

rate is zero or below *de minimis*, i.e., 0.5 percent, no cash deposit will be required); (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-than-fair-value investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and, (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review, the cash deposit rate will be the all others rate for this proceeding, 2.40 percent, as established in the less-than-fair-value investigation. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice is the only reminder to parties subject to the administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under the APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

These final results of administrative review and notice are published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h).

Dated: February 24, 2014.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

Topic Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

Whether Shinkong’s underutilized capacity should be classified as a cost of manufacturing or as a general and administrative expense.

[FR Doc. 2014-04429 Filed 2-27-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-475-818]

Certain Pasta From Italy: Notice of Final Results of 16th Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011–2012

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, formerly Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On August 7, 2013, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the antidumping duty administrative review of certain pasta (pasta) from Italy and gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the *Preliminary Results*.¹ The review covers two mandatory respondents, Pastificio Gallo Natale & F.lli S.r.L. (Gallo), and Rummo,² and six non-selected companies.³ The period of review (POR) is July 1, 2011, through June 30, 2012. As a result of our analysis

¹ See *Certain Pasta From Italy: Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011–2012*, 78 FR 48146 (August 7, 2013) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Decision Memorandum (*Preliminary Decision Memorandum*).

² The “Rummo Group” consists of Rummo S.p.A., a producer and seller of subject merchandise, Lenta Lavorazione, a seller of subject merchandise, Pasta Castiglioni, a producer and seller of subject merchandise, and the ultimate holding company (with no operations), Rummo S.p.A. Molino e Pastificio (collectively, “Rummo”).

³ The non-selected companies are: Alberto Poiatti S.p.A (Poiatti); Delverde Industrie Alimentari S.p.A (Delverde); Fiamma Vesuviana S.r.L (Fiamma); Pastificio Zaffiri S.r.L (Zaffiri); Tandoi Filippo e Adalberto Fratelli S.p.A (Fratelli); and Valdigrano di Flavio Pagani S.r.L (Valdigrano). The Department issued a partial rescission notice in which it rescinded this administrative review, in part, with respect to Industria Alimentare Colavita, S.p.A (Indalco) and Pasta Lenzi S.r.L (Lenzi). We also rescinded, in part, this administrative review with respect to Pastificio Attilio Mastromauro-Pasta Granoro S.r.L (Granoro) because this company has been revoked from the antidumping duty order effective prior to the beginning of this POR. See *Certain Pasta From Italy: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 78 FR 20091 (April 3, 2013).

of the comments and information received, these final results differ from the *Preliminary Results*. For the final weighted-average dumping margin, see the “Final Results of Review” section below.

DATES: *Effective Date:* February 28, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephanie Moore (Gallo) and George McMahon (Rummo), Office III, AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3692 and (202) 482-1167, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 7, 2013, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the *Preliminary Results*. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii), we invited parties to comment on our *Preliminary Results*.⁴ On September 6, 2013, Gallo submitted its case brief and Rummo requested a hearing. Rummo withdrew its hearing request on January 16, 2014. The Department conducted the verification of Rummo’s cost and sales responses in Italy, from December 2 through 6, 2013, and December 9 through 13, 2013, respectively.

On January 15, 2014, Rummo filed a case brief and Petitioners⁵ submitted a case brief regarding Gallo. On January 22, 2014, Petitioners and Gallo filed their respective rebuttal briefs.

As explained in the memorandum from the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, the Department has exercised its discretion to toll deadlines for the duration of the closure of the Federal Government from October 1, through October 16, 2013. Therefore, all deadlines in this segment of the proceeding have been extended by 16 days.⁶ Pursuant to the Tolling Memo, the deadline for the final results of this review was revised with a due date of December 23, 2013.

On October 23, 2013, the Department issued a memorandum extending the time period for issuing the final results of this administrative review from

⁴ The Department issued the briefing schedule in a Memorandum to the File, dated January 7, 2014. This briefing schedule indicated that the case and rebuttal briefs were due by close of business January 15, 2014 and January 22, 2014, respectively.

⁵ Petitioners are American Italian Pasta Company and Dakota Growers Pasta Company.

⁶ See Memorandum for the Record from Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, “Deadlines Affected by the Shutdown of the Federal Government” (October 18, 2013) (Tolling Memo).

December 23, 2013 to February 21, 2014.

Scope of the Order

Imports covered by the order are shipments of certain non-egg dry pasta. The merchandise subject to review is currently classifiable under items 1901.90.90.95 and 1902.19.20 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to the order is dispositive.⁷

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues that parties raised

and to which we responded is attached to this notice as Appendix. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on-file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit (CRU), Room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://enforcement.ita.doc.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding our *Preliminary Results*, we have recalculated Gallo and Rummo's weighted-average dumping margins. Gallo's and Rummo's adjustments are discussed in detail in the accompanying final calculation memoranda.⁸ As a result of the aforementioned recalculation of Gallo's and Rummo's rates, the weighted-average dumping margin for the six non-selected companies has changed.

Final Results of the Review

As a result of this review, the Department determines the following weighted-average dumping margins⁹ for the period July 1, 2011, through June 30, 2012, are as follows:

Producer and/or exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Pastificio Gallo Natale & F.lli S.r.L	1.31
Rummo S.p.A. Molino e Pastificio, Rummo S.p.A., Lenta Lavorazione, and Pasta Castiglioni	14.48
Alberto Poiatti S.p.A	13.09
Delverde Industrie Alimentari S.p.A	13.09
Fiamma Vesuviana S.r.L	13.09
Pastificio Zaffiri S.r.L	13.09
Tandoi Filippo e Adalberto Fratelli S.p.A	13.09
Valdigrano di Flavio Pagani S.r.L	13.09

Duty Assessment

The Department shall determine and Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries.¹⁰ For any individually examined respondents whose weighted-average dumping margin is above *de minimis*, we calculated importer-specific *ad valorem* duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Upon issuance of the final results of this administrative review, if any importer-specific assessment rates calculated in the final results are above

de minimis (i.e., at or above 0.5 percent), the Department will issue appraisal instructions directly to CBP to assess antidumping duties on appropriate entries.

To determine whether the duty assessment rates covering the period were *de minimis*, in accordance with the requirement set forth in 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), for each respondent we calculated importer (or customer)-specific *ad valorem* rates by aggregating the amount of dumping calculated for all U.S. sales to that importer or customer and dividing this amount by the total entered value of the sales to that importer (or customer). Where an importer (or customer)-specific *ad*

valorem rate is greater than *de minimis*, and the respondent has reported reliable entered values, we apply the assessment rate to the entered value of the importer's/customer's entries during the review period. Where an importer (or customer)-specific *ad valorem* rate is greater than *de minimis* and we do not have reliable entered values, we calculate a per-unit assessment rate by aggregating the amount of dumping for all U.S. sales to each importer (or customer) and dividing this amount by the total quantity sold to that importer (or customer).

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003.¹¹ This clarification will

⁷ For a full description of the scope of the order, see the "Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Pasta from Italy" from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, dated concurrently with this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum) and incorporated herein by reference.

⁸ See Issues and Decision Memorandum; see also Memorandum to the File, Through Eric B. Greynolds, Program Manager, Office III, from Stephanie Moore, Case Analyst, Office III, titled "Certain Pasta from Italy: Calculation

Memorandum—Gallo," dated February 21, 2014 and Memorandum to the File, Through Eric B. Greynolds, Program Manager, Office III, from George McMahon, Case Analyst, Office III, titled "Certain Pasta from Italy: Calculation Memorandum—the Rummo Group," dated February 21, 2014.

⁹ The rate applied to the non-selected companies is a weighted-average percentage margin calculated based on the publicly-ranged U.S. volumes of the two reviewed companies with an affirmative dumping margin, for the period July 1, 2011, through June 30, 2012. See Memorandum to the File, titled, "Certain Pasta from Italy: Margin for Respondents Not Selected for Individual

Examination," from George McMahon and Stephanie Moore, Case Analysts, through Eric B. Greynolds, Program Manager, dated concurrently with this notice.

¹⁰ In these final results, the Department applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

¹¹ See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003) (*Automatic Assessment Clarification*).

apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by the respondent for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. For a full discussion of this clarification, see the *Automatic Assessment Clarification*.

We intend to issue assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for respondents noted above will be the rate established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this administrative review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the manufacturer of the subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 15.45 percent, the all-others rate established in the antidumping investigation as modified by the section 129 determination. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers Regarding the Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the

subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h).

Dated: February 21, 2014.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Comments in the Accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum

Company-Specific Issues

Comment 1: Program Language for Gallo's

U.S. Warranty Expense

Comment 2: Treatment of Gallo's U.S.

Warranty Expense

Comment 3: Certain U.S. Sales Not Reported by Rummo

Comment 4: The Commission Offset for Rummo's Constructed Export Price (CEP) sales

[FR Doc. 2014-04430 Filed 2-27-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-552-802]

Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, formerly Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On January 10, 2014, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published its notice of initiation and preliminary results of a changed circumstances review ("CCR") of the antidumping duty order on Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp ("shrimp") from the Socialist Republic

of Vietnam ("Vietnam").¹ The Department preliminarily determined that Gallant Dachan Seafood Co., Ltd. ("Dachan") is the successor-in-interest to Gallant Ocean (Quang Ngai), Co. Ltd. ("Quang Ngai"). We invited parties to comment. No parties submitted comments, and for these final results we continue to find that Dachan is the successor-in-interest to Quang Ngai.

DATES: *Effective Date:* February 28, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Frances Veith, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: at (202) 482-4295.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 31, 2013,² Dachan requested that the Department conduct a CCR to determine whether it is the successor-in-interest to Quang Ngai, for purposes of determining antidumping duties due as a result of the *Order*.³ On January 10, 2014, the Department initiated the CCR of Dachan and preliminarily determined that Dachan is the successor-in-interest to Quang Ngai.⁴ In the *Preliminary Results*, the Department invited interested parties to comment.⁵ We received no comments or requests for a hearing from interested parties.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is certain frozen warmwater shrimp. The product is currently classified under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States item numbers: 0306.17.00.03, 0306.17.00.06, 0306.17.00.09, 0306.17.00.12, 0306.17.00.15, 0306.17.00.18, 0306.17.00.21, 0306.17.00.24, 0306.17.00.27, 0306.17.00.40, 1605.21.10.30, and 1605.29.10.10. The written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.⁶

¹ See *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review*, 79 FR 1824 (January 10, 2014) ("*Preliminary Results*").

² This changed circumstances review was originally filed on September 30, 2013, within the seventh administrative review for frozen shrimp from Vietnam. Pursuant to instructions from the Department, Gallant Ocean re-filed this CCR on October 31, 2013.

³ See Letter from Gallant Ocean dated October 31, 2013, at 3.

⁴ See *Preliminary Results*, 79 FR at 1825.

⁵ *Id.*, 79 FR at 1826.

⁶ For a full description of the scope of the order, see the Department's memorandum to the file titled