, Inc., Huntsville, AL; Dezudio, LLC, Pittsburgh, PA; DRS Sustainment Systems, Inc., St. Louis, MO; Eurisko Institute LLC, Monticello, FL; General Dynamics Robotics Systems, Westminster, MD; Integrated Solutions for Systems Inc., Huntsville, AL; Intelligent Automation, Inc., Rockville, MD; Jacobs Technology, Tullahoma, TN; Jochem Consulting, Gibsons, PA; Kairos Autonomi, Sandy, UT; KT-Tech, Incorporated, Bowie, MD; Kicker Studio, San Francisco, CA; KJVision LLC, Philadelphia, PA; L-3 Communications CyTerra, Woburn, MA; Nomadio, Inc., Philadelphia, PA; Northport Systems, LLC, Nashua, NH; NovaSol, Honolulu, HI; NuVision Engineering, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA; Oakland University, Rochester, MI; OpenJAUS, LLC, Allison Park, PA; Polaris Sensor Technologies, Inc., Huntsville, AL; Quantum Signal, LLC, Ann Arbor, MI; Rehg Enterprises, Atlanta, GA; San Diego State University, San Diego, CA; SAIC, San Diego, CA; SAVIT Corporation, Rockaway, NJ; Scientific Applications & Research Association, Cypress, CA; SkEyes Unlimited Corp., Monterey, CA; Stealth Composites, LLC, Salt Lake City, UT; Teledyne Scientific & Imaging, LLC, Durham, NC; Tectron Systems Corp., Hunt Valley, MD; Think-a-Move, Ltd, Beachwood, OH; TRACLabs, Inc., Houston, TX; Ultra Electronics Measurement Systems Inc., Wallingford, CT; University of Detroit Mercy, Detroit, MI; The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC; UrsaNav, Inc., Chesapeake, VA; Velodyne Lidar, Inc., Morgan Hill, CA; VELOXITI, Inc., Alpharetta, VA; Vision Robotics Federal Systems, LLC, San Diego, CA; West Virginia High Tech, Fairmont, VA; and WINTEC, Incorporated, Walton Beach, FL.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project.

Membership in this group research project remains open, and RTC intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On October 15, 2009, RTC filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on November 30, 2009 (74 FR 62599).

The last notification was filed with the Department on February 5, 2013. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on March 1, 2013 (78 FR 13896).

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2014-09786 Filed 4-29-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers

Notice is hereby given that, on March 26, 2014, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers ("IEEE") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing additions or changes to its standards development activities. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, 68 new standards have been initiated and 29 existing standards are being revised. More detail regarding these changes can be found at <http://standards.ieee.org/about/sba/jun2013.html>, <http://standards.ieee.org/about/sba/aug2013.html>, <http://standards.ieee.org/about/sba/oct2013.html> and <http://standards.ieee.org/about/sba/dec2013.html>.

On September 17, 2004, IEEE filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on November 3, 2004 (69 FR 64105).

The last notification was filed with the Department on May 31, 2013. A notice was published in the **Federal**