Dated: March 26, 2014. Lillian Salerno, Administrator, Rural Business-Cooperative Service. [FR Doc. 2014–07296 Filed 4–1–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–XY–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

#### **Rural Business-Cooperative Service**

## Notice of Request for Extension of a Currently Approved Information Collection

**AGENCY:** Rural Business-Cooperative Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces the Rural Business-Cooperative Service intention to request an extension for a currently approved information collection in support of the Repowering Assistance Program (OMB No. 0570–0066).

**DATES:** Comments on this notice must be received by June 2, 2014.

Additional Information Or Comments: Contact Todd Hubbell, Branch Chief, Energy Division, Repowering Assistance Program, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1400 Independence Avenue SW., Room 6865, Washington, DC 20250. Telephone: 202–690–2516. Email: Todd.Hubbell@wdc.usda.gov.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title:* Repowering Assistance Program.

*OMB Number:* OMB No. 0570–0066. *Expiration Date of Approval:* June 30, 2014.

*Type of Request:* Extension and revision of a currently approved information Collection.

*Abstract:* Authorized under Section 9004 of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008, the purpose of this program is to provide financial incentives to biorefineries to replace the use of fossil fuels used to produce heat or power at their facilities by installing new systems that use renewable biomass, or to produce new energy from renewable biomass.

Estimate of Burden:

Estimated Number of Respondents: 7. Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 10.

Estimated Number of Responses: 70. Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 602 Hours.

Copies of this information collection can be obtained from Jeanne Jacobs, Regulations and Paperwork Management Division, at (202) 692– 0040.

*Comments:* Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of RBS, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the RBS' estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or forms of information technology. Comments may be sent to Jeanne Jacobs, Regulation and Paperwork Management Branch, Support Services Division, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Development, STOP 0742, Washington, DC 20250-0742. All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: March 27, 2014.

# Lillian Salerno,

Administrator, Rural Business-Cooperative Service.

[FR Doc. 2014–07297 Filed 4–1–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–XY–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### **Foreign-Trade Zones Board**

[B-102-2013]

### Foreign-Trade Zone 20—Suffolk, Virginia, Authorization of Production Activity, Canon Virginia, Inc. (Toner Cartridges, Toner Bottles and Cartridge Parts), Newport News, Virginia

On November 27, 2013, Canon Virginia, Inc. submitted a notification of proposed production activity to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board for its facility within Subzone 20D, in Newport News, Virginia.

The notification was processed in accordance with the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR part 400), including notice in the **Federal Register** inviting public comment (78 FR 73824–73825, 12–09–2013). The FTZ Board has determined that no further review of the activity is warranted at this time. The production activity described in the notification is authorized, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.14. Dated: March 27, 2014. **Andrew McGilvray,**  *Executive Secretary.* [FR Doc. 2014–07406 Filed 4–1–14; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P** 

### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# Foreign-Trade Zones Board [B-31-2014]

## Foreign-Trade Zone (FTZ) 119— Minneapolis, Minnesota; Notification of Proposed Production Activity; The Coleman Company, Inc. (Textile-Based Personal Flotation Devices); Sauk Rapids, Minnesota

The Coleman Company, Inc. (Coleman), operator of FTZ 119, submitted a notification of proposed production activity for its facility located in Sauk Rapids, Minnesota. The notification conforming to the requirements of the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR 400.22) was received on March 13, 2014.

The Coleman facility is located at 1100 Stearns Drive, Sauk Rapids, Minnesota. A separate application for subzone designation at the Coleman facility has been submitted and will be processed under Section 400.25 of the FTZ Board's regulations. The facility is used for the production of personal flotation devices and cushions constructed with textile fabrics. Pursuant to 15 CFR 400.14(b) of the regulations, FTZ activity would be limited to the specific foreign-status materials and components and specific finished products described in the submitted notification (as described below) and subsequently authorized by the FTZ Board.

Production under FTZ procedures could exempt Coleman from customs duty payments on foreign status components used in export production. On its domestic sales, Coleman would be able to choose the duty rates during customs entry procedures that apply to personal flotation devices (4.5%, 7.0%) and flotation cushions (6.0%) for the foreign status inputs noted below. Customs duties also could possibly be deferred or reduced on foreign status production equipment.

The components and materials sourced from abroad include: Plastic buckles; carrying bags of plastic and textile materials; woven nylon fabrics; woven polyester fabrics; woven fabrics of synthetic yarns; knit fabrics of elastomeric yarns; neoprene fabrics; webbing of man-made fibers; and, polyvinyl chloride foam (duty rate ranges from 5.3% to 20%).

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions shall be addressed to the FTZ Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is May 12.2014.

A copy of the notification will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 21013, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230-0002, and in the "Reading Room" section of the FTZ Board's Web site, which is accessible via www.trade.gov/ftz.

For Further Information Contact: Pierre Duy at Pierre.Duy@trade.gov or (202) 482-1378.

Dated: March 26, 2014.

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014-07410 Filed 4-1-14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-533-840, A-549-822]

# Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From India and Thailand: Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) received requests to conduct administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on certain frozen warmwater shrimp (shrimp) from India and Thailand for the period February 1, 2013 through January 31, 2014. The anniversary month of these orders is February. In accordance with the Department's regulations, we are initiating these administrative reviews. The Department also received a request to defer the initiation of the administrative review for the order on shrimp from Thailand with respect to various Thai companies.

DATES: Effective: April 2, 2014. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David Crespo at (202) 482–3693 (India) and Dennis McClure (202) 482-5973 (Thailand), AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

During the anniversary month of February 2014, the Department received timely requests, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), for administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on shrimp from India and Thailand from the Ad Hoc Shrimp Trade Action Committee (hereinafter, the petitioner), the American Shrimp Processors Association (ASPA), and certain individual companies.

All deadlines for the submission of various types of information, certifications, or comments or actions by the Department discussed below refer to the number of calendar days from the applicable starting time.

# **Request To Defer Review**

In their requests for administrative review, various Thai companies requested that the Department defer the initiation of the review for one year, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(c). These companies are identified by a \* in the "Initiation of Reviews" section of this notice, below. None of the parties provided any justification for their deferral request.

The Department's regulations, as set forth in 19 CFR 351.213(c)(1)(i) and (ii), provide that the Department may defer the initiation of an antidumping duty administrative review, in whole or in part, for one year if: (1) The request for review was accompanied by a request to defer the review; and (2) neither the exporter or producer for which the deferral is requested, the importer of subject merchandise from that exporter or producer, or a domestic interested party objects to the deferral. The Department received no objections to this request from any party cited in 19 CFR 351.213(c)(1)(ii).

The preamble to the Department's regulations states that the Department established the provision for deferring the initiation of an administrative review, in part, to reduce burdens on the Department.<sup>1</sup> We believe that deferring the instant review is not likely to save Departmental resources because it is likely that, in this review, as in every prior administrative review of this Thai order, the Department will find it necessary to limit the number of respondents examined.<sup>2</sup> Accordingly, even if the Department defers the administrative review for these companies, it will likely still review the

same number of respondents, *i.e.*, the maximum number of respondents which our resources will permit. Therefore, we have not deferred the instant review for any companies requesting deferral with respect to the order on shrimp from Thailand.

#### Notice of No Sales

If a producer or exporter named in this notice of initiation had no exports. sales, or entries during the period of review (POR), it must notify the Department within 60 days of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. All submissions must be filed electronically at http:// iaaccess.trade.gov in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303.3 Such submissions are subject to verification in accordance with section 782(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Further, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303(f)(1)(i), a copy must be served on every party on the Department's service list.

#### **Respondent Selection**

In the event the Department limits the number of respondents for individual examination for administrative reviews, the Department intends to select respondents based on U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) data for U.S. imports during the POR. We intend to release the CBP data under Administrative Protective Order (APO) to all parties having an APO within seven days of publication of this initiation notice and to make our decision regarding respondent selection within 21 days of publication of this Federal Register notice. The Department invites comments regarding the CBP data and respondent selection within five days of placement of the CBP data on the record of the applicable review.

In general, the Department has found that determinations concerning whether particular companies should be 'collapsed'' (*i.e.,* treated as a single entity for purposes of calculating antidumping duty rates) require a substantial amount of detailed information and analysis, which often require follow-up questions and analysis. Accordingly, the Department will not collapse companies at the respondent selection phase unless there has been a determination to collapse certain companies in a previous segment of these antidumping proceedings (*i.e.*, investigation, administrative review, or changed circumstances review). For any

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Antidumping Duties; Countervailing Duties; Final Rule, 62 FR 27296, 27317 (May 19, 1997).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See, e.g., Initiation of Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Wooden Bedroom Furniture From the People's Republic of China, 76 FR 10880, 10882 (Feb. 28, 2011).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Electronic Filing Procedures; Administrative Protective Order Procedures, 76 FR 39263 (July 6, 2011).