Title VI of the Appropriations Act supports an interim final rulemaking as the limitation arguably makes formal proposed and final rulemaking procedures "impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest." Further, we believe amending the rule to remove the requirements for non-binding, advisory votes will ensure compliance with the Appropriations Act. Consistent with the Appropriations Act, as well as the Farm Bill, this interim final rulemaking is limited to the advisory vote provisions of the October 3, 2012, (77 FR 60582) rulemaking.

Specifically, we are removing the following rule provisions:

- § 611.100(a), defining the term "advisory vote";
- § 611.360, requiring policies and procedures for non-binding, advisory votes on senior officer compensation;
- § 611.410, addressing non-binding, advisory votes on senior officer compensation:
- § 620.5(a)(11), requiring disclosure of any advisory votes held during the reporting year at the institution;
- § 620.6(c)(6), requiring disclosure (adjacent to the compensation table) in the annual report of a stockholder's right to petition for a non-binding, advisory vote on senior officer compensation; and
- § 630.20(i)(last sentence), requiring disclosure of any advisory votes held during the reporting year within the System.

All other regulatory provisions and changes resulting from the October 3, 2012 (77 FR 60582) rulemaking remain in effect.

# IV. Regulatory Flexibility Act

Pursuant to section 605(b) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), the FCA hereby certifies that this interim final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Each of the banks in the System, considered together with its affiliated associations, has assets and annual income in excess of the amounts that would qualify them as small entities. Therefore, System institutions are not "small entities" as defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

### List of Subjects

12 CFR Part 611

Agriculture, Banks, banking, Rural

12 CFR Part 620

Accounting, Agriculture, Banks, banking, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Rural areas.

12 CFR Part 630

Accounting, Agriculture, Banks, banking, Organization and functions (Government agencies), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Rural areas.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, parts 611, 620, and 630 of chapter VI, title 12 of the Code of Federal Regulations are amended as follows:

### PART 611—ORGANIZATION

■ 1. The authority citation for part 611 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 1.12, 1.13, 2.0, 2.1, 2.2, 2.10, 2.11, 2.12, 3.0, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.7, 3.8, 3.9, 3.21, 4.3A, 4.12, 4.12A, 4.15, 4.20, 4.21, 4.25, 4.26, 4.27, 4.28A, 5.9, 5.17, 5.25, 7.0–7.13, 8.5(e) of the Farm Credit Act (12 U.S.C. 2002, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2020, 2021, 2071, 2072, 2073, 2091, 2092, 2093, 2121, 2122, 2123, 2124, 2128, 2129, 2130, 2142, 2154a, 2183, 2184, 2203, 2208, 2209, 2211, 2212, 2213, 2214, 2243, 2252, 2261, 2279a–2279f–1, 2279aa–5(e)]; secs. 411 and 412 of Pub. L. 100–233, 101 Stat. 1568, 1638; sec. 414 of Pub. L. 100–399, 102 Stat. 989, 1004.

### §611.100 [Amended]

■ 2. Section 611.100 is amended by removing paragraph (a) and redesignating paragraphs (b) through (h) as new paragraphs (a) through (g), respectively.

# § 611.360 [Removed and reserved]

■ 3. Section 611.360 is removed and reserved.

### § 611.410 [Removed and reserved]

■ 4. Section 611.410 is removed and reserved.

# PART 620—DISCLOSURE TO SHAREHOLDERS

■ 5. The authority citation for part 620 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** Secs. 4.3, 4.3A, 4.19, 5.9, 5.17, 5.19 of the Farm Credit Act (12 U.S.C. 2154, 2154a, 2207, 2243, 2252, 2254); sec. 424 of Pub. L. 100–233, 101 Stat. 1568, 1656; sec. 514 of Pub. L. 102–552, 106 Stat. 4102.

# § 620.5 [Amended]

■ 6. Section 620.5 is amended by removing paragraph (a)(11) and redesignating paragraph (a)(12) as new paragraph (a)(11).

# § 620.6 [Amended]

■ 7. Section 620.6 is amended by removing paragraph (c)(6) and redesignating paragraph (c)(7) as new paragraph (c)(6).

# PART 630—DISCLOSURE TO INVESTORS IN SYSTEM-WIDE AND CONSOLIDATED BANK DEBT OBLIGATIONS OF THE FARM CREDIT SYSTEM

■ 8. The authority citation for part 630 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** Secs. 4.2, 4.9, 5.9, 5.17, 5.19 of the Farm Credit Act (12 U.S.C. 2153, 2160, 2243, 2252, 2254); sec. 424 of Pub. L. 100–233, 101 Stat. 1568, 1656; sec. 514 of Pub. L. 102–552, 106 Stat. 4102.

### §630.20 [Amended]

■ 9. Section 630.20 is amended by removing the last sentence of paragraph

Dated: March 21, 2014.

### Dale L. Aultman,

Secretary, Farm Credit Administration Board. [FR Doc. 2014–06783 Filed 3–28–14; 8:45 a.m.]
BILLING CODE 6705–01–P

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### **Federal Aviation Administration**

### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2013-0740; Directorate Identifier 2013-NE-24-AD; Amendment 39-17804; AD 2014-05-32]

## RIN 2120-AA64

# Airworthiness Directives; Pratt & Whitney Turbofan Engines

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** We are adopting a new airworthiness directive (AD) for all Pratt & Whitney (PW) PW2037, PW2037D, PW2037M, PW2040, PW2040D, PW2043, PW2146, PW2240, PW2337, PW2643, and F117-PW-100 turbofan engines. This AD was prompted by a rupture of the diffuser-to-high-pressure turbine (HPT) case flange. This AD requires a one-time eddy current inspection (ECI) of affected engines with certain diffuser and HPT cases installed. This AD also requires a fluorescentpenetrant inspection (FPI) of the diffuser case rear flange and HPT case front flange. We are issuing this AD to prevent failure of the diffuser-to-HPT case flange, which could lead to uncontained engine failure and damage to the airplane.

**DATES:** This AD is effective May 5, 2014. The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of a certain publication listed in this AD as of May 5, 2014.

ADDRESSES: For service information identified in this AD, contact Pratt & Whitney, 400 Main St., East Hartford, CT 06108; phone: 860–565–8770; fax: 860–565–4503. You may view this service information at the FAA, Engine & Propeller Directorate, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 781–238–7125.

### **Examining the AD Docket**

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at http:// www.regulations.gov by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA-2013-0740; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The address for the Docket Office (phone: 800-647-5527) is Document Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mark Riley, Aerospace Engineer, Engine Certification Office, FAA, Engine & Propeller Directorate, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803; phone: 781–238–7758; fax: 781–238–7199; email: mark.riley@faa.gov.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Discussion

We issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to amend 14 CFR part 39 by adding an AD that would apply to all PW PW2037, PW2037D, PW2037M, PW2040, PW2040D, PW2043, PW2146, PW2240, PW2337, PW2643, and F117-PW-100 turbofan engines. The NPRM published in the Federal Register on October 29, 2013 (78 FR 64419). The NPRM was prompted by a rupture of the diffuserto-HPT case flange. The NPRM proposed to require a one-time ECI of affected engines with certain diffuser and HPT cases installed and an FPI of the diffuser case rear flange and HPT case front flange. We are issuing this AD to prevent failure of the diffuser-to-HPT case flange, which could lead to uncontained engine failure and damage to the airplane.

# Comments

We gave the public the opportunity to participate in developing this AD. The following presents the comments received on the proposal and the FAA's response to each comment.

### Request Credit for FPI at Piece Part Level

Delta Air Lines (DAL), PW, Federal Express, and United Parcel Service (UPS) requested that previous FPI of cases at the piece part level be allowed for AD compliance in lieu of ECI. The justification for this request is that FPI of diffuser/HPT cases at the piece part level performed after January 1, 2010 are equivalent to the ECI procedures.

We agree. We changed the AD to allow credit for FPI of diffuser and HPT cases performed after January 1, 2010.

# Request to Eliminate Initial Inspection and Mandated Repetitive Inspections

DAL and PW requested elimination of inspection for diffuser/HPT cases identified in Table 1 to paragraph (e) of this AD because the inspections have already been completed. DAL and PW also requested that mandated repetitive inspections of the diffuser and HPT case be eliminated since this requirement is already in the engine manual and part of DAL's PW2000 maintenance program. Mandated inspection results in a quality records burden. The AD is requested to be withdrawn based on these requested actions.

We partially agree. We agree that many of the diffuser/HPT case inspections have been completed. PW reports that all diffuser and HPT cases have been inspected except for three. Those three cases are installed on engines not currently in service. We changed the AD to eliminate Table 1 to paragraph (e) of this AD. We added the remaining diffuser cases and HPT cases requiring inspection to paragraph (e) of this AD.

We do not agree that mandated inspections for the diffuser and HPT cases are not required as diffuser or HPT case failure may result in a catastrophic aircraft event. We did not change the AD requirement for repetitive inspections. This AD will not be withdrawn.

# Request to Exclude F117-PW-100 Requirements

PW requested that F117–PW–100 requirements not be included in this AD as the inspections will be completed by December 2013.

We partially agree. We received confirmation that the diffuser and HPT cases that are applicable to F117–PW–100 engines have been inspected. However, since the F117–PW–100 engine model has been certified by the FAA and is on the type certificate data sheet, we will require repetitive FPI of the diffuser and HPT cases at piece part opportunity. We changed this AD by

deleting diffuser and HPT cases that have been inspected.

# Request Definition for Piece Part Exposure

DAL, UPS, and an individual commenter requested that a definition be provided for "piece part exposure" as it applies to repetitive inspections of diffuser and HPT cases. The reason for this request was to clarify when inspections are required.

We agree. We added a definition for "piece part exposure" in this AD.

# **Request for New Compliance Method**

DAL requested that the compliance requirement for repetitive inspections direct operator's to revise their approved maintenance programs with an FPI of the diffuser and HPT cases and not require documenting AD compliance for each individual case. This change would help to eliminate undue burden on operator/maintenance facility for AD tracking requirements.

We partially agree. We agree that changing the continuous airworthiness maintenance program (CAMP) to require diffuser and HPT case inspections adequately addresses our safety concerns.

We do not agree that tracking repetitive inspections to show AD compliance is an undue burden. We changed the AD by adding an Optional Terminating Action allowing diffuser and HPT case inspections to be added to the CAMP to satisfy repetitive inspection requirements of this AD.

# Request FAA Standard Practices, Processes, Consumables, and Standard Tooling be Allowed to Perform ECI

One individual commenter requested that only Non-destructive Inspection Procedure sections IX through XVIII be mandated as these sections contain the only items that have an actual effect on the unsafe condition of the AD.

We disagree. The specific ECI inspection equipment and procedures developed by PW have been verified as being able to detect cracks in the diffuser case and HPT case M-flange. The specific equipment and procedures must be used to ensure proper inspection results. However, operators and maintenance facilities can propose use of other equipment and/or procedures as an alternative method of compliance if they can validate equivalent results. We did not change this AD.

### **Request Clarification for Applicability**

The same individual commenter requested clarification that the Compliance paragraph apply to all HPT and diffuser cases regardless of whether they are listed in Table 1 to paragraph (e) or not. The reason for this request is that it is unclear if the repetitive inspections are required only for those cases specified in Table 1.

We agree. We deleted Table 1 to paragraph (e) of this AD and added the remaining diffuser cases and HPT cases requiring inspection to paragraph (e) of this AD.

### Conclusion

We reviewed the relevant data, considered the comments received, and determined that air safety and the public interest require adopting this AD with the changes described previously and minor editorial changes. We have determined that these changes:

- Are consistent with the intent that was proposed in the NPRM (78 FR 64419, October 29, 2013) for correcting the unsafe condition; and
- Do not add any additional burden upon the public than was already proposed in the NPRM (78 FR 64419, October 29, 2013).

We also determined that these changes will not increase the economic burden on any operator or increase the scope of this AD.

# **Costs of Compliance**

We estimate that this AD will affect 638 engines installed on airplanes of U.S. registry. Of the 638 engines, we estimate that about 3 engines will be subject to ECI and all engines will be subject to the FPI. We also estimate that it will take about 5 hours to perform the ECI and 3 hours to perform the FPI required by this AD. Materials cost for the FPI will be about \$20 per engine. The average labor rate is \$85 per hour. Based on these figures, we estimate the total cost of this AD to U.S. operators is \$176,725.

# **Authority for this Rulemaking**

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: "General requirements." Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority

because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

# **Regulatory Findings**

This AD will not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This AD will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this AD:

- (1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866,
- (2) Is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979),
- (3) Will not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska to the extent that it justifies making a regulatory distinction, and
- (4) Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

# Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA amends 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

## § 39.13 [Amended]

- 2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):
- 2014–05–32 Pratt & Whitney: Amendment 39–17804 Docket No. FAA–2013–0740; Directorate Identifier 2013–NE–24–AD.

# (a) Effective Date

This AD is effective May 5, 2014.

### (b) Affected ADs

None.

# (c) Applicability

This AD applies to all Pratt & Whitney (PW) PW2037, PW2037D, PW2037M, PW2040, PW2040D, PW2043, PW2146, PW2240, PW2337, PW2643, and F117–PW–100 turbofan engines.

### (d) Unsafe Condition

This AD was prompted by a rupture of the diffuser-to-high-pressure turbine (HPT) case flange. We are issuing this AD to prevent failure of the diffuser-to-HPT case flange, which could lead to uncontained engine failure and damage to the airplane.

#### (e) Compliance

Unless already done, comply with this AD within the compliance times specified.

- (1) For diffuser case part number (P/N) 1B7461, serial numbers (S/Ns) DGGUAK1306 and DGGUAK1308, and HPT case P/N 1B2440, S/N DKLBCS1032:
- (i) Within 100 flight cycles or 30 days after the effective date of this AD, whichever is later, eddy current inspect (ECI) the diffuser case and the HPT case M-flange. Use PW Service Bulletin (SB) No. PW2000 72–763, Revision 1, dated August 30, 2013, to do the inspection.
  - (ii) Reserved.
- (2) For all diffuser and HPT cases at the next piece part opportunity and every piece part opportunity thereafter, perform a high sensitivity fluorescent-penetrant inspection (FPI) of the entire diffuser case rear flange (M-flange) and bolt holes, and the entire HPT case forward flange (M-flange) and bolt holes.

### (f) Optional Terminating Action

As a terminating action to the repetitive inspection requirements of this AD, you may insert the repetitive inspection requirement identified in paragraph (e)(2) of this AD into the required inspection portion of your continuous airworthiness maintenance program.

# (g) Definition

For the purpose of this AD, piece part opportunity is defined as when the part is completely disassembled.

## (h) Prohibition Statement

After the effective date of this AD, do not install any engine with a diffuser or HPT case onto any airplane that was not inspected using paragraph (e) of this AD.

# (i) Credit for Previous Actions

If you performed an ECI of the diffuser case and HPT case M-flange using the Accomplishment Instructions of PW SB No. PW2000 72–763, dated March 22, 2013, or you performed a high sensitivity FPI of the diffuser case and HPT case at the piece part opportunity after January 1, 2010, you met the requirements of paragraph (e)(1) of this

# (j) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

The Manager, Engine Certification Office, FAA, may approve AMOCs for this AD. Use the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19 to make your request.

# (k) Related Information

(1) For more information about this AD, contact Mark Riley, Aerospace Engineer, Engine Certification Office, FAA, Engine & Propeller Directorate, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803; phone: 781–238–7758; fax: 781–238–7199; email: mark.riley@faa.gov.

(2) Pratt & Whitney Engine Manual, P/N 1A6231, (PW2000) and P/N 1B2412 (F117), Chapter 72–41–00, Inspection/Check-02, (Task 72–41–00–230–002) and Chapter 72–52–00, Inspection/Check-02 (Task 72–52–00–230–000), which are not incorporated by reference in this AD, can be obtained from Pratt & Whitney, using the contact information in paragraph (I)(3) of this AD.

# (l) Material Incorporated by Reference

- (1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference (IBR) of the service information listed in this paragraph under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.
- (2) You must use this service information as applicable to do the actions required by this AD, unless the AD specifies otherwise.
- (i) Pratt & Whitney Service Bulletin No. PW2000 72–763, Revision 1, dated August 30, 2013.
  - (ii) Reserved
- (3) For PW service information identified in this AD, contact Pratt & Whitney, 400 Main St., East Hartford, CT 06108; phone: 860–565–8770; fax: 860–565–4503.
- (4) You may view this service information at the FAA, Engine & Propeller Directorate, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 781–238–7125.
- (5) You may view this service information at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202–741–6030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibrlocations.html.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on March 6, 2014.

# Colleen M. D'Alessandro,

Assistant Directorate Manager, Engine & Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2014–06953 Filed 3–28–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# **Federal Aviation Administration**

### 14 CFR Part 71

[Docket No. FAA-2013-0079; Airspace Docket No. 09-AWA-4]

RIN 2120-AA66

# Amendment to Class B Airspace Area; Detroit, MI

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Final rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** This action corrects a final rule published in the **Federal Register** January 21, 2014. In that rule, the shared boundary between the Detroit Class B airspace Area A and Area B subareas did not match, as originally intended, in the regulatory text descriptions. This

correction is necessary to close the unintended gap between subareas created by the boundary error and to ensure the accuracy of the charted Detroit Class B airspace area.

**DATES:** Effective Date: 0901 UTC, April 3, 2014. The Director of the Federal Register approves this incorporation by reference action under 3 CFR part 51, subject to the annual revision of FAA Order 7400.9 and publication of conforming amendments.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Colby Abbott, Airspace Policy and Regulations Group, Office of Airspace Services, Mission Support, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267–8783.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### History

On January 21, 2014, a final rule for FAA Docket No. FAA-2012-0661, Airspace Docket No. 09-AWA-4, was published in the Federal Register (79 FR 3305) to amend the Detroit Class B airspace area. The Detroit Class B airspace area was modified to contain aircraft conducting published instrument procedures at Detroit Metropolitan Wayne County Airport (DTW) within Class B airspace, enhance safety, improve the flow of air traffic, and reduce the potential for midair collisions in the DTW terminal area, while accommodating the concerns of all airspace users.

Subsequent to publication of the rule, the FAA determined the boundary segment described in Area A, from lat. 42°17′18″ N., long. 83°27′27″ W. on the 4.4-mile radius of the Detroit Willow Run Airport to lat. 42°20′47″ N., long. 83°22′12" W. on the 8-mile arc of the DXO VOR/DME, and the boundary segment described in Area B, from the intersection of the DXO VOR/DME 354° radial and the Detroit Willow Run Airport 047° bearing to lat. 42°20'47" N., long. 83°22′12″ W., did not align as intended and contained a gap between the two adjacent subareas. The FAA is correcting this minor error by adding the geographic point defined by the intersection of the DXO VOR/DME 354° radial and the Detroit Willow Run Airport 047° bearing in the Area A description to remove the gap.

## **Correction to Final Rule**

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, in the **Federal Register** of January 21, 2014, the text on page 3312, column 2, line 47, is corrected to read:

### §71.1 [Amended]

# AGL MI B Detroit, MI [Corrected]

For Area A, between the words "Airport;" and "thence", add the following: "thence northeast to the intersection of the DXO VOR/DME 354° radial and the Detroit Willow Run Airport 047° bearing:".

Issued in Washington, DC, on March 24, 2014.

### Ellen Crum,

Acting Manager, Airspace Policy and Regulations Group.

[FR Doc. 2014–06959 Filed 3–28–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

# DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

# **Food and Drug Administration**

### 21 CFR Part 558

[Docket No. FDA-2014-N-0002]

Zoetis Inc., et al.; Withdrawal of Approval of New Animal Drug Applications for Combination Drug Medicated Feeds Containing an Arsenical Drug; Correction

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Final rule; correcting amendments.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is correcting a document amending the animal drug regulations to reflect the withdrawal of approval of new animal drug applications (NADAs) that appeared in the Federal Register of February 27, 2014 (79 FR 10976). That document listed an NADA for which a withdrawal of approval (WOA) was not intended and failed to remove all conditions of use associated with the withdrawn NADAs. This correction is being made to improve the accuracy of the animal drug regulations.

**DATES:** This correction is effective March 31, 2014.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

George K. Haibel, Center for Veterinary Medicine (HFV–6), Food and Drug Administration, 7519 Standish Pl., Rockville, MD 20855, 240–276–9019, george.haibel@fda.hhs.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** FDA is correcting a document amending the animal drug regulations to reflect the WOA of NADAs that appeared in the **Federal Register** of February 27, 2014 (79 FR 10976). That document listed an NADA for which a WOA was not intended and failed to remove all