

Berthing, and Army Air and Missile Defense Task Force” dated July 2010. The Record of Decision (ROD) for the Final EIS was signed on September 20, 2010, and published in the **Federal Register** (75 FR 60438, September 30, 2010). In the months following the issuance of the ROD, the DoN made adjustments with regards to the LFTRC, including application of a probabilistic methodology that shrank the overall footprint of the Multi-Purpose Machine Gun range. The DoN also formally committed that if the Route 15 area was selected for the LFTRC, DoN would provide for 24 hours a day, 7 days a week access to Pagat Village and Pagat Cave historical sites, to include the trail leading to both. Faced with this new information, the DoN initially elected to prepare an SEIS limited solely to the evaluation of impacts associated with the location, construction, and operation of the LFTRC. The DoN issued its Notice of Intent (NOI) to prepare the SEIS in February 2012 in the **Federal Register** (77 FR 6787, February 9, 2012). In the NOI, the DoN preliminarily identified five (5) alternatives for the LFTRC: Two (2) were adjacent to Route 15 in northeastern Guam, and three (3) were located at or immediately adjacent to the Naval Magazine (NAVMAG). Public scoping meetings were conducted for the SEIS in March 2012, and the public scoping comment period closed on April 6, 2012. Shortly thereafter, on April 27, 2012, the U.S.-Japan Security Consultative Committee issued a joint statement announcing its decision to adjust the plans outlined in the May 2006 Roadmap for Realignment Implementation. In accordance with these “2012 Roadmap Adjustments,” the DoD adopted a new force posture in the Pacific providing for a materially smaller and reconfigured force on Guam. In conjunction with changes in the mix of personnel involved in the relocation, the adjustments reduced the originally planned relocation of approximately 8,600 Marines with approximately 9,000 dependents to a force of approximately 5,000 Marines with approximately 1,300 dependents. That decision prompted the DoN’s review of the actions previously planned for Guam and approved in the September 2010 ROD. This review concluded that while some actions remained unchanged, others, such as the size and location of the cantonment and family housing areas, could significantly change because of the force modification. Therefore, the DoN published a new NOI in the **Federal Register** (77 FR 61746, October 11, 2012) and amended the scope of the

ongoing LFTRC SEIS to add those actions that materially changed due to the new force posture. The DoN conducted additional public scoping meetings for this SEIS in November 2012.

Alternatives Considered

The Draft SEIS analyzes a range of alternatives for the proposed action including the no action alternative. The Draft SEIS analyzes four (4) cantonment/family housing alternatives: Alternative A—Finegayan; Alternative B—Finegayan/South Finegayan; Alternative C—AAFB; and Alternative D—Barrigada. The Draft SEIS analyzes five (5) LFTRC alternatives: Alternative 1—Route 15; Alternative 2—NAVMAG East/West; Alternative 3—NAVMAG North/South; Alternative 4—NAVMAG L-Shaped; and Alternative 5—Andersen Air Force Base Northwest Field (NWF).

The Draft EIS provides information on the affected environment and impacts of the proposed actions for 18 distinct resource areas. These resource areas include water quality, terrestrial biology, socioeconomics and environmental justice, land use, cultural resources, recreation, visual, marine transportation, ground transportation, air quality, noise, and utilities (including water, power and wastewater), among others.

Preferred Alternative

Per the guidance of CEQ, an agency’s preferred alternative is the alternative that the agency believes best fulfills its statutory mission and responsibilities, giving consideration to economic, environmental, technical and other factors (40 CFR 1502.14(e)). The DoN considered military requirements, infrastructure and environmental impacts and constraints, and scoping input from the public, resource agencies, and Government of Guam during the process of identifying a preferred alternative. The DoN’s preferred alternative is to construct and operate a cantonment (including family housing) at Finegayan (Alternative A) and an LFTRC at NWF (Alternative 5). This combination best meets Marine Corps operational requirements (size and layout), maximizes the use of federal land on Guam, and optimizes operational efficiencies due to the relative proximity of the facilities.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Commander Curtis Duncan, Joint Guam Program Office at 703-602-3825. On Guam, contact Major Darren Alvarez, Joint Guam Program Office Forward, at 671-339-3337.

Dated: April 14, 2014.

N.A. Hagerty-Ford,

Commander, Office of the Judge Advocate General, U.S. Navy, Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 2014-08845 Filed 4-17-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

[Docket No.: ED-2014-ICCD-0016]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to the Office of Management and Budget for Review and Approval; Comment Request; ED-524 Budget Information Non-Construction Programs Form and Instructions

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary/Office of the Deputy Secretary, Department of Education (ED).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 3501 *et seq.*), ED is proposing an extension of an existing information collection.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before May 19, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Comments submitted in response to this notice should be submitted electronically through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <http://www.regulations.gov> by selecting Docket ID number ED-2014-ICCD-0016 or via postal mail, commercial delivery, or hand delivery. *Please note that comments submitted by fax or email and those submitted after the comment period will not be accepted.* Written requests for information or comments submitted by postal mail or delivery should be addressed to the Director of the Information Collection Clearance Division, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., LBJ, Room 2E105, Washington, DC 20202-4537.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For questions related to collection activities or burden, please call Stephanie Valentine, 202-401-0526 or electronically mail ICDocketMgr@ed.gov. Please do not send comments here. We will ONLY accept comments in this mailbox when the [regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) site is not available to the public for any reason.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department of Education (ED), in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)), provides the general public and Federal agencies with an

opportunity to comment on proposed, revised, and continuing collections of information. This helps the Department assess the impact of its information collection requirements and minimize the public's reporting burden. It also helps the public understand the Department's information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format. ED is soliciting comments on the proposed information collection request (ICR) that is described below. The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology. Please note that written comments received in response to this notice will be considered public records.

Title of Collection: ED-524 Budget Information Non-Construction Programs Form and Instructions.

OMB Control Number: 1894-0008.

Type of Review: An extension of an existing information collection.

Respondents/Affected Public: Private Sector.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 5,400.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours: 94,500.

Abstract: The ED-524 form and instructions are included in U.S. Department of Education discretionary grant application packages and are needed in order for applicants to submit summary-level budget data by budget category, as well as a detailed budget narrative, to request and justify their proposed grant budgets which are part of their grant applications.

Dated: April 15, 2014.

Stephanie Valentine,

Acting Director, Information Collection Clearance Division, Privacy, Information and Records Management Services, Office of Management.

[FR Doc. 2014-08892 Filed 4-17-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Hawaii Clean Energy Draft Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement

AGENCY: U.S. Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of availability and public hearings.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) announces the availability of the *Hawaii Clean Energy Draft Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement* (Hawaii Clean Energy Draft PEIS or Draft PEIS) (DOE/EIS-0459). DOE also announces eight public hearings to receive comments on the Draft PEIS. The Draft PEIS evaluates the potential environmental impacts associated with 31 energy efficiency activities and renewable energy technologies that could assist the State of Hawaii in meeting the goals established under the Hawaii Clean Energy Initiative (HCEI).

DATES: DOE invites comments on the Draft PEIS during a 90-day period, which ends July 17, 2014. Comments submitted after this date will be considered to the extent practicable during preparation of the Hawaii Clean Energy Final PEIS. The Department will hold eight public hearings at the locations, dates, and times listed in **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** below.

ADDRESSES: Comments on the Draft PEIS may be submitted:

- Orally or in writing at a public hearing.
- By email to hawaii-clean-energy-peis@ee.doe.gov.

• Through the PEIS Web site at <http://hawaii-clean-energy-peis.com>.

- By mail to Dr. Jane Summerson, Hawaii Clean Energy PEIS Document Manager, DOE NNSA, POB 5400 Bldg 401, KAFB East, Albuquerque, NM 87185.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information on the Hawaii Clean Energy Draft PEIS, contact Dr. Jane Summerson at the address above or send an email to hawaii-clean-energy-peis@ee.doe.gov. For general information regarding the DOE National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) process, contact: Ms. Carol M. Borgstrom, Director, Office of NEPA Policy and Compliance (GC-54), U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Ave. SW., Washington, DC 20585, telephone 202-586-4600 or leave a message at 800-472-2756.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

DOE and Hawaii entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) in January 2008 that established a long-term partnership to assist Hawaii in its efforts to transform the way in which energy efficiency and renewable energy resources are planned and used in the State. The MOU established working

groups to address key sectors of the energy economy (e.g., electricity, end-use efficiency, transportation, and fuels), which led to the establishment of the Hawaii Clean Energy Initiative (HCEI). A goal of the HCEI is to meet 70 percent of Hawaii's energy needs by 2030 through energy efficiency and renewable energy (collectively "clean energy").

PEIS Scoping

On December 14, 2010, DOE issued a notice of intent to prepare a PEIS, with the State of Hawaii as a joint lead, on the wind phase of the Hawaii Interisland Renewable Energy Program (75 FR 77859). In light of scoping comments and regulatory and policy developments, DOE broadened the range of reasonable energy efficiency and renewable energy activities and technologies to be analyzed in the PEIS and issued an amended notice of intent to prepare the Hawaii Clean Energy PEIS (77 FR 47828; August 10, 2012). In preparing the PEIS, DOE considered scoping comments received on the initial and amended notices of intent.

The Hawaii Clean Energy Draft PEIS was prepared with the following cooperating agencies: State of Hawaii (Department of Business, Economic Development and Tourism), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 9, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, National Park Service, Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Marine Corps, U.S. Navy, and Federal Aviation Administration.

Purpose and Need for Agency Action

The purpose and need for DOE's action is based on the 2008 MOU with the State of Hawaii that established the long-term HCEI partnership. Consistent with this MOU, DOE's purpose and need is to support the State of Hawaii in its efforts to meet 70 percent of the State's energy needs by 2030 through clean energy. DOE's primary purpose in preparing this PEIS is to provide information to the public, Federal and State agencies, and future energy developers on the potential environmental impacts of a wide range of energy efficiency activities and renewable energy technologies that could support the HCEI. This environmental information could be used by decisionmakers, developers, and regulators in determining the best activities and technologies to meet future energy needs. The public could use this PEIS to better understand the types of potential impacts associated with the various technologies.