

facsimile (fax); or (3) by hard copy. All comments, attachments, and other material must identify the Agency name and the OSHA docket number for the ICR (Docket No. OSHA-2010-0042). You may supplement electronic submissions by uploading document files electronically. If you wish to mail additional materials in reference to an electronic or facsimile submission, you must submit them to the OSHA Docket Office (see the section of this notice titled "ADDRESSES"). The additional materials must clearly identify your electronic comments by your name, date, and the docket number so the Agency can attach them to your comments.

Because of security procedures, the use of regular mail may cause a significant delay in the receipt of comments. For information about security procedures concerning the delivery of materials by hand, express delivery, messenger, or courier service, please contact the OSHA Docket Office at (202) 693-2350, (TTY) (877) 889-5627.

Comments and submissions are posted without change at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Therefore, OSHA cautions commenters about submitting personal information such as social security numbers and date of birth. Although all submissions are listed in the <http://www.regulations.gov> index, some information (e.g., copyrighted material) is not publicly available to read or download from this Web site. All submissions, including copyrighted material, are available for inspection and copying at the OSHA Docket Office. Information on using the <http://www.regulations.gov> Web site to submit comments and access the docket is available at the Web site's "User Tips" link. Contact the OSHA Docket Office for information about materials not available from the Web site, and for assistance in using the Internet to locate docket submissions.

V. Authority and Signature

David Michaels, Ph.D., MPH, Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, directed the preparation of this notice. The authority for this notice is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3506 *et seq.*) and Secretary of Labor's Order No. 1-2012 (77 FR 3912, January 25, 2012).

Signed at Washington, DC, on January 17, 2014.

David Michaels,

Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health.

[FR Doc. 2014-01358 Filed 1-23-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-26-P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Committee on Equal Opportunities in Science and Engineering; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

NAME: Committee on Equal Opportunities in Science and Engineering (CEOSE) Advisory Committee Meeting (1173)

DATES/TIME: February 11, 2014, 1 p.m.–5:30 p.m. February 12, 2014, 9 a.m.–3:30 p.m.

PLACE: National Science Foundation (NSF), 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230

To help facilitate your entry into the building, contact the individual listed below. Your request to attend this meeting should be received by email (gfarves@nsf.gov) on or prior to February 7, 2014.

TYPE OF MEETING: Open

CONTACT PERSON: Dr. Bernice Anderson, Senior Advisor and CEOSE Executive Secretary, Office of International and Integrative Activities, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230. Telephone Numbers: (703) 292-5151/703-292-8040 banderso@nsf.gov

MINUTES: Meeting minutes and other information may be obtained from the CEOSE Executive Secretary at the above address or the Web site at <http://www.nsf.gov/od/iaa/activities/ceose/index.jsp>

PURPOSE OF MEETING: To study data, programs, policies, and other information pertinent to the National Science Foundation and to provide advice and recommendations concerning broadening participation in science and engineering.

AGENDA: Opening Statement by the CEOSE Chair

PRESENTATIONS AND DISCUSSIONS:

- Delivery of the 2011–2012 Biennial CEOSE Report
- Discussion of Key Points from the Meetings with the National Science Foundation Acting Director and/or CEOSE officers

- Update of Broadening Participation Activities by the CEOSE Executive Liaison

- Reports of CEOSE Liaisons to NSF Advisory Committees

- Interdisciplinarity and Inclusion
- International Engagement
- Discussion by Federal Agency Liaisons About Interagency Broadening Participation Activities

- Transparency and Accountability
- Panel Discussion about the

Significance of Financial Support for Underrepresented Groups in STEM

- Discussion with Dr. Cora B. Marrett, Acting Director of the National Science Foundation

Discussion of CEOSE Unfinished Business and New Business

Dated: January 17, 2014.

Susanne Bolton,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 2014-01324 Filed 1-23-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. ACR2013; Order No. 1972]

New Postal Product

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is adjusting its approach to issuing a report on the Postal Service's Performance Report and Performance Plan. Previously, the Commission has included its report as part of the Annual Compliance Determination. Starting with FY 2013, the Commission will issue this report as a separate document. This order addresses related administrative steps and invites public comments.

DATES: *Comments are due:* March 10, 2014. *Reply comments are due:* March 20, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brian Corcoran, Acting General Counsel, at 202-789-6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Each fiscal year (FY), the Postal Service is required to prepare an annual performance plan (Performance Plan) and a report on program performance (Performance Report) pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 2803 and 2804. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3652(g), on

December 27, 2013, the Postal Service filed the FY 2013 Performance Report and FY 2014 Performance Plan with the Commission along with its FY 2013 Annual Compliance Report. See library reference USPS–FY13–17.¹

The Commission is required to evaluate whether the Postal Service has met the goals established in its FY 2013 Performance Report and FY 2014 Performance Plan. See 39 U.S.C. 3653(d). It may also provide recommendations to the Postal Service “related to the protection or promotion of public policy objectives set out in” title 39. *Id.* In past years, the Commission’s analysis of Performance Plans and Performance Reports have been included its Annual Compliance Determination (ACD).² Since the Commission’s obligations under section 3653(d) are distinguishable from its annual compliance determination obligations under section 3653(b), the Commission has determined that, beginning with FY 2013, it will issue a separate report on the Postal Service’s Performance Report and Performance Plan. The Commission anticipates issuing that report early in May 2014.

To accommodate that schedule, the Commission is establishing a separate comment period for the FY 2013 Performance Report and FY 2014 Performance Plan. Comments by interested persons are due no later than by March 10, 2014. Reply comments are due no later than March 20, 2014.

Kenneth E. Richardson, previously designated to serve as the Public Representative in this proceeding, will continue in that capacity.

It is ordered:

1. Comments on the Postal Service’s FY 2013 Performance Report and FY 2014 Performance Plan are due no later than March 10, 2014.

2. Reply comments are due no later than March 20, 2014.

3. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

Ruth Ann Abrams,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014–01381 Filed 1–23–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

¹ The FY 2013 Performance Report and FY 2014 Performance Plan are included in the Postal Service’s 2013 Annual Report to Congress.

² See, e.g., Docket No. ACR2012, Annual Compliance Determination Report Fiscal Year 2012 at 35–46.

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2014–16 and CP2014–25; Order No. 1970]

New Postal Product

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing requesting the addition of Priority Mail Contract 75 to the competitive product list. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: *Comments are due:* January 24, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission’s Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brian Corcoran, Acting General Counsel, at 202–789–6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Notice of Filings
- III. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

In accordance with 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.*, the Postal Service filed a request and associated supporting information to add Priority Mail Contract 75 to the competitive product list.¹ The Postal Service asserts that Priority Mail Contract 75 is a competitive product “not of general applicability” within the meaning of 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3). Request at 1. The Request has been assigned Docket No. MC2014–16.

The Postal Service contemporaneously filed a redacted contract related to the proposed new product. *Id.* Attachment B. The instant contract has been assigned Docket No. CP2014–25.

Request. To support its Request, the Postal Service filed six attachments as follows:

- Attachment A—a redacted copy of Governors’ Decision No. 11–6, authorizing the new product;
- Attachment B—a redacted copy of the contract;

¹ Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Priority Mail Contract 75 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing (Under Seal) of Unredacted Governors’ Decision, Contract, and Supporting Data, January 16, 2014 (Request).

- Attachment C—proposed changes to the Mail Classification Schedule competitive product list with the addition underlined;

- Attachment D—a Statement of Supporting Justification as required by 39 CFR 3020.32;

- Attachment E—a certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a); and
- Attachment F—an application for non-public treatment of materials to maintain redacted portions of the contract and related financial information under seal.

In the Statement of Supporting Justification, Dennis R. Nicoski, Manager, Field Sales Strategy and Contracts, asserts that the contract will cover its attributable costs and increase contribution toward the requisite 5.5 percent of the Postal Service’s total institutional costs. *Id.* Attachment D at 1. Mr. Nicoski contends that there will be no issue of market dominant products subsidizing competitive products as a result of this contract. *Id.*

Related contract. The Postal Service included a redacted version of the related contract with the Request. *Id.* Attachment B. The contract is scheduled to become effective one business day following the day on which the Commission issues all necessary regulatory approval. *Id.* at 5. The contract will expire three years from the effective date unless, among other things, either party terminates the agreement upon 30 days’ written notice to the other party or the contract is renewed by mutual written agreement. *Id.* The contract also allows two 90-day extensions of the agreement if the preparation of a successor agreement is active and the Commission is notified within at least seven days of the contract’s expiration date. *Id.* at 6. The Postal Service represents that the contract is consistent with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a).²

The Postal Service filed much of the supporting materials, including the related contract, under seal. *Id.* Attachment F. It maintains that the redacted portions of the Governors’ Decision, contract, customer-identifying information, and related financial information should remain confidential. *Id.* at 3. This information includes the price structure, underlying costs and assumptions, pricing formulas, information relevant to the customer’s mailing profile, and cost coverage projections. *Id.* The Postal Service asks

² Although the Request appears to state that the certification only pertains to paragraphs (1) and (3) of 39 U.S.C. 3633(a), the certification itself contains an assertion that the prices are in compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a)(1), (2), and (3). See Request at 2; Attachment E.