burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Comments may be sent to: Michele L. Brooks, Director, Program Development and Regulatory Analysis, Rural Utilities Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1400 Independence Ave. SW., STOP 1522, Room 5162 South Building, Washington, DC 20250–1522. FAX: (202) 720–8435 or email: Michele.brooks@wdc.usda.gov.

*Title:* Public Television Station Digital Transition Grant Program.

OMB Control Number: 0572–0134. Type of Request: Revision of a currently approved information collection.

Abstract: The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) required television broadcasters to have converted transmitters to broadcast digital signals by June 12, 2009. The FCC deadline did not apply to translators often used by rural stations serving small or isolated areas and some continue to broadcast in analog and have not completed the transition to digital. Public television stations rely on community and business financial support to operate and, in many rural areas the cost of the transition to digital broadcasting exceeds community resources. Since rural communities depend on public television stations for services ranging from educational course content in their schools to local news, weather, and agricultural reports, disruption of public television broadcasting would be detrimental.

Full digital transition requires installation of a new antenna, transmitter or translator, and new digital program management facilities consisting of processing and storage systems. Public television stations use a combination of transmitters and translators to serve the rural public and to perform program origination functions, digital cameras, editing and mastering systems are required. A new studio-to-tower site communications link may be required to transport the digital broadcast signal to each transmitter and translator. The capability to broadcast some programming in a high definition television format can require additional studio facilities.

In designing the competition for the distribution of grant funds, priority is given to public television stations serving areas most unable to fund digital transition without a grant. The largest sources of funding for public television stations are public membership and

business contributions and less densely populated rural areas have a lower membership and fewer business per capita than urban and suburban areas. Therefore, rurality is a primary predictor of the need for grant funding for a public television station's digital transition. Some rural areas have economic needs that are higher than the national average, and public television stations covering these areas may have difficulty funding the digital transition. As a result, the consideration of the National School Lunch Program (NSLP) eligibility percentages for all school districts within the station coverage area is a secondary predictor of need for grant funding. Finally, because public television stations may face special difficulty accomplishing the transition, a third scoring factor for station hardship accounts for conditions that make these public television stations less likely to accomplish the digital transition without a grant.

The collection of information consists of the materials to file a grant application with the Agency, including forms, certifications and required documentation.

Estimate of Burden: Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 23 hours per response.

Respondents: Not-for-profit institutions; State, Local or Tribal Government.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 30.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 1.26.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 714 hours.

Copies of this information collection can be obtained from Rebecca Hunt, Program Development and Regulatory Analysis, at (202) 205–3660, FAX: (202) 720–8435 or email:

rebecca.hunt@wdc.usda.gov.

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: February 12, 2014.

### John Charles Padalino,

 $Administrator, Rural\ Utilities\ Service.$  [FR Doc. 2014–03674 Filed 2–20–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

# BROADCASTING BOARD OF GOVERNORS

## Notice of Membership of SES Performance Review Board

**AGENCY:** Broadcasting Board of Governors (BBG).

**ACTION:** Notice of Membership of SES Performance Review Board.

**SUMMARY:** Title 5 United States Code. Section 4314, requires that notice of the appointment of an individual to serve as a member of a performance review board (PRB) shall be published in the Federal Register. The following individuals have been appointed to serve as members of the PRB for the Broadcasting Board of Governors: Carol Chan, Director of the Office of U.S. Foreign Disaster Assistance, U.S. Agency for International Development; Gil H. Harden, Assistant Inspector General for Audit, U.S. Department of Agriculture; and Steven Rickrode, Deputy Assistant Inspector General for Audit, U.S. Department of Agriculture. **ADDRESSES:** Broadcasting Board of Governors, 330 Independence Ave. SW., Washington, DC 20237.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Donna S. Grace, Director, Office of Human Resources, 202–382–7500.

#### Oanh Tran.

Director of Board Operations, Broadcasting Board of Governors.

# **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1930]

## Reorganization of Foreign-Trade Zone 185 Under Alternative Site Framework; Culpeper County, VA

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Board adopted the alternative site framework (ASF) (15 CFR 400.2(c)) as an option for the establishment or reorganization of zones;

Whereas, the County of Culpeper, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 185, submitted an application to the Board (FTZ Docket B–78–2012, docketed 11–01–2012) for authority to reorganize under the ASF with a service area comprised of certain counties in Virginia (which the application indicated were adjacent to the Front Royal Customs and Border Protection port of entry) and FTZ 185's existing Sites 1, 2, and 3 would be categorized as magnet sites;

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (77 FR 66796, 11/07/12) and