

6. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protesters are asked to contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places or vessels.

7. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this proposed rule would not result in such expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

8. Taking of Private Property

This proposed rule would not cause a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

9. Civil Justice Reform

This proposed rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

10. Protection of Children From Environmental Health Risks

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and would not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that might disproportionately affect children.

11. Indian Tribal Governments

This proposed rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it would not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

12. Energy Effects

This proposed rule is not a “significant energy action” under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use.

13. Technical Standards

This proposed rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

14. Environment

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023–01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.ID, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA)(42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have made a preliminary determination that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule is categorically excluded from further review under paragraph 34(g) of Figure 2–1 of the Commandant Instruction. A preliminary environmental analysis checklist supporting this determination is available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this proposed rule.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 100

Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 100 as follows:

PART 100—SAFETY OF LIFE ON NAVIGABLE WATERS

- 1. The authority citation for part 100 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1233; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1

§ 100.719 [Removed]

- 2. Remove § 100.719.
- 3. Revise § 100.720 to read as follows:

§ 100.720 Annual Suncoast Offshore Grand Prix, Gulf of Mexico, Sarasota, FL.

(a) *Regulated area.* The regulated area is established by a line drawn from the start line position 27°18.40' N, 82°35.36' W, thence to turn 1 position 27°16.74' N, 82°34.92' W, thence to turn 2 position 27°18.20' N, 82°34.51' W, thence to turn 3 position 27°18.67' N,

82°35.09' W, thence to turn 4 position 27°18.66' N, 82°35.45' W, thence to the finish line position 27°18.64' N, 82°35.00' W. All coordinates referenced use datum: NAD 1983.

(b) *Special local regulations.* (1) Spectator craft will be permitted to anchor shoreward of the shoreside boundaries, in the spectator area 500 yards from the regulated area between position 27°18.02' N, 82°34.42' W and position 27°16.85' N, 82°34.67' W.

(2) Spectator craft will be permitted to anchor seaward of the seaside boundaries, in the spectator area 500 yards from the regulated area between position 27°18.54' N, 82°35.56' W and position 27°16.64' N, 82°35.07' W.

(3) All vessel traffic not involved with the Suncoast Offshore Grand Prix shall enter and exit Sarasota Bay via Big Sarasota Pass and stay well clear of the racecourse.

(4) New Pass will be closed to all inbound and outbound vessel traffic at the COLREGS Demarcation Line. Vessels are allowed to utilize New Pass to access all areas inland of the Demarcation Line via Sarasota Bay. It may be opened at the discretion of the Patrol Commander.

(5) Entry into the regulated area shall be in accordance with this regulation. Spectator craft will stay clear of the race area at all times.

(c) *Effective date.* This section is effective from 10 a.m. to 4 p.m. EDT, annually during the first Sunday of July.

Dated: January 21, 2014.

G.D. Case,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port St. Petersburg.

[FR Doc. 2014–02664 Filed 2–6–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA–R05–OAR–2009–0805; FRL–9906–32–Region 5]

Approval of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Indiana; Ohio; “Infrastructure” SIP State Board Requirements for the 2006 24-Hour PM_{2.5} NAAQS

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: Under the Clean Air Act (CAA), the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve elements of state implementation plan (SIP) submissions by the Indiana Department of Environmental

Management (IDEM) and the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (Ohio EPA) to address the section 110 requirements of the CAA for the 2006 24-hour fine particle national ambient air quality standards (2006 PM_{2.5} NAAQS). The SIPs under section 110 of the CAA are often referred to as the “infrastructure” SIP, and specifically we are proposing approval of portions of these states’ submissions intended to meet the state board requirements of section 110. This section requires states to comply with the applicable state board requirements found in section 128 of the CAA.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before March 10, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R05-OAR-2009-0805, by one of the following methods:

1. *www.regulations.gov*: Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

2. *Email*: aburano.douglas@epa.gov.

3. *Fax*: (312) 408-2279.

4. *Mail*: Douglas Aburano, Chief, Attainment Planning and Maintenance Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

5. *Hand Delivery*: Douglas Aburano, Chief, Attainment Planning and Maintenance Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Regional Office normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information. The Regional Office official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., excluding Federal holidays.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID. EPA-R05-OAR-2009-0805. EPA’s policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at *www.regulations.gov*, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through *www.regulations.gov* or email. The *www.regulations.gov* Web site is an “anonymous access” system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an email

comment directly to EPA without going through *www.regulations.gov* your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

Docket: All documents in the docket are listed in the *www.regulations.gov* index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in *www.regulations.gov* or in hard copy at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, Air and Radiation Division, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. This facility is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding Federal holidays. We recommend that you telephone Andy Chang, Environmental Engineer, at (312) 886-0258 before visiting the Region 5 office.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Andy Chang, Environmental Engineer, Attainment Planning and Maintenance Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-0258, chang.andy@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document whenever “we,” “us,” or “our” is used, we mean EPA. This supplementary information section is arranged as follows:

- I. What is the background for this action?
- II. What is the result of EPA’s review of the applicable state board requirements for Indiana and Ohio?
- III. What action is EPA taking?
- IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

I. What is the background for this action?

Under sections 110(a)(1) and (2) of the CAA, and implementing EPA policy, states are required to submit to EPA infrastructure SIPs to ensure that their SIPs provide for implementation,

maintenance, and enforcement of the NAAQS, including the 2006 PM_{2.5} NAAQS. These submissions must contain any revisions needed for meeting the applicable SIP requirements of section 110(a)(2), or certifications that their existing SIPs already met those requirements.

EPA highlighted this statutory requirement in an October 2, 2007, guidance document entitled “Guidance on SIP Elements Required Under Sections 110(a)(1) and (2) for the 1997 8-hour Ozone and PM_{2.5} National Ambient Air Quality Standards” (2007 Memo). On September 25, 2009, EPA issued additional guidance pertaining to the 2006 PM_{2.5} NAAQS entitled “Guidance on SIP Elements Required Under Sections 110(a)(1) and (2) for the 2006 24-Hour Fine Particle (PM_{2.5}) National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS)” (2009 Memo).

On October 29, 2012, EPA finalized its approval of the majority of the infrastructure SIP elements for Indiana and Ohio with respect to the 2006 PM_{2.5} NAAQS (*see* 77 FR 65478). However, we took no action on the state board requirements of section 110(a)(2)(E)(ii); instead, we committed to address compliance with these requirements at a later time (*see* 77 FR 65478 at 75480). Today’s proposed rulemaking and future final action are intended to fulfill that commitment.

To assist states with addressing the state board requirements of section 110(a)(2)(E)(ii), EPA issued “Guidance on Infrastructure SIP Elements Required Under Sections 110(a)(1) and (2) for the 2008 Lead (Pb) National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS)” (2011 Memo) and most recently, “Guidance on Infrastructure SIP Elements under Clean Air Act Sections 110(a)(1) and 110(a)(2)” (2013 Memo). Notably, the 2013 Memo specifies that the state board requirements are not NAAQS specific, i.e., the requirements are identical for each NAAQS. Today’s rulemaking describes how Indiana and Ohio have met the applicable state board requirements under section 110(a)(2)(E)(ii) for the 2006 PM_{2.5} NAAQS; this rulemaking does not address any other NAAQS, nor does it extend to any other infrastructure SIP element of the 2006 PM_{2.5} NAAQS.

II. What is the result of EPA’s review of the applicable state board requirements for Indiana and Ohio?

Integral to the infrastructure SIP requirements for IDEM and Ohio EPA with respect to the state board requirements for the 2006 PM_{2.5} NAAQS was the need for these two states to show that they had met the applicable

requirements contained in section 128 of the CAA, and for the states to submit such provisions for incorporation into the SIP.

Under section 128 of the CAA, each SIP must contain provisions that address two requirements: (i) That any board or body which approves permits or enforcement orders under this chapter shall have at least a majority of members who represent the public interest and do not derive any significant portion of their income from persons subject to permits and enforcement orders under this chapter, and (ii) that any potential conflicts of interest by members of such board or body or the head of an executive agency with similar powers be adequately disclosed. IDEM's and Ohio EPA's satisfaction of these requirements follow, below.

On August 19, 2013, EPA proposed approval of IDEM's provisions intended to address the applicable requirements of section 128 (*see* 78 FR 50360). No comments were received regarding our proposed approval of Indiana's state board provisions, and EPA's final approval of these provisions was published on December 24, 2013 (*see* 78 FR 77599). IDEM had previously requested in a May 22, 2013, SIP submission that EPA's approval of its state board provisions satisfy any applicable infrastructure SIP requirements for the 2006 PM_{2.5} NAAQS. EPA therefore proposes that Indiana has met the section 110(a)(2)(E)(ii) requirements for the 2006 PM_{2.5} NAAQS.

On June 7, 2013, Ohio submitted a SIP revision clarifying that the state does not have a board that has the authority to approve enforcement orders or permitting actions as outlined in section 128(a)(1) of the CAA; instead, this authority rests with the Director of Ohio EPA. Therefore, section 128(a)(1) of the CAA is not applicable in Ohio.

Under section 128(a)(2), the head of the executive agency with the power to approve enforcement orders or permits must adequately disclose any potential conflicts of interest. In its June 7, 2013, submission, Ohio EPA noted that EPA has previously approved provisions into Ohio's SIP addressing these requirements (*see* 46 FR 57490). Specifically, ORC 102: Public Officers—Ethics contains provisions that require the Director of Ohio EPA (and his/her delegate) to file an annual statement with the ethics committee including potential conflicts of interest; furthermore, this annual filing is subject to public inspection. Ohio EPA requested in its June 7, 2013, submission that these SIP-approved

provisions satisfy any applicable infrastructure SIP requirements for the 2006 PM_{2.5} NAAQS. EPA therefore proposes that Ohio has met the applicable requirements for section 110(a)(2)(E)(ii) for the 2006 PM_{2.5} NAAQS.

III. What action is EPA taking?

For the reasons discussed above, EPA is proposing to approve submissions from IDEM and Ohio intended to address the state board requirements under section 110(a)(2)(E)(ii) for the 2006 PM_{2.5} NAAQS. To reiterate, this action does not extend to any other NAAQS, nor does it extend to any other element under section 110(a)(1) and (2) for the 2006 PM_{2.5} NAAQS.

VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the CAA and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve State choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely approves State law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by State law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a "significant regulatory action" subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993);
- does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4);
- does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement

Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and

- does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, this rule does not have Tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), because the SIP is not approved to apply in Indian country located in the State, and EPA notes that it will not impose substantial direct costs on Tribal governments or preempt Tribal law.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Particulate Matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: January 22, 2014.

Susan Hedman,

Regional Administrator, Region 5.

[FR Doc. 2014-02701 Filed 2-6-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R05-OAR-2009-0807; FRL-9905-69-Region 5]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Ohio; Test Methods; Error Correction

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to determine that an October 26, 2010, action was in error and to make a correction pursuant to section 110(k)(6) of the Clean Air Act (CAA). The correction will bring the codification section of the October 26, 2010, action into accord with the actual substance of the rulemaking action. The October 26, 2010, final rule approved various revisions to Ohio regulations that consolidated air quality standards in a new chapter of rules and adjusted the rule cross references accordingly in various related Ohio rules, including a specific revision to the cross reference in the Ohio Administrative Code (OAC) pertaining to methods for measurements for comparison with the particulate matter air quality standards. The correction will remove the appearance that EPA approved extraneous portions