(viii) *Date Report Delivered to Congress:* 8 Jan 14

### POLICY JUSTIFICATION

### United Arab Emirates (UAE)—Blanket Order Training

The Government of the United Arab Emirates has requested a possible sale for follow on United States Marine Corps blanket order training, training support, and other related elements of program support for the United Arab Emirates Presidential Guard Command. The estimated cost is \$150 million.

This proposed sale will contribute to the foreign policy and national security of the United States by helping to improve the security of a friendly country that has been and continues to be an important force for political stability and economic progress in the Middle East. The UAE continues hostnation support of vital U.S. forces stationed at Al Dhafra Air Base and plays a vital role in supporting U.S. regional interests.

The proposed sale will provide the continuation of U.S. Marine Corps training of the UAE's Presidential Guard for counterterrorism, counter-piracy, critical infrastructure protection, and national defense. The training also provides engagement opportunities through military exercises, training, and common equipment. The Presidential Guard currently uses these skills alongside U.S. forces, particularly in Afghanistan.

The proposed sale of this training will not alter the basic military balance in the region.

There will be no principal contractors associated with this proposed sale. There are no known offset agreements proposed in connection with this potential sale.

Implementation of this proposed sale will not require the permanent assignment of any U.S. Government or contractor representatives to the UAE. Training teams will travel to the country on a temporary basis.

There will be no adverse impact on U.S. defense readiness as a result of this proposed sale.

[FR Doc. 2014–00853 Filed 1–16–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–06–P

# DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

# Office of the Secretary

[Docket ID: DoD-2014-OS-0008]

# Notice of Availability (NOA) of an Environmental Assessment (EA) for the Demolition of Buildings 10, 11, and 67 at Defense Supply Center Richmond, Virginia

**AGENCY:** Defense Logistics Agency, DoD. **ACTION:** Notice of Availability (NOA) of an Environmental Assessment (EA) for the Demolition of Buildings 10, 11, and 67 at Defense Supply Center Richmond, Virginia.

**SUMMARY:** The Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) announces the availability of an environmental assessment (EA) for the potential environmental impacts associated with the proposed action to demolish two warehouses (Buildings 10 and 11) and a former heat plant (Building 67) at Defense Supply Center Richmond, Virginia. The EA has been prepared as required under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) (1969). In addition, the EA complies with DLA Regulation 1000.22. DLA has determined that the proposed action would not have a significant impact on the human environment within the context of NEPA. Therefore, the preparation of an environmental impact statement is not required.

**DATES:** The public comment period will end 30 days after publication of this NOA in the **Federal Register**. Comments received by the end of the 30-day period will be considered when preparing the final version of the document. The EA is available electronically at *http:// www.dla.mil/Documents/ CheckDraftBldgDemoEA11152013.docx.* 

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments to one of the following:

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: http:// www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

• *Mail:* Federal Docket Management System Office, 4800 Mark Center Drive, East Tower, 2nd floor, Suite 02G09, Alexandria, VA 22350–3100.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ann Engelberger at (703) 767–0705 during normal business hours Monday through Friday, from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. (EST) or by email: *Ann.Engelberger*@ *dla.mil.* 

Dated: January 14, 2014.

### Aaron Siegel,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense. [FR Doc. 2014–00886 Filed 1–16–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–06–P

# **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

#### Office of the Secretary

## Meeting of the National Commission on the Structure of the Air Force; Correction

**AGENCY:** Director of Administration and Management, DoD. **ACTION:** Notice of advisory committee meeting; correction.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Defense (DoD) announces a correction to its notice in the December 27, 2013 Federal Register (78 FR 78944-78946) of a Federal advisory committee meeting of the National Commission on the Structure of the Air Force ("the Commission") on Thursday, January 9, 2014, from 11:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. The published agenda did not include testimony from Dr. Scott Comes, Acting Director of the Office of DoD Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation (CAPE). No public commenters appeared before the Commission. For additional information, see the Commission's Web site at http:// afcommission.whs.mil.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mrs. Marcia Moore, Designated Federal Officer, National Commission on the Structure of the Air Force, 1950 Defense Pentagon, Room 3A874, Washington, DC 20301–1950. Email: *marcia.l.moore12.civ@mail.mil.* Desk (703) 545–9113. Facsimile (703) 692– 5625.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Background

The National Commission on the Structure of the Air Force was established by the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Pub. L. 112-239). The Department of Defense sponsor for the Commission is the Director of Administration and Management, Office of the Secretary of Defense. The Commission is tasked to submit a report, containing a comprehensive study and recommendations, by February 1, 2014 to the President of the United States and the Congressional defense committees. The report will contain a detailed statement of the findings and conclusions of the Commission, together with its recommendations for such legislation and administrative actions it may consider appropriate in light of the results of the study. The comprehensive study of the structure of the U.S. Air Force will determine whether, and how, the structure should be modified to best fulfill current and anticipated mission requirements for the U.S. Air Force in