

congestive cardiac failure. However, the subjective decision of whether the nature and severity of an individual's condition will likely cause symptoms of cardiovascular insufficiency is on an individual basis and qualification rests with the medical examiner and the motor carrier.

In the case of persons with ICDs, the underlying condition for which the ICD was implanted may place the driver at risk for syncope (a transient loss of consciousness) or other gradual or sudden incapacitation that may result in loss of ability to safely control a CMV. When an ICD deploys, the driver experiences a loss of ability to safely control a CMV. See the conference report on Cardiovascular Advisory Panel Guidelines for Medical Examination of Commercial Motor vehicle Drivers at 94–95 (2002).<sup>1</sup>

### Individual Applications for Exemption—Qualifications

#### James Dean

Mr. Dean is a 53 year old CMV driver who holds a class A and a class D commercial driver's license (CDL) from the State of Wisconsin. He has driven a CMV for over 29 years. Mr. Dean had an ICD placed due to ventricular tachycardia and a low ejection fraction which improved. The device deployed in 2011. Mr. Dean would like to continue to drive a truck in interstate commerce.

#### Mark Steiner

Mr. Steiner is a 64 year old CMV driver who holds a class A CDL from the state of Ohio. He has driven a CMV for 38 years. Mr. Steiner had angioplasty and stent placement after he had a heart attack while driving (first cardiac event in his life) in January 2012. The ICD was placed preventively in November 2012 after he completed cardiac rehabilitation. The device has not deployed. Mr. Steiner would like to continue to drive a truck in interstate commerce.

#### Craig Bohms

Mr. Bohms is a 57 year old owner operator of a CMV who holds a class A commercial driver's license (CDL) from the State of Illinois. According to Mr. Bohms, following a heart attack in April 2013 he was implanted with an ICD. In an August 2013 letter that was submitted by Mr. Bohms electrophysiologist (EP) physician, he stated "Mr. Bohms was implanted with an ICD as a preventative measure to protect him from possible ventricular tachycardia . . . from an EP standpoint,

Mr. Bohms is able to drive and return to work without restrictions". Mr. Bohms would like to continue to drive a truck in interstate commerce.

### Request for Comments

In accordance with 49 U.S.C. 31136(e) and 31315, FMCSA may grant an exemption if it makes a finding that the exemption "would likely achieve a level of safety that is equivalent to or greater than that would be achieved absent such an exemption." FMCSA requests public comment from all interested persons on the exemption application described in this notice. In accordance with 49 U.S.C. 31315(b)(4), and 49 CFR 381.315(b) and (d), the safety analysis or assessment, and other supporting information is available for examination and review. The Agency will consider all comments received before the close of business February 28, 2014. Comments will be available for examination in the docket at the location listed under the **ADDRESSES** section of this notice. The Agency will file comments received after the comment closing date in the public docket, and will consider them to the extent practicable. In addition to late comments, FMCSA will also continue to file, in the public docket, relevant information that becomes available after the comment closing date. Interested persons should monitor the public docket for new material.

Issued on: January 10, 2014.

#### Larry W. Minor,

Associate Administrator for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2014–01691 Filed 1–28–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–EX–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

[FMCSA Docket No. FMCSA–2013–0191]

### Qualification of Drivers; Exemption Applications; Diabetes Mellitus

**AGENCY:** Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of final disposition.

**SUMMARY:** FMCSA announces its decision to exempt 39 individuals from its rule prohibiting persons with insulin-treated diabetes mellitus (ITDM) from operating commercial motor vehicles (CMVs) in interstate commerce. The exemptions will enable these individuals to operate CMVs in interstate commerce.

**DATES:** The exemptions are effective January 29, 2014. The exemptions expire on January 29, 2016.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Elaine M. Papp, Chief, Medical Programs Division, (202) 366–4001, [fmcsamedical@dot.gov](mailto:fmcsamedical@dot.gov), FMCSA, Room W64–224, Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590–0001. Office hours are from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Electronic Access

You may see all the comments online through the Federal Document Management System (FDMS) at: <http://www.regulations.gov>.

**Docket:** For access to the docket to read background documents or comments, go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and/or Room W12–140 on the ground level of the West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

**Privacy Act:** Anyone may search the electronic form of all comments received into any of DOT's dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or of the person signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, or other entity). You may review DOT's Privacy Act Statement for the Federal Docket Management System (FDMS) published in the **Federal Register** on January 17, 2008 (73 FR 3316).

#### Background

On November 13, 2013, FMCSA published a notice of receipt of Federal diabetes exemption applications from 39 individuals and requested comments from the public (78 FR 68139). The public comment period closed on December 13, 2013, and no comments were received.

FMCSA has evaluated the eligibility of the 39 applicants and determined that granting the exemptions to these individuals would achieve a level of safety equivalent to or greater than the level that would be achieved by complying with the current regulation 49 CFR 391.41(b)(3).

### Diabetes Mellitus and Driving Experience of the Applicants

The Agency established the current requirement for diabetes in 1970 because several risk studies indicated that drivers with diabetes had a higher rate of crash involvement than the general population. The diabetes rule provides that "A person is physically qualified to drive a commercial motor vehicle if that person has no established medical history or clinical diagnosis of

<sup>1</sup> Now available at <http://www.fmcsa.dot.gov/documents/cardio.pdf> (retrieved July 22, 2013).

diabetes mellitus currently requiring insulin for control” (49 CFR 391.41(b)(3)).

FMCSA established its diabetes exemption program, based on the Agency’s July 2000 study entitled “A Report to Congress on the Feasibility of a Program to Qualify Individuals with Insulin-Treated Diabetes Mellitus to Operate in Interstate Commerce as Directed by the Transportation Act for the 21st Century.” The report concluded that a safe and practicable protocol to allow some drivers with ITDM to operate CMVs is feasible. The September 3, 2003 (68 FR 52441), **Federal Register** notice in conjunction with the November 8, 2005 (70 FR 67777), **Federal Register** notice provides the current protocol for allowing such drivers to operate CMVs in interstate commerce.

These 39 applicants have had ITDM over a range of 1 to 44 years. These applicants report no severe hypoglycemic reactions resulting in loss of consciousness or seizure, requiring the assistance of another person, or resulting in impaired cognitive function that occurred without warning symptoms, in the past 12 months and no recurrent (2 or more) severe hypoglycemic episodes in the past 5 years. In each case, an endocrinologist verified that the driver has demonstrated a willingness to properly monitor and manage his/her diabetes mellitus, received education related to diabetes management, and is on a stable insulin regimen. These drivers report no other disqualifying conditions, including diabetes-related complications. Each meets the vision requirement at 49 CFR 391.41(b)(10).

The qualifications and medical condition of each applicant were stated and discussed in detail in the November 13, 2013, **Federal Register** notice and they will not be repeated in this notice.

#### Discussion of Comments

FMCSA received no comments in this proceeding.

#### Basis for Exemption Determination

Under 49 U.S.C. 31136(e) and 31315, FMCSA may grant an exemption from the diabetes requirement in 49 CFR 391.41(b)(3) if the exemption is likely to achieve an equivalent or greater level of safety than would be achieved without the exemption. The exemption allows the applicants to operate CMVs in interstate commerce.

To evaluate the effect of these exemptions on safety, FMCSA considered medical reports about the applicants’ ITDM and vision, and reviewed the treating endocrinologists’

medical opinion related to the ability of the driver to safely operate a CMV while using insulin.

Consequently, FMCSA finds that in each case exempting these applicants from the diabetes requirement in 49 CFR 391.41(b)(3) is likely to achieve a level of safety equal to that existing without the exemption.

#### Conditions and Requirements

The terms and conditions of the exemption will be provided to the applicants in the exemption document and they include the following: (1) That each individual submit a quarterly monitoring checklist completed by the treating endocrinologist as well as an annual checklist with a comprehensive medical evaluation; (2) that each individual reports within 2 business days of occurrence, all episodes of severe hypoglycemia, significant complications, or inability to manage diabetes; also, any involvement in an accident or any other adverse event in a CMV or personal vehicle, whether or not it is related to an episode of hypoglycemia; (3) that each individual provide a copy of the ophthalmologist’s or optometrist’s report to the medical examiner at the time of the annual medical examination; and (4) that each individual provide a copy of the annual medical certification to the employer for retention in the driver’s qualification file, or keep a copy in his/her driver’s qualification file if he/she is self-employed. The driver must also have a copy of the certification when driving, for presentation to a duly authorized Federal, State, or local enforcement official.

#### Conclusion

Based upon its evaluation of the 39 exemption applications, FMCSA exempts Ryan P. Abrahamsen (NY), Dylan J. Bryan (IL), Robert A. Collins (NJ), Fred J. Combs (OH), Edward DeFrancesco (CT), Terrance J. Dusharm (MN), Jonathan W. Eggers (MN), John L. Eversole (WY), Gilbert N. Fugate (IN), Scott C. Garbial (ME), Charles D. Grant (GA), William F. Hamann (KY), Dallis L. Hollon (KS), James H. Howard, Jr. (FL), Harry R. Jaycox (IN), Jerry J. Klosterman (OH), Joseph E. Kolb (NY), Matthew D. Lee (VA), Craig A. Lemponen (OH), Matthew P. Ludwig (NY), Gerry A. Lutz (IA), Joel S. Malone (LA), Keith B. Masters (NH), Eli J. Meekhoff (MI), Arthur S. Miller (TN), Jeffrey A. Olson (IA), Marvin H. Patterson, III (SC), Brandon C. Rhinehart (MD), Thomas L. Rice (TN), Ismael Romero (NJ), Timothy J. Sebald (IN), Erick D. Selgren (CO), Donald R. Sine, Jr. (IN), Dennis E. Taunton (ID), Phillip A. Trent (VA),

Robert P. Trofa, II (PA), Deborah D. Watson (MI), Ronnie C. Webb (MT), and Allan D. Wesley (WI) from the ITDM requirement in 49 CFR 391.41(b)(3), subject to the conditions listed under “Conditions and Requirements” above.

In accordance with 49 U.S.C. 31136(e) and 31315 each exemption will be valid for two years unless revoked earlier by FMCSA. The exemption will be revoked if the following occurs: (1) The person fails to comply with the terms and conditions of the exemption; (2) the exemption has resulted in a lower level of safety than was maintained before it was granted; or (3) continuation of the exemption would not be consistent with the goals and objectives of 49 U.S.C. 31136(e) and 31315. If the exemption is still effective at the end of the 2-year period, the person may apply to FMCSA for a renewal under procedures in effect at that time.

Issued on: January 10, 2014.

**Larry W. Minor,**

*Associate Administrator for Policy.*

[FR Doc. 2014-01694 Filed 1-28-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-EX-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Transit Administration

[FTA Docket No. FTA-2014-0004]

#### Agency Information Collection Activity Under OMB Review

**AGENCY:** Federal Transit Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Transit Administration invites public comment about our intention to request the Office of Management and Budget’s (OMB) approval to renew the following information collections:

#### Pre-Award, Post-Delivery Audit Requirements Under Buy America Metropolitan and Statewide and Nonmetropolitan Transportation Planning

The information collected is necessary to determine eligibility of applicants and ensure the proper and timely expenditure of federal funds within the scope of each program. The **Federal Register** notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments for the Pre-Award, Post-Delivery Audit Requirements Under Buy America was published on November 8, 2013 (Citation 78 FR 217). No comments were received from that notice. The **Federal Register** notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments for the Metropolitan and Statewide and