

TABLE 1—ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN ¹—Continued

| 21 CFR section | Number of respondents | Number of responses per respondent | Total annual responses | Average burden per response | Total hours |
|----------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| Total | | | | | 13,586 |

¹ There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection of information.

TABLE 2—ESTIMATED ANNUAL THIRD-PARTY DISCLOSURE BURDEN ¹

| 21 CFR section | Number of respondents | Number of disclosures per respondent | Total annual disclosures | Average burden per disclosure | Total hours |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|
| 202.1—Advertisements prepared in accordance with § 202.1 | 541 | 46.5 | 25,157 | 400 | 10,062,800 |
| 202.1(j)(1)—Including information about the drug's fatalities or serious damage in the advertisement | 1 | 1 | 1 | 40 | 40 |
| Total | | | | | 10,062,840 |

¹ There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection of information.

Dated: February 24, 2014.

Leslie Kux,

Assistant Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2014-04262 Filed 2-26-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration Center for Substance Abuse Prevention

Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to Public Law 92-463, notice is hereby given that the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's (SAMHSA) Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP) Drug Testing Advisory Board (DTAB) will meet on March 17, 2014, from 9:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., and March 18, 2014, from 9:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. E.D.T. The DTAB will convene in both open and closed sessions on these two days.

On March 17, 2014, from 9:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., the meeting will be open to the public and will include updates on the previously announced DTAB recommendations, the medical review officer resources, the custody and control form, the Federal Drug-Free Workplace Programs, the National Laboratory Certification Program, and the Division of Workplace Programs-sponsored research studies. The meeting also will include drug testing updates from the Department of Transportation, the Department of Defense, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, the Federal Drug-Free Workplace Programs, and the Drug Testing Index®.

The public is invited to attend the open session in person or to listen via web conference. Due to the limited seating space and call-in capacity, registration is requested. Public comments are welcome. To register, make arrangements to attend, obtain the web conference call-in numbers and access codes, submit written or brief oral comments, or request special accommodations for persons with disabilities, please register at the SAMHSA Advisory Committees Web site at <http://nac.samhsa.gov/Registration/meetingsRegistration.aspx> or contact the CSAP DTAB Designated Federal Official, Dr. Janine Denis Cook (see contact information below).

On March 18, 2014, from 9:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m., the Board will meet in closed session to discuss proposed revisions to the Mandatory Guidelines for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs. Therefore, this meeting is closed to the public as determined by the Administrator, SAMHSA, in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(9)(B) and 5 U.S.C. App. 2, Section 10(d).

Meeting information and a roster of DTAB members may be obtained by accessing the SAMHSA Advisory Committees Web site, <http://www.nac.samhsa.gov/DTAB/meetings.aspx>, or by contacting Dr. Cook.

Committee Name: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's Center for Substance Abuse Prevention Drug Testing Advisory Board.

Dates/Time/Type: March 17, 2014, from 9:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. E.D.T.: OPEN; March 18, 2014, from 9:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. E.D.T.: CLOSED.

Place: Sugarloaf Conference Room, SAMHSA Building, 1 Choke Cherry Road, Rockville, Maryland 20850.

Contact: Janine Denis Cook, Ph.D., Designated Federal Official, CSAP Drug Testing Advisory Board, 1 Choke Cherry Road, Room 7-1043, Rockville, Maryland 20857, *Telephone:* 240-276-2600, *Fax:* 240-276-2610, *Email:* janine.cook@samhsa.hhs.gov.

Cathy J. Friedman,

Public Health Analyst, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration.

[FR Doc. 2014-04291 Filed 2-26-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4162-20-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket Number FR- 5752-N-22]

Federal Housing Administration (FHA) Healthcare Facility Documents: Documents Eligible for Electronic Submission—30-Day Notice of Information Collection

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing—Federal Housing Commissioner, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: On March 14, 2013, HUD published in the **Federal Register** a notice that announced that FHA's healthcare facility documents completed the notice and comment processes under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), and had been assigned a control number, 2502-0605, by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). The assignment of a control number concluded a 10-month process through which HUD solicited

public comment to update 115 healthcare facility documents to reflect current policy and practices, to improve accountability by all parties involved in FHA's healthcare facility transactions and strengthen risk management.

On September 10, 2013, HUD published a notice in the **Federal Register** that solicited, for a period of 60 days, public comment on this collection solely on the issue of which healthcare facility documents are eligible for electronic submission. HUD did not address this issue as part of the previous notice and comment process, but recognized the importance, efficiency, and reduction of burden that electronic submission of documents can achieve, and solicited public comment on the healthcare facility documents that HUD had determined may be submitted, but are not required to be submitted, electronically.

In addition, in response to comments received after March 14, 2013 by participants in healthcare facility transactions, HUD has made several changes to one of the documents, the Intercreditor Agreement, form HUD-92322-ORCF.

This notice provides for and solicits comment on the possibility of electronic submission, changes made to the Intercreditor Agreement and to the entire proposed collection of information. The entire collection subject to this notice is available for review at www.hud.gov/232forms.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days of public comment.

DATES: *Comment Due Date:* March 31, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB Control Number and should be sent to: Patrick Fuchs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503; fax: 202-395-5806. Email: OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John M. Hartung, Director, Policy and Risk Management Analysis, Office of Residential Care Facilities, Office of Healthcare Programs, Office of Housing, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, 1222 Spruce Street, Room 3.203, St. Louis, MO 63103-2836; telephone (314) 418-5238 (this is not a toll-free number). Persons with hearing or speech disabilities may access this number through TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Relay Service at (800) 877-8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

A. 2012 PRA Process on Substance of Healthcare Facility Documents

On May 3, 2012, at 77 FR 26304, and consistent with the PRA, HUD published a notice in the **Federal Register** seeking public comment for a period of 60 days (60-day Notice) on HUD's proposed update and revisions to a set of production, underwriting, asset management, closing, and other documents used in connection with transactions involving healthcare facilities, excluding hospitals (collectively, the healthcare facility documents), that are insured pursuant to section 232 of the National Housing Act (Section 232). In conjunction with publication of the 60-day Notice, the proposed revised healthcare facility documents (115 documents) were made available at: www.hud.gov/232forms. In addition to presenting unmarked versions of the documents, this Web site, to the extent applicable, presented the proposed healthcare facility documents as a redline/strikeout against the updated multifamily rental project closing documents to highlight the changes made to facilitate a healthcare transaction. Where the proposed healthcare facility documents were based on existing healthcare facility documents, the proposed healthcare facility documents, in addition to being presented in an unmarked format, were presented in redline/strikeout format so that reviewers could see the changes proposed to the existing healthcare facility documents.¹

As a special outreach to the public on proposed changes to the healthcare facility documents and Section 232 program regulations, HUD hosted a forum on May 31, 2012, in Washington, DC. (See <http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/press/multimedia/videos>.) While comments were raised and discussed at the forum, HUD encouraged forum participants to file written comments through the www.regulations.gov Web site so that all comments would be more easily accessible to interested parties. All comments, whether submitted through www.regulations.gov or raised at the forum, were considered in the development of the revised documents which were published on November 21,

¹ Along with the 60-day Notice, HUD published in the **Federal Register** on May 3, 2012, at 77 FR 26218, a proposed rule that proposed to strengthen regulations for HUD's Section 232 program to reflect current policy and practices, and to improve accountability and strengthen risk management. A final rule following the May 3, 2012, proposed rule, and taking into consideration public comment received on the proposed rule, was published on September 7, 2012, at 77 FR 55120.

2012 (77 FR 69870), and for which, consistent with the PRA, comment was solicited for an additional 30 days (30-day Notice).

In the 30-day Notice, HUD identified substantive changes that were made to the healthcare facility documents in response to public comments submitted on the 60-day Notice, responded to significant issues raised by the commenters, and identified proposed additional changes based on further consideration of certain issues. As was the case with the 60-day Notice, HUD posted on its Web site the further revised healthcare facility documents in (1) a clean format, and (2) in redline/strikeout format, to show the changes made from the versions posted with issuance of the 60-day Notice.

On March 14, 2013, at 78 FR 16279, HUD published in the **Federal Register** a notice that announced the approval of the healthcare facility documents under the PRA and the assignment of a control number, 2502-0605, by OMB. In addition to announcing the assignment of an OMB control number, HUD advised in the March 14, 2013, notice that additional changes were made to the healthcare facility documents in response to comments submitted on the 30-day Notice. In the March 14, 2013, notice, HUD highlighted additional changes made to the healthcare facility documents, and once again, provided on HUD's Web site at www.hud.gov/232forms, the final versions of the documents in clean and redline/strikeout formats so that reviewers could see the final changes made to the documents and the clean final versions of the documents.

B. 2013 PRA Process on Eligibility of Electronic Submission

On September 10, 2013, at 78 FR 55282, HUD published in the **Federal Register** a notice solely seeking comment on the issue of which healthcare facility documents may be submitted electronically. Questions arose on this issue after conclusion of the 2012 PRA process.

In the September 10, 2013, notice, HUD advised that consistent with current practice, HUD requires applications for mortgage insurance to be submitted electronically, and that therefore any healthcare facility documents submitted as part of an application for mortgage insurance must be submitted electronically. Of the other healthcare facility documents, HUD identified 13 documents that must be submitted with original signatures, in hard copy format. These documents are the following: Healthcare Regulatory Agreement—Borrower (HUD-92466-

ORCF); Healthcare Regulatory Agreement—Operator (HUD–92466A–ORCF); Management Certification—Residential Care Facility (HUD–9839–ORCF); Lender Certification (HUD–92434–ORCF); Offsite Bond—Dual Oblige (HUD–92479–ORCF); Performance Bond—Dual Oblige (HUD–92452–ORCF); Payment Bond (HUD–92452A–ORCF); Request for Endorsement (HUD–92455–ORCF); Request for Final Endorsement (HUD–92023–ORCF); Guide for Opinion for Master Tenant’s Counsel (HUD–92335–ORCF); Healthcare Regulatory Agreement—Master Tenant (HUD–92337–ORCF); Guide for Opinion of

Borrower’s Counsel (HUD–91725–ORCF); and Guide for Opinion of Operator’s Counsel and Certification (HUD–92325–ORCF). For any of the remaining healthcare facility documents (which must be submitted electronically) or the listed 13 documents (which must be submitted in hard copy), the September 10, 2013, notice advised that HUD neither requires nor prohibits that any of the remaining documents be submitted electronically. Electronic submission is an option.

In the 2012 PRA process, HUD’s 30-day Notice, HUD listed in a table all the documents for which approval under

the PRA was sought and provided the burden hours and costs calculated for preparation of and submission of each of documents and provided a total aggregate annual cost of \$4,393,301. (See 77FR 69887–69889). This table, revised with updated information on burden hours and costs, is included at the end of this notice.

In the September 10, 2013, notice, HUD included the table below, which provides a breakdown of the estimated costs involved in hard copy preparation and shipping, and estimates a \$450,000 annual savings in costs if documents are submitted electronically rather than in hard copy.

| Item | Cost per item | Costs |
|---|---|------------|
| Printing by Lender | 1,500 pages at \$.04 per page | \$60.00 |
| Lender Box Preparation | \$50 per hour and two hours per box | 100.00 |
| Shipping by Lender to HUD in Field | 1–40 lb. box | 20.00 |
| HUD processing preparation (Field and HQ) | \$50 per hour and 1 hour per box | 50.00 |
| Shipping by HUD Field to HQ | 1–40 lb. box | 20.00 |
| Total | | 1 250.00 |
| Estimated # Boxes per project | 3 | |
| Estimated # of projects per year | 600 | |
| Total Annual Costs | (# of boxes × # of projects × cost per box) | 450,000.00 |

¹ Per box.

C. Summary of Changes to the Intercreditor Agreement—Section 232 (HUD 92322–ORCF).

Because of a substantial number of comments from participants in healthcare facility programs after the conclusion of the 2012 PRA process, HUD has revised the Intercreditor Agreement (form HUD–92322). A redline/strikeout showing each proposed change in the revised Intercreditor Agreement is available at www.hud.gov/232forms. The significant changes, however, can be summarized as follows:

(1) AR Loan Obligations

The definition of what constitutes an AR Loan Obligation was revised. In this regard, instead of delineating particular forms of indebtedness, liabilities, and obligations that must be excluded and may not constitute AR Loan Obligations, the revised definition takes a broader approach, requiring AR Loan Obligations to be directly related to the benefit of the Facility.

(2) Cut-Off Time & Ceased Funding

“Cut-Off Time” is when HUD will no longer subordinate its interest in the accounts receivable of the operator. The events that trigger a Cut-Off Time were clarified. Specifically, rather than allow any defaults to trigger a Cut-Off Time, the revised document introduces the

defined term “Ceased Funding” in order to clarify that only defaults of the AR Loan resulting in the AR Lender ceasing to fund trigger a Cut-Off Time.

(3) Notices and Consent Rights

Clarifications were made to HUD and FHA Lender’s notice and consent rights to address specifically certain potentially troubling scenarios. The revised document clarifies that AR “over-line” advances (over the agreed maximum commitment amount) require prior written consent by FHA Lender and HUD, but that, within some agreed-upon limits, advances within the maximum commitment amount (but over the borrowing base formula) only require notice not consent. Clarifications were also made to the provision setting forth what modifications can be made to the AR Loan documents without FHA Lender or HUD consent.

D. Summary of Comments Solicited

HUD received no comments in response to the **Federal Register** Notice published on September 10, 2013. In accordance with 5 CFR 1320.8(d)(1), HUD is soliciting, for an additional 30 days, comments from members of the public and interested parties on:

(1) Whether the documents identified by HUD for originally signed, hard copy submission are necessary in such format for proper performance of the

transactions in which the documents are used;

(2) Whether any of the documents not identified as necessary for originally signed, hard copy submission should be submitted only in originally signed, hard copy;

(3) The accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the reduced burden and reduced costs for submission of documents electronically;

(4) Whether electronic submission of application documents enhances the utility and efficiency of the transactions in which the documents are used;

(5) Whether electronic submission of other documents enhances the utility and efficiency of the transactions in which the documents are used;

(6) Additional ways, through information technology, to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond;

(7) Whether the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information is accurate;

(8) Whether the proposed collection of information enhances the utility and efficiency of the transactions in which the documents are used; and

(9) Whether the proposed changes to the Intercreditor Agreement enhance the utility and efficiency of the transactions for which the document is used.

A list of the entire document collection is provided below.

| New form No. | Form name | Number of respondents | Frequency of response | Response per annum | Average burden per hour per response | Annual burden hours | Average hourly cost per response | Annual cost |
|-----------------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|
| Lender Narratives: | | | | | | | | |
| HUD-9001-ORCF | Lender Narrative 223a7—Main | 30 | 2.5 | 75 | 22.00 | 1,650 | \$75 | \$123,750 |
| HUD-9001a-ORCF | Lender Narrative 223a7—Addenda—PCNA | 30 | 2.5 | 75 | 1.50 | 112.5 | 75 | 8,438 |
| HUD-9001b-ORCF | Lender Narrative 223a7.223d.232i—Addendum—ALTA/ACSM Land Title Survey. | 30 | 2.5 | 75 | 0.50 | 37.5 | 75 | 2,813 |
| HUD-9001c-ORCF | Lender Narrative 223a7—Addendum—Environmental. | 30 | 2.5 | 75 | 0.50 | 37.5 | 75 | 2,813 |
| HUD-9001d-ORCF | Lender Narrative 223a7—Addendum—Other Existing Eligible Indebtedness. | 30 | 2.5 | 75 | 0.50 | 37.5 | 75 | 2,813 |
| HUD-9001e-ORCF | Lender Narrative 223a7.223d.232i—Addendum—Principal of Borrower. | 30 | 2.5 | 75 | 0.50 | 37.5 | 75 | 2,813 |
| HUD-9001f-ORCF | Lender Narrative 223a7.223d.232i—Addendum—Operator. | 20 | 2.5 | 50 | 0.50 | 25 | 75 | 1,875 |
| HUD-9001g-ORCF | Lender Narrative 223a7.223d.232i—Addendum—Management Agent. | 12 | 2.5 | 30 | 0.50 | 15 | 75 | 1,125 |
| HUD-9001h-ORCF | Lender Narrative 223a7.223d.232i—Addendum—Transfer of Physical Assets. | 30 | 2.5 | 75 | 0.50 | 37.5 | 75 | 2,813 |
| HUD-9001i-ORCF | Lender Narrative 223a7.223d.232i—Addendum—AR Financing. | 30 | 2.5 | 75 | 0.50 | 37.5 | 75 | 2,813 |
| HUD-9002-ORCF | Lender Narrative 223f | 30 | 7.5 | 225 | 70.00 | 15,750 | 75 | 1,181,250 |
| HUD-9003-ORCF | Lender Narrative 241a | 4 | 1 | 4 | 73.00 | 292 | 75 | 21,900 |
| HUD-9004-ORCF | Lender Narrative—New Construction—Single Stage. | 10 | 2 | 20 | 87.00 | 1,740 | 75 | 130,500 |
| HUD-9005-ORCF | Lender Narrative—New Construction—2 Stage Initial Submittal. | 10 | 2 | 20 | 63.00 | 1,260 | 75 | 94,500 |
| HUD-9005a-ORCF | Lender Narrative—New Construction—2 Stage Final Submittal. | 10 | 2 | 20 | 53.00 | 1,060 | 75 | 79,500 |
| HUD-9006-ORCF | Lender Narrative—Substantial Rehabilitation—Single Stage. | 4 | 1 | 4 | 93.00 | 372 | 75 | 27,900 |
| HUD-9007-ORCF | Lender Narrative—Substantial Rehabilitation—2 Stage Initial Submittal. | 4 | 1 | 4 | 70.00 | 280 | 75 | 21,000 |
| HUD-9007a-ORCF | Lender Narrative—Substantial Rehabilitation—2 Stage Final Submittal. | 4 | 1 | 4 | 70.00 | 280 | 75 | 21,000 |
| HUD-9008-ORCF | Lender Narrative—Blended Rate—Single Stage. | 4 | 1 | 4 | 70.00 | 280 | 62 | 17,360 |
| HUD-90025-ORCF | Lender Narrative—Blended Rate—2 Stage—Initial Submittal. | 4 | 1 | 4 | 70.00 | 280 | 75 | 21,000 |
| HUD-90025a-ORCF | Lender Narrative—Blended Rate—2 Stage—Final Submittal. | 4 | 1 | 4 | 70.00 | 280 | 75 | 21,000 |
| HUD-9009-ORCF | Lender Narrative 232(i)—Fire Safety Equipment Installation, without Existing HUD Insured Mortgage. | 5 | 2 | 10 | 15.00 | 150 | 62 | 9,300 |
| HUD-90010-ORCF | Lender Narrative 232(i)—Fire Safety Equipment Installation, with Existing HUD Insured Mortgage. | 5 | 2 | 10 | 15.00 | 150 | 62 | 9,300 |
| HUD-90011-ORCF | Lender Narrative 223(d)—Operating Loss Loan. | 1 | 2 | 2 | 15.00 | 30 | 62 | 1,860 |
| HUD-9444-ORCF | Lender Narrative Cost Certification Supplement. | 2 | 2 | 4 | 15.00 | 60 | 75 | 4,500 |
| Production Certifications: | | | | | | | | |
| HUD-90012-ORCF | Consolidated Certification—Lender | 30 | 2.5 | 75 | 1.00 | 75 | 67 | 5,025 |
| HUD-90013-ORCF | Consolidated Certification—Borrower | 77 | 1 | 77 | 1.00 | 77 | 75 | 5,775 |

| New form No. | Form name | Number of respondents | Frequency of response | Response per annum | Average burden per hour per response | Annual burden hours | Average hourly cost per response | Annual cost |
|-----------------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|
| HUD-90014-ORCF | Consolidated Certification—Principal of the Borrower. | 38 | 2 | 76 | 1.00 | 76 | 75 | 5,700 |
| HUD-90015-ORCF | Consolidated Certification—Operator | 35 | 2 | 70 | 1.00 | 70 | 75 | 5,250 |
| HUD-90016-ORCF | Consolidated Certification—Parent of Operator. | 35 | 2 | 70 | 1.00 | 70 | 75 | 5,250 |
| HUD-90017-ORCF | Consolidated Certification—Management Agent. | 35 | 2 | 70 | 1.00 | 70 | 75 | 5,250 |
| HUD-90018-ORCF | Consolidated Certification—Contractors | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1.50 | 6 | 75 | 450 |
| HUD-90019-ORCF | Auditor Certification | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0.50 | 1.5 | 67 | 101 |
| HUD-90022-ORCF | Certification for Electronic Submittal | 35 | 10 | 350 | 0.50 | 175 | 67 | 11,725 |
| HUD-9445-ORCF | Certification of Outstanding Obligations | 35 | 10 | 350 | 1.00 | 350 | 83 | 29,050 |
| HUD-91118-ORCF | Borrower's Certification—Completion of Critical Repairs. | 240 | 1 | 240 | 0.50 | 120 | 75 | 9,000 |
| HUD-92434-ORCF | Lender Certification | 35 | 10 | 350 | 1.00 | 350 | 75 | 26,250 |
| HUD-91130-ORCF | Building Code Certification | 26 | 2 | 52 | 0.50 | 26 | 83 | 2,158 |
| Construction Documents: | | | | | | | | |
| HUD-91123-ORCF | Design Professional's Certification of Liability Insurance. | 26 | 2 | 52 | 0.50 | 26 | 83 | 2,158 |
| HUD-91124-ORCF | Design Architect Certification | 26 | 2 | 52 | 0.50 | 26 | 83 | 2,158 |
| HUD-91127-ORCF | Financial Statement Certification—General Contractor. | 26 | 2 | 52 | 0.50 | 26 | 67 | 1,742 |
| HUD-92408-ORCF | HUD Amendment to B108 | 26 | 2 | 52 | 0.50 | 26 | 75 | 1,950 |
| HUD-95379-ORCF | HUD Representative's Trip Report | 26 | 28 | 728 | 1.00 | 728 | 75 | 54,600 |
| HUD-91129-ORCF | Lender Certification for New Construction Cost Certifications. | 10 | 5.2 | 52 | 3.00 | 156 | 75 | 11,700 |
| HUD-9442-ORCF | Memo for Post-Commitment Early Start of Construction Request. | 3 | 2 | 6 | 1.00 | 6 | 75 | 450 |
| HUD-92415-ORCF | Request for Permission to Commence Construction Prior to Initial Endorsement for Mortgage Insurance (Post-Commitment Early Start of Construction). | 3 | 2 | 6 | 0.50 | 3 | 83 | 249 |
| HUD-93305-ORCF | Agreement and Certification | 10 | 5.2 | 52 | 0.50 | 26 | 75 | 1,950 |
| HUD-92441-ORCF | Building Loan Agreement | 10 | 5.2 | 52 | 1.00 | 52 | 75 | 3,900 |
| HUD-92441a-ORCF | Building Loan Agreement Supplemental | 10 | 5.2 | 52 | 1.00 | 52 | 75 | 3,900 |
| HUD-92450-ORCF | Completion Assurance | 10 | 5.2 | 52 | 0.50 | 26 | 75 | 1,950 |
| HUD-92442-ORCF | Construction Contract | 10 | 5.2 | 52 | 1.00 | 52 | 75 | 3,900 |
| HUD-92554-ORCF | Supplementary Conditions of the Contract for Construction. | 10 | 5.2 | 52 | 0.50 | 26 | 217 | 5,642 |
| HUD-92456-ORCF | Escrow Agreement for Incomplete Construction. | 3 | 2 | 6 | 0.50 | 3 | 75 | 225 |
| HUD-92479-ORCF | Offsite Bond—Dual Oblige | 5 | 3 | 15 | 0.50 | 7.5 | 75 | 563 |
| HUD-92452-ORCF | Performance Bond—Dual Oblige | 5 | 5.2 | 26 | 0.50 | 13 | 217 | 2,821 |
| HUD-92452A-ORCF | Payment Bond | 5 | 5.2 | 26 | 0.50 | 13 | 75 | 975 |
| HUD-92455-ORCF | Request for Endorsement | 10 | 5.2 | 52 | 1.00 | 52 | 75 | 3,900 |
| HUD-92023-ORCF | Request for Final Endorsement | 10 | 5.2 | 52 | 1.00 | 52 | 75 | 3,900 |
| HUD-92412-ORCF | Working Capital Escrow | 10 | 5.2 | 52 | 0.50 | 26 | 75 | 1,950 |
| HUD-91125-ORCF | Staffing Schedule | 30 | 5.83 | 174.9 | 1.00 | 174.9 | 62 | 10,844 |
| Additional ORCF Documents: | | | | | | | | |
| HUD-91708-ORCF | Agreement for Payment of Real Property Taxes. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.00 | 1 | 83 | 83 |
| HUD-92576A-ORCF | Certificate of Need for Health Facility | 3 | 2 | 6 | 0.50 | 3 | 83 | 249 |
| HUD-90024-ORCF | Contact Sheet | 35 | 10 | 350 | 1.00 | 350 | 67 | 23,450 |
| HUD-91126-ORCF | Financial Statement Certification | 150 | 7 | 1,050 | 0.50 | 525 | 67 | 35,175 |
| HUD-91116-ORCF | Addendum to Operating Lease | 30 | 6.5 | 195 | 0.50 | 97.5 | 217 | 21,158 |

| | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----|------|-------|------|--------|-----|---------|
| HUD-941-ORCF | 30 | 11.7 | 351 | 0.50 | 175.5 | 62 | 10,881 |
| HUD-92264a-ORCF | 30 | 11.7 | 351 | 2.00 | 702 | 83 | 58,266 |
| HUD-2-ORCF | 20 | 8 | 160 | 1.00 | 160 | 75 | 12,000 |
| HUD-91119-ORCF | 35 | 10 | 350 | 1.50 | 525 | 75 | 39,375 |
| HUD-91110-ORCF | 30 | 11.7 | 351 | 0.50 | 175.5 | 233 | 40,892 |
| HUD-91111-ORCF | 180 | 1.5 | 270 | 0.50 | 135 | 83 | 11,205 |
| HUD-91112-ORCF | 15 | 5.13 | 76.95 | 0.50 | 38.475 | 67 | 2,578 |
| HUD-9839-ORCF | 5 | 1 | 5 | 0.50 | 2.5 | 75 | 188 |
| HUD-92466-ORCF | 35 | 10 | 350 | 0.50 | 175 | 217 | 37,975 |
| HUD-92466A-ORCF | 10 | 2 | 20 | 0.50 | 10 | 217 | 2,170 |
| HUD-94000-ORCF | 35 | 10 | 350 | 0.50 | 175 | 217 | 37,975 |
| HUD-92070-ORCF | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0.50 | 1 | 217 | 217 |
| HUD-94001-ORCF | 35 | 10 | 350 | 1.00 | 350 | 75 | 26,250 |
| HUD-91710-ORCF | 5 | 2 | 10 | 0.50 | 5 | 75 | 375 |
| HUD-92420-ORCF | 7 | 2 | 14 | 0.50 | 7 | 217 | 1,519 |
| HUD-92223-ORCF | 7 | 2 | 14 | 0.50 | 7 | 75 | 525 |
| HUD-2205A-ORCF | 30 | 7.5 | 225 | 3.50 | 787.5 | 75 | 59,063 |
| HUD-92323-ORCF | 30 | 6.5 | 195 | 1.00 | 195 | 200 | 39,000 |
| Escrow Documents: | | | | | | | |
| HUD-91128-ORCF | 11 | 5 | 55 | 1.50 | 82.5 | 83 | 6,848 |
| HUD-92414-ORCF | 20 | 12 | 240 | 0.50 | 120 | 75 | 9,000 |
| HUD-9443-ORCF | 26 | 2 | 52 | 1.00 | 52 | 83 | 4,316 |
| HUD-92476-ORCF | 20 | 12 | 240 | 0.50 | 120 | 75 | 9,000 |
| HUD-92476B-ORCF | 12 | 4.8 | 57.6 | 0.50 | 28.8 | 75 | 2,160 |
| HUD-92464-ORCF | 35 | 15 | 525 | 1.00 | 525 | 75 | 39,375 |
| Asset Management Documents: | | | | | | | |
| HUD-92266-ORCF | 25 | 2 | 50 | 1.00 | 50 | 83 | 4,150 |
| HUD-93332-ORCF | 456 | 1 | 456 | 1.00 | 456 | 75 | 34,200 |
| HUD-93333-ORCF | 208 | 1 | 208 | 0.50 | 104 | 83 | 8,632 |
| HUD-93486-ORCF | 70 | 1 | 70 | 0.50 | 35 | 62 | 2,170 |
| HUD-9250-ORCF | 500 | 5.6 | 2800 | 1.00 | 2800 | 75 | 210,000 |
| HUD-9250A-ORCF | 15 | 2 | 30 | 1.00 | 30 | 75 | 2,250 |
| HUD-92228-ORCF | 20 | 2 | 40 | 0.50 | 20 | 83 | 1,660 |
| HUD-92117-ORCF | 250 | 2 | 500 | 0.50 | 250 | 75 | 18,750 |
| HUD-92417-ORCF | 175 | 6 | 1050 | 3.50 | 3675 | 83 | 305,025 |
| HUD-93479-ORCF | 60 | 2 | 120 | 1.00 | 120 | 75 | 9,000 |
| HUD-93480-ORCF | 60 | 12 | 720 | 1.00 | 720 | 75 | 54,000 |
| HUD-93481-ORCF | 60 | 12 | 720 | 1.00 | 720 | 75 | 54,000 |
| Accounts Receivable Documents: | | | | | | | |

| New form No. | Form name | Number of respondents | Frequency of response | Response per annum | Average burden per hour per response | Annual burden hours | Average hourly cost per response | Annual cost |
|------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|
| HUD-90020-ORCF | A/R Financing Certification | 50 | 3 | 150 | 0.50 | 75 | 217 | 16,275 |
| HUD-92322-ORCF | Intercrditor Agreement (for AR Financed Projects). | 30 | 5 | 150 | 1.50 | 225 | 200 | 45,000 |
| Master Lease Documents: | | | | | | | | |
| HUD-92211-ORCF | Master Lease Addendum | 5 | 5 | 25 | 1.00 | 25 | 217 | 5,425 |
| HUD-92331-ORCF | Cross-Default Guaranty of Subtenants | 30 | 5.83 | 175 | 1.00 | 174.9 | 217 | 37,953 |
| HUD-92333-ORCF | Master Lease SNDA | 30 | 5.83 | 175 | 0.50 | 87.45 | 217 | 18,977 |
| HUD-92335-ORCF | Guide for Opinion of Master Tenant's Counsel. | 30 | 5.83 | 175 | 1.00 | 174.9 | 217 | 37,953 |
| HUD-92337-ORCF | Healthcare Regulatory Agreement—Master Tenant. | 30 | 5.83 | 175 | 0.50 | 87.45 | 217 | 18,977 |
| HUD-92339-ORCF | Master Lease Estoppel Agreement | 30 | 5.83 | 175 | 0.50 | 87.45 | 217 | 18,977 |
| HUD-92340-ORCF | Master Tenant Security Agreement | 30 | 5.83 | 175 | 1.00 | 174.9 | 217 | 37,953 |
| Additional Legal Documents: | | | | | | | | |
| HUD-91117-ORCF | Operator Estoppel Certificate | 100 | 2 | 200 | 0.50 | 100 | 275 | 27,500 |
| HUD-91725-INST-ORCF | Instructions to Guide for Opinion of Borrower's and Operator's Counsel. | 35 | 10 | 350 | 0.00 | 0 | 217 | 0 |
| HUD-91725-CERT-ORCF | Exhibit A to Opinion of Borrower's Counsel—Certification. | 35 | 10 | 350 | 0.50 | 175 | 217 | 37,975 |
| HUD-91725-ORCF | Guide for Opinion of Borrower's Counsel | 35 | 10 | 350 | 2.00 | 700 | 217 | 151,900 |
| HUD-92325-ORCF | Guide for Opinion of Operator's Counsel and Certification. | 30 | 6.5 | 195 | 1.50 | 292.5 | 200 | 58,500 |
| TOTALS | | 4,568 | 539 | 20,322 | 8.30 | 44,221 | 105 | 3,794,809 |

Comments must be received by March 31, 2014. Comments must refer to the proposal by name and docket number (FR-5623-N-05) and interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB Control Number and should be sent to: Patrick Fuchs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503; fax: 202-395-5806. Email: OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov.

HUD Desk Officer,
Office of Management and Budget,
New Executive Office Building,
Washington, DC 20503,
Fax number: (202) 395-6947
and
Colette Pollard,
Office of the Chief Information Officer,
Department of Housing and Urban
Development,
451 Seventh Street SW.,
Room 4178,
Washington, DC 20410.

Dated: February 20, 2014.

Colette Pollard,

*Department Reports Management Officer—
Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2014-04237 Filed 2-26-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-67-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

[FWS-HQ-MB-2014-N034: FF09M21200-134-FXMB1231099BPP0]

Information Collection Request Sent to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for Approval; Federal Fish and Wildlife Permit Applications and Reports—Migratory Birds and Eagles

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice; request for comments.

SUMMARY: We (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service) have sent an Information Collection Request (ICR) to OMB for review and approval. We summarize the ICR below and describe the nature of the collection and the estimated burden and cost. This information collection is scheduled to expire on February 28, 2014. We may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. However, under OMB regulations, we may continue to conduct or sponsor this information collection while it is pending at OMB.

DATES: You must submit comments on or before March 31, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Send your comments and suggestions on this information collection to the Desk Officer for the Department of the Interior at OMB-OIRA at (202) 395-5806 (fax) or OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov (email). Please provide a copy of your comments to the Service Information Collection Clearance Officer, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, MS 2042-PDM, 4401 North Fairfax Drive, Arlington, VA 22203 (mail), or hope_grey@fws.gov (email). Please include “1018-0022” in the subject line of your comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request additional information about this ICR, contact Hope Grey at hope_grey@fws.gov (email) or 703-358-2482 (telephone). You may review the ICR online at <http://www.reginfo.gov>. Follow the instructions to review Department of the Interior collections under review by OMB.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Information Collection Request

OMB Control Number: 1018-0022.

Title: Federal Fish and Wildlife License/Permit Applications and Reports, Migratory Birds and Eagles, 50 CFR 10, 13, 21, and 22.

Service Form Numbers: 3-200-6 through 3-200-9, 3-200-10a through 3-200-10f, 3-200-12 through 3-200-16, 3-200-18, 3-200-67, 3-200-68, 3-200-71, 3-200-72, 3-200-77, 3-200-78, 3-200-79, 3-200-81, 3-200-82, 3-202-1 through 3-202-17, 3-186, and 3-186A.

Type of Request: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Description of Respondents: Individuals; zoological parks; museums; universities; scientists; taxidermists; businesses; utilities; and Federal, State, tribal, and local governments.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to obtain or retain a benefit.

Frequency of Collection: On occasion for applications; annually or on occasion for reports.

Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 61,623.

Estimated Completion Time per Response: Varies from 15 minutes to 452 hours, depending on activity.

Estimated Annual Burden Hours: 106,661.

Estimated Annual Nonhour Burden Cost: \$1,520,525 for permit application fees.

Abstract: Our Regional Migratory Bird Permit Offices use information that we collect on permit applications to determine the eligibility of applicants for permits requested in accordance with the criteria in various Federal wildlife conservation laws and international treaties, including:

(1) Migratory Bird Treaty Act (16 U.S.C. 703 et seq.).

(2) Lacey Act (16 U.S.C. 3371 et seq.).

(3) Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (16 U.S.C. 668).

Service regulations implementing these statutes and treaties are in chapter I, subchapter B of title 50 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). These regulations stipulate general and specific requirements that, when met, allow us to issue permits to authorize activities that are otherwise prohibited.

All Service permit applications are in the 3-200 series of forms, each tailored to a specific activity based on the requirements for specific types of permits. We collect standard identifier information for all permits. The information that we collect on applications and reports is the minimum necessary for us to determine if the applicant meets/continues to meet issuance requirements for the particular activity.

This ICR also includes the burden for permit applications and report forms for long-term eagle take permits that is currently approved under OMB Control Number 1018-0151. Once OMB takes action on this IC, we will discontinue OMB Control No. 1018-0151.

Comments Received and Our Responses

On November 13, 2013, we published in the **Federal Register** (78 FR 68086) a notice of our intent to request that OMB renew approval for this information collection. In that notice, we solicited comments for 60 days, ending on January 13, 2014. We received one comment from the American Bird Conservancy (ABC).

The commenter stressed that while “there are ways that the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced and ways that a system can be streamlined to minimize burden of the collection of information on respondents. . .,” he emphasized that “the minimum amount of information to be collected must allow the USFWS to make a valid determination that the proposed action is permissible under the law.

Information regarding impact on wild populations, proposed use of the specimens, and explanations of necessary mitigation/compensation, when required are thus critical for allowing the USFWS to do its important job of protecting our public trust resources for the benefit of all.”

We appreciate ABC's comments because they recognize the importance of collecting sufficient information from applicants and permittees to ensure that the applicant qualifies for the permit, that issuance of the permit meets