

of a marine mammal in a manner prohibited by this IHA, such as an injury (Level A harassment), serious injury, or mortality, SCWA shall immediately cease the specified activities and report the incident to the Office of Protected Resources, NMFS, and the West Coast Regional Stranding Coordinator, NMFS. The report must include the following information:

- A. Time and date of the incident;
- B. Description of the incident;
- C. Environmental conditions (e.g., wind speed and direction, Beaufort sea state, cloud cover, and visibility);
- D. Description of all marine mammal observations in the 24 hours preceding the incident;
- E. Species identification or description of the animal(s) involved;
- F. Fate of the animal(s); and
- G. Photographs or video footage of the animal(s).

Activities shall not resume until NMFS is able to review the circumstances of the prohibited take. NMFS will work with SCWA to determine what measures are necessary to minimize the likelihood of further prohibited take and ensure MMPA compliance. SCWA may not resume their activities until notified by NMFS.

i. In the event that SCWA discovers an injured or dead marine mammal, and the lead observer determines that the cause of the injury or death is unknown and the death is relatively recent (e.g., in less than a moderate state of decomposition), SCWA shall immediately report the incident to the Office of Protected Resources, NMFS, and the West Coast Regional Stranding Coordinator, NMFS.

The report must include the same information identified in 6(b)(i) of this IHA. Activities may continue while NMFS reviews the circumstances of the incident. NMFS will work with SCWA to determine whether additional mitigation measures or modifications to the activities are appropriate.

ii. In the event that SCWA discovers an injured or dead marine mammal, and the lead observer determines that the injury or death is not associated with or related to the activities authorized in the IHA (e.g., previously wounded animal, carcass with moderate to advanced decomposition, or scavenger damage), SCWA shall report the incident to the Office of Protected Resources, NMFS, and the West Coast Regional Stranding Coordinator, NMFS, within 24 hours of the discovery. SCWA shall provide photographs or video footage or other documentation of the stranded animal sighting to NMFS.

iii. Pursuant to sections 6(b)(ii–iii), SCWA may use discretion in

determining what injuries (i.e., nature and severity) are appropriate for reporting. At minimum, SCWA must report those injuries considered to be serious (i.e., will likely result in death) or that are likely caused by human interaction (e.g., entanglement, gunshot). Also pursuant to sections 6(b)(ii–iii), SCWA may use discretion in determining the appropriate vantage point for obtaining photographs of injured/dead marine mammals.

7. Validity of this Authorization is contingent upon compliance with all applicable statutes and permits, including NMFS' 2008 Biological Opinion for water management in the Russian River watershed. This Authorization may be modified, suspended or withdrawn if the holder fails to abide by the conditions prescribed herein, or if the authorized taking is having a more than a negligible impact on the species or stock of affected marine mammals.

#### Request for Public Comments

We request comment on our analysis, the draft authorization, and any other aspect of this Notice of Proposed IHA for SCWA's estuary management activities. Please include with your comments any supporting data or literature citations to help inform our final decision on SCWA's request for an MMPA authorization.

Dated: February 28, 2014.

**Donna S. Wieting,**

*Director, Office of Protected Resources,  
National Marine Fisheries Service.*

[FR Doc. 2014-04863 Filed 3-4-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-22-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

#### Substantive Submissions Made During Prosecution of the Trademark Application

**ACTION:** Proposed collection; comment request.

**SUMMARY:** The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on the continuing information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before May 5, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

- *Email:* [InformationCollection@uspto.gov](mailto:InformationCollection@uspto.gov). Include "0651-0054 comment" in the subject line of the message.

- *Mail:* Susan K. Fawcett, Records Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer, United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

- *Federal Rulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information should be directed to the attention of Catherine Cain, Attorney Advisor, Office of the Commissioner for Trademarks, United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1451, Alexandria, VA 22313-1451, by telephone at 571-272-8946, or by email to [Catherine.Cain@uspto.gov](mailto:Catherine.Cain@uspto.gov). Additional information about this collection is also available at <http://www.reginfo.gov> under "Information Collection Review."

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Abstract

The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) administers the Trademark Act, 15 U.S.C. 1051 *et seq.*, which provides for the Federal registration of trademarks, service marks, collective trademarks and service marks, collective membership marks, and certification marks. Individuals and businesses that use or intend to use such marks in commerce may file an application to register their mark with the USPTO.

Such individuals and businesses may also submit various communications to the USPTO, including providing additional information needed to process a request to delete a particular filing basis from an application or to divide an application identifying multiple goods and/or services into two or more separate applications. Applicants may seek a six-month extension of time to file a statement that the mark is in use in commerce or submit a petition to revive an application that abandoned for failure to submit a timely response to an office action or a timely statement of use or extension request. In some circumstances, an applicant may expressly abandon an application by filing a written request for withdrawal of the application.

The rules implementing the Act are set forth in 37 CFR Part 2. These rules mandate that each register entry include the mark, the goods and/or services in connection with which the mark is

used, ownership information, dates of use, and certain other information. The USPTO also provides similar information concerning pending applications. The register and pending application information may be accessed by an individual or by businesses to determine the availability of a mark. By accessing the USPTO's information, parties may reduce the possibility of initiating use of a mark previously adopted by another. The Federal trademark registration process may thereby lessen the filing of papers in court and between parties.

**II. Method of Collection**

The forms in this collection are available in electronic format through

the Trademark Electronic Application System (TEAS), which may be accessed on the USPTO Web site. TEAS Global Forms are available for the items where a TEAS form with dedicated data fields is not yet available. Applicants may also submit the information in paper form by mail, fax, or hand delivery.

**III. Data**

*OMB Number:* 0651-0054.  
*Form Number(s):* PTO Forms 1553, 1581, 2194, 2195, 2200, and 2202.  
*Type of Review:* Revision of a currently approved collection.  
*Affected Public:* Businesses or other for-profits; not-for-profit institutions.  
*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 292,706 per year.

*Estimated Time per Response:* The USPTO estimates that it will take the public from 5 minutes (0.08 hours) to 30 minutes (0.50 hours), depending on the complexity of the situation, to gather the necessary information, prepare the appropriate documents, and submit the information to the USPTO.

*Estimated Total Annual Respondent Burden Hours:* 64,305.

*Estimated Total Annual Respondent Cost Burden:* \$25,014,645. The USPTO expects that the information in this collection will be prepared by attorneys at an estimated rate of \$389 per hour. Therefore, the USPTO estimates that the respondent cost burden for this collection will be approximately \$25,014,645 per year.

Item No.	Item	Estimated time for response (minutes)	Estimated annual responses	Estimated annual burden hours
1	Trademark/Service Mark Allegation of Use (Statement of Use/Amendment to Allege Use) (Paper).	25	1,704	716
1	Trademark/Service Mark Allegation of Use (Statement of Use/Amendment to Allege Use) (TEAS).	20	80,733	26,642
2	Request for Extension of Time to File a Statement of Use (Paper)	12	1,819	364
2	Request for Extension of Time to File a Statement of Use (TEAS)	10	180,047	30,608
3	Petition to Revive Abandoned Application—Failure to Respond Timely to Office Action (Paper).	20	348	115
3	Petition to Revive Abandoned Application—Failure to Respond Timely to Office Action (TEAS).	15	18,548	4,637
4	Petition to Revive Abandoned Application—Failure to File Timely Statement of Use or Extension Request (Paper).	15	34	9
4	Petition to Revive Abandoned Application—Failure to File Timely Statement of Use or Extension Request (TEAS).	12	159	32
5	Request to Delete Section 1(b) Basis, Intent to Use (Paper)	10	26	4
5	Request to Delete Section 1(b) Basis, Intent to Use (TEAS)	5	1,300	104
6	Request for Express Abandonment (Withdrawal) of Application (Paper)	10	100	17
6	Request for Express Abandonment (Withdrawal) of Application (TEAS)	5	4,900	392
7	Request to Divide Application (Paper)	15	39	10
7	Request to Divide Application (TEAS Global)	10	1,922	327
8	Response to Intent-to-Use (ITU) Divisional Unit Office Action (Paper)	30	1	1
8	Response to Intent-to-Use (ITU) Divisional Unit Office Action (TEAS Global).	30	1	1
9	Response to Petition to Revive Deficiency Letter (Paper)	20	5	2
9	Response to Petition to Revive Deficiency Letter (TEAS Global)	15	250	63
10	Petition to the Director Under Trademark Rule 2.146 (Paper)	25	12	5
10	Petition to the Director Under Trademark Rule 2.146 (TEAS Global)	20	600	198
11	Due Diligence Petition Under Trademark Rule 2.66 (Paper)	25	2	1
11	Due Diligence Petition Under Trademark Rule 2.66 (TEAS Global)	20	130	43
12	Petition to Revive with Request to Delete Section 1(b) Basis or to Delete ITU Goods/Services After NOA (Paper).	30	1	1
12	Petition to Revive with Request to Delete Section 1(b) Basis or to Delete ITU Goods/Services After NOA (TEAS Global).	30	25	13
Totals			292,706	64,305

*Estimated Total Annual (Non-Hour) Respondent Cost Burden:* \$28,705,256. There are no capital start-up, maintenance or recordkeeping costs associated with this information collection. However, this collection does have annual (non-hour) cost

burden in the form of postage costs and filing fees.

Applicants incur postage costs when submitting non-electronic information to the USPTO by mail through the United States Postal Service. The USPTO estimates that the majority of

the paper forms are submitted to the USPTO via first-class mail at a rate of 49 cents per ounce. Therefore, the USPTO estimates that the postage costs for the paper submissions in this collection will be \$2,006.

Item No.	Item	Responses (yr) (a)	Postage costs (b)	Total cost (yr) (a × b)
1	Trademark/Service Mark Allegation of Use (Statement of Use/Amendment to Allege Use).	1,704	\$0.49	\$835.00
2	Request for Extension of Time to File a Statement of Use	1,819	0.49	891.00
3	Petition to Revive Abandoned Application—Failure to Respond Timely to Office Action.	348	0.49	171.00
4	Petition to Revive Abandoned Application—Failure to File Timely Statement of Use or Extension Request.	34	0.49	17.00
5	Request to Delete Section 1(b) Basis, Intent to Use	26	0.49	13.00
6	Request for Express Abandonment (Withdrawal of Application)	100	0.49	49.00
7	Request to Divide Application	39	0.49	19.00
8	Response to Intent-to-Use (ITU) Divisional Unit Office Action	1	0.49	1.00
9	Response to Petition to Revive Deficiency Letter	5	0.49	2.00
10	Petition to the Director Under Trademark Rule 2.146	12	0.49	6.00
11	Due Diligence Petition Under Trademark Rule 2.66	2	0.49	1.00
12	Petition to Revive with Request to Delete Section 1(b) Basis or to Delete ITU Goods/Services After NOA.	1	0.49	1.00
Totals		4,091	.....	2,006.00

Filing fees are based on per class on the number of classes. The total are the minimum fees associated with filing of goods and services; therefore, filing fees of \$28,703,250 shown here this information collection. the total filing fees can vary depending

Item No.	Item	Responses (yr) (a)	Filing fees (b)	Total cost (yr) (a × b)
1	Trademark/Service Mark Allegation of Use (Statement of Use/Amendment to Allege Use) (Paper).	1,704	\$100.00	\$170,400.00
2	Trademark/Service Mark Allegation of Use (Statement of Use/Amendment to Allege Use) (TEAS).	80,733	100.00	8,073,300.00
2	Request for Extension of Time to File a Statement of Use (Paper).	1,819	150.00	272,850.00
2	Request for Extension of Time to File a Statement of Use (TEAS).	180,047	150.00	18,004,700.00
3	Petition to Revive Abandoned Application—Failure to Respond Timely to Office Action (Paper).	348	100.00	34,800.00
3	Petition to Revive Abandoned Application—Failure to Respond Timely to Office Action (TEAS).	18,548	100.00	1,854,800.00
4	Petition to Revive Abandoned Application—Failure to File Timely Statement of Use or Extension Request (Paper).	34	100.00	3,400.00
4	Petition to Revive Abandoned Application—Failure to File Timely Statement of Use or Extension Request (TEAS).	159	100.00	15,900.00
5	Request to Delete Section 1(b) Basis, Intent to Use (Paper)	26	0.00	0.00
5	Request to Delete Section 1(b) Basis, Intent to Use (TEAS)	1,300	0.00	0.00
6	Request for Express Abandonment (Withdrawal) of Application (Paper).	100	0.00	0.00
6	Request for Express Abandonment (Withdrawal) of Application (TEAS).	4,900	0.00	0.00
7	Request to Divide Application (Paper)	39	100.00	3,900.00
7	Request to Divide Application (TEAS Global)	1,922	100.00	192,200.00
8	Response to Intent-to-Use (ITU) Divisional Unit Office Action (Paper).	1	0.00	0.00
8	Response to Intent-to-Use (ITU) Divisional Unit Office Action (TEAS Global).	1	0.00	0.00
9	Response to Petition to Revive Deficiency Letter (Paper)	5	0.00	0.00
9	Response to Petition to Revive Deficiency Letter (TEAS Global)	250	0.00	0.00
10	Petition to the Director Under Trademark Rule 2.146 (Paper)	12	100.00	1,200.00
10	Petition to the Director Under Trademark Rule 2.146 (TEAS Global).	600	100.00	60,000.00
11	Due Diligence Petition Under Trademark Rule 2.66 (Paper)	2	100.00	200.00
11	Due Diligence Petition Under Trademark Rule 2.66 (TEAS Global).	130	100.00	13,000.00
12	Petition to Revive with Request to Delete Section 1(b) Basis or to Delete ITU Goods/Services After NOA (Paper).	1	100.00	100.00

Item No.	Item	Responses (yr)	Filing fees	Total cost (yr)
		(a)	(b)	(a × b)
12 .....	Petition to Revive with Request to Delete Section 1(b) Basis or to Delete ITU Goods/Services After NOA (TEAS Global).	25	100.00	2,500.00
Totals .....	.....	292,706	.....	28,703,250.00

\* **Note:** All filing fees are based on per class filing.

#### IV. Request for Comments

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record.

The USPTO is soliciting public comments to: (a) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Dated: February 28, 2014.

**Susan K. Fawcett,**

*Records Officer, USPTO, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2014-04814 Filed 3-4-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-16-P

#### CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

##### Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Corporation for National and Community Service.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Corporation for National and Community Service (CNCS), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a pre-clearance consultation program to provide the general public and federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995

(PRA95) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirement on respondents can be properly assessed.

Currently, CNCS is soliciting comments concerning its proposed renewal of the following proposed Generic Information Collection Request (Generic ICR): "Generic Clearance for the Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery" for approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501 et. seq.). This collection was developed as part of a Federal Government-wide effort to streamline the process for seeking feedback from the public on service delivery. This notice announces our intent to submit this collection to OMB for approval and solicits comments on specific aspects for the proposed information collection.

Copies of the information collection request can be obtained by contacting the office listed in the Addresses section of this Notice or on [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov).

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the individual and office listed in the **ADDRESSES** section by May 5, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, identified by the title of the information collection activity, by any of the following methods:

(1) By mail sent to: Corporation for National and Community Service; Attention Amy Borgstrom, Room 10508B; 1201 New York Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20525.

(2) By hand delivery or by courier to the CNCS mailroom at Room 8100 at the mail address given in paragraph (1) above, between 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. Eastern Time, Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

(3) Electronically through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov).

Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TTY-TDD) may call 1-800-833-3722

between 8:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m. Eastern Time, Monday through Friday.

Comments submitted in response to this notice may be made available to the public through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). For this reason, please do not include in your comments information of a confidential nature, such as sensitive personal information or proprietary information. If you send an email comment, your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. Please note that responses to this public comment request containing any routine notice about the confidentiality of the communication will be treated as public comments that may be made available to the public notwithstanding the inclusion of the routine notice.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Amy Borgstrom, 202-606-6930, or by email at [aborgstrom@cns.gov](mailto:aborgstrom@cns.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** CNCS is particularly interested in comments that:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of CNCS, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are expected to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology (e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses).

#### Background

CNCS seeks to continue to use this information collection to seek feedback on the agency's service delivery from grantees and other stakeholders.