

scheduling of a teleconference for the transaction of National Science Board business. This teleconference had originally been scheduled for January 30, 2014.

**NEW DATE AND TIME:** Tuesday, February 4, 2014, 5:00 p.m.–6:00 p.m. EST.

**PREVIOUS DATE AND TIME:** January 30, 2014 at 4:00 p.m.

**SUBJECT MATTER:** Task Force members will discuss a draft report and recommendations.

**STATUS:** Open.

This meeting will be held by teleconference. A public listening line will be available. Members of the public must contact the Board Office [call 703–292–7000 or send an email message to [nationalsciencebrd@nsf.gov](mailto:nationalsciencebrd@nsf.gov)] for the public listening number. Please refer to the National Science Board Web site [www.nsf.gov/nsb/notices](http://www.nsf.gov/nsb/notices) for additional information and schedule updates. Point of contact for this meeting is Lisa Nichols or John Veysey.

**Ann Bushmiller,**

*Senior Counsel to the National Science Board.*

[FR Doc. 2014–02519 Filed 2–3–14; 11:15 am]

**BILLING CODE 7555–01–P**

## NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

### Investigative Hearing

On Thursday, February 20, 2014, the National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) will convene an investigative hearing to gather additional factual information for the ongoing investigation of the UPS Airbus A300–600 accident at Birmingham, Alabama, that occurred in August 2013. The NTSB Chairman Deborah A.P. Hersman will preside over the investigative hearing.

On August, 14, 2013, about 0447 central daylight time, UPS flight 1354, an Airbus A300–600, N155UP, crashed short of runway 18 while on approach to Birmingham-Shuttlesworth International Airport (BHM), Birmingham, Alabama. The two flight crewmembers were fatally injured, and the airplane was destroyed by impact forces and a postcrash fire. The cargo flight was operating under the provisions of 14 *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 121 and originated from Louisville International Airport, Louisville, Kentucky. Instrument meteorological conditions existed in the area at the time of the accident.

The flight crew was landing the airplane on runway 18 because the longer runway at BHM was closed for

repairs at the time of the airplane's arrival. Review of the cockpit voice recorder and the flight data recorder indicated that the flight crew was executing a non-precision localizer approach using vertical VNAV guidance, and continued the descent below the decision altitude. Despite not having reported the airport in sight, the crew continued the descent and received enhanced ground proximity warning system sink rate warnings; they then indicated that they had the airport in sight. The airplane's vertical speed began to reduce as the airplane contacted trees and a power line; the airplane then impacted the ground about 0.75 nautical mile from the threshold of runway 18.

The investigative hearing will discuss the following issue areas:

- Execution of nonprecision approaches, including initial and recurrent training, adherence to standard operating procedures, and proficiency
- Human factors issues associated with effective crew coordination and resource management applicable to this accident, including decision-making, communication, fatigue, fitness for duty, and monitoring and cross-checking, and policies, standard operating procedures, guidance, and training provided to UPS crewmembers.
- Dispatch procedures, including the training, evaluation, roles, and responsibilities of UPS dispatchers, and the limitations of dispatch-related software.

Parties to the hearing will include the Federal Aviation Administration, UPS, Independent Pilots Association, Transport Workers Union, and Airbus. The accredited representative from the French Bureau d'Enquêtes et d'Analyses pour la sécurité de l'aviation civile will participate on the technical panel.

At the start of the hearing, the public docket will be opened. Included in the docket are photographs, interview transcripts, and other documents.

### Order of Proceedings

1. Opening Statement by the Chairman of the Board of Inquiry
2. Introduction of the Board of Inquiry and Technical Panel
3. Introduction of the Parties to the Hearing
4. Introduction of Exhibits by Hearing Officer
5. Overview of the incident and the investigation by Investigator-In-Charge
6. Calling of Witnesses by Hearing Officer and Examination of Witness by Board of Inquiry, Technical Panel, and Parties

7. Closing Statement by the Chairman of the Board of Inquiry

The hearing docket is DCA13MA133. The Investigative Hearing will be held in the NTSB Board Room and Conference Center, located at 429 L'Enfant Plaza E SW., Washington, DC, on Thursday, February 20, 2014, beginning at 8:30 a.m. The public can view the hearing in person or by live Webcast at [www.nts.gov](http://www.nts.gov). Web cast archives are generally available by the end of the next day following the hearing, and webcasts are archived for a period of 3 months from after the date of the event.

Individuals requiring reasonable accommodation and/or wheelchair access directions should contact Ms. Rochelle Hall at (202) 314–6305 or by email at [Rochelle.Hall@ntsb.gov](mailto:Rochelle.Hall@ntsb.gov) by Friday, February 14, 2014.

**NTSB Media Contact:** Mr. Eric Weiss—[Eric.Weiss@ntsb.gov](mailto:Eric.Weiss@ntsb.gov)

**NTSB Investigative Hearing Officer:** Mr. John Lovell—[john.lovell@ntsb.gov](mailto:john.lovell@ntsb.gov)

**Sheryl L. Chappell,**

*Executive Secretariat.*

[FR Doc. 2014–02407 Filed 2–4–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7533–01–P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. NRC–2013–0221]

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Review; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of the OMB review of information collection and solicitation of public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The NRC published a **Federal Register** notice with a 60-day comment period on this information collection on November 8, 2013 (78 FR 67203).

1. *Type of submission, new, revision, or extension:* Revision.

2. *The title of the information collection:* 10 CFR Part 35, “Medical Use of Byproduct Material.”

3. *Current OMB approval number:* 3150-0010.

4. *The form number if applicable:* N/A.

5. *How often the collection is required:* Reports of medical events, doses to an embryo/fetus or nursing child, or leaking sources are reportable on occurrence. A specialty board certification entity desiring to be recognized by the NRC must submit a one-time request for recognition and infrequently revise the information.

6. *Who will be required or asked to report:* Physicians and medical institutions holding an NRC license authorizing the administration of byproduct material or radiation from this material to humans for medical use. A specialty board certification entity desiring to have its certifying process and board certificate recognized by the NRC.

7. *An estimate of the number of annual responses:* 240,145 ((NRC: 31,431 + 1,035 recordkeepers = 32,466) + (Agreement States: 201,053 + 6,624 recordkeepers + 2 specialty certification entity = 207,679)).

8. *The estimated number of annual respondents:* 7,661 (1,035 for NRC Licenses, 6,624 for Agreement States, and 2 for specialty board certification entities).

9. *An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* 1,066,031 hours (144,203 for NRC Licenses and 921,826 for Agreement States + 2 for specialty board certification entities).

10. *Abstract:* Part 35 of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), "Medical Use of Byproduct Material," contains NRC's requirements and provisions for the medical use of byproduct material and for issuance of specific licenses authorizing the medical use of this material. These requirements and provisions provide for the radiation safety of workers, the general public, patients, and human research subjects. Part 35 contains mandatory requirements that apply to NRC licensees authorized to administer byproduct material or radiation therefrom to humans for medical use. These requirements also provide voluntary provisions for specialty boards to apply to have their certification processes recognized by the NRC so that their board certified individuals can use the certifications as proof of training and experience.

The public may examine and have copied for a fee publicly-available documents, including the final supporting statement, at the NRC's Public Document Room, Room O-1F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville

Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852. The OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC's Web site: <http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/>. The document will be available on the NRC's home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer listed below by March 7, 2014. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but assurance of consideration cannot be given to comments received after this date.

Danielle Jones, Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150-0010), NEOB-10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be emailed to [Danielle\\_Y\\_Jones@omb.eop.gov](mailto:Danielle_Y_Jones@omb.eop.gov) or submitted by telephone at 202-395-1741.

The Acting NRC Clearance Officer is Kristen Benney, telephone: 301-415-6355.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 31st day of January, 2014.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Brenda Miles,**

*Acting NRC Clearance Officer, Office of Information Services.*

[FR Doc. 2014-02424 Filed 2-4-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## **NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**[Docket No. NRC-2014-0015]**

### **Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request**

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and solicitation of public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) invites public comment about our intention to request the OMB's approval for renewal of an existing information collection that is summarized below. We are required to publish this notice in the **Federal Register** under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:

1. *The title of the information collection:* NRC Form 5, "Occupational Dose Record for a Monitoring Period."

2. *Current OMB approval number:* 3150-0006.

3. *How often the collection is required:* Annually.

4. *Who is required or asked to report:* NRC licensees who are required to comply with Part 20 of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR).

5. *The number of annual respondents:* 4,146 respondents (104 reactors plus 4,042 materials licensees).

6. *The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* 130,852 hours (6,000 hours reporting plus 124,852 hours recordkeeping).

7. *Abstract:* The NRC Form 5 is used to record and report the results of individual monitoring for occupational radiation exposure during a monitoring (one-calendar year) period to ensure regulatory compliance with annual radiation dose limits specified in 10 CFR 20.1201.

Submit, by April 7, 2014, comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?

2. Is the burden estimate accurate?

3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?

4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

The public may examine and have copied for a fee, publicly-available documents, including the draft supporting statement, at the NRC's Public Document Room, Room O-1F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852. The OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC's Web site: <http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/>.

The document will be available on the NRC's home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments submitted in writing or in electronic form will be made available for public inspection. Because your comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information, the NRC cautions you against including any information in your submission that you do not want to be publicly disclosed. Comments submitted should reference Docket No. NRC-2014-0015. You may submit your comments by any of the following methods: Electronic comments to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket No. NRC-2014-0015. Mail comments to the Acting NRC Clearance Officer, Kristen Benney (T-5 F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory