

prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions.”¹² The Commission finds that NSCC’s proposed rule change is consistent with these requirements because: the Pair Off Function is designed to provide for greater efficiency and transparency with respect to obligations processed through the OW; and to improve NSCC’s current mechanism for the clearance and settlement of securities transactions that are placed in the OW.

IV. Conclusion

On the basis of the foregoing, the Commission finds that the proposal is consistent with the requirements of the Act and in particular with the requirements of Section 17A of the Act¹³ and the rules and regulations thereunder.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act, that the proposed rule change SR–NSCC–2013–11 be, and it hereby is, *approved*.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁴

Kevin M. O’Neill,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014–00332 Filed 1–10–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–71248; File No. SR–CBOE–2013–113]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated; Notice of Designation of a Longer Period for Commission Action on Proposed Rule Change Relating to Multi-Class Spread Orders

January 7, 2014.

On November 18, 2013, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated (the “Exchange” or “CBOE”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”)¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to amend CBOE 24.19 to revise several provisions governing the trading of Multi-Class Spread Orders. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on December 5,

2013.³ The Commission has received no comment letters on the proposal.

Section 19(b)(2) of the Act⁴ provides that within 45 days of the publication of notice of the filing of a proposed rule change, or within such longer period up to 90 days as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission shall either approve the proposed rule change, disapprove the proposed rule change, or institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved. The 45th day for this filing is January 19, 2014. The Commission is extending this 45-day time period.

The Commission finds it appropriate to designate a longer period within which to take action on the proposed rule change so that it has sufficient time to consider the proposed rule change.

Accordingly, the Commission, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,⁵ designates March 5, 2014, as the date by which the Commission should either approve or disapprove, or institute proceedings to determine whether to disapprove, the proposed rule change (File No. SR–CBOE–2013–113).

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.⁶

Kevin M. O’Neill,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014–00343 Filed 1–10–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[License No. 06/06–0326]

Main Street Mezzanine Fund, L.P.; Notice Seeking Exemption Under Section 312 of the Small Business Investment Act, Conflicts of Interest

Notice is hereby given that Main Street Mezzanine Fund, L.P., 1300 Post Oak Boulevard, Suite 800, Houston TX, 77056, a Federal Licensee under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended (“the Act”), in connection with the financing of a small concern, has sought an exemption under Section 312 of the Act and Section 107.730, Financings which Constitute Conflicts of Interest of the Small Business Administration (“SBA”) Rules and Regulations (13 CFR 107.730). Main Street Mezzanine Fund, L.P. proposes to

provide loan financing to LKCM Distribution Holdings, LLC, 301 Commerce Street, Suite 1600, Fort Worth, Texas 76102 (“LKCM”).

The financing is brought within the purview of § 107.730(a)(l) of the Regulations because a director of Main Street Capital Corporation, the Parent of Main Street Mezzanine Fund, L.P. is also a director of LKCM. The financing is also brought within the purview of § 107.730(a)(4) of the Regulations because LKCM is going to use the proceeds to purchase the assets of Thermal & Mechanical Equipment Company, LLC, 1423 E. Richey Road, Houston, Texas 77073 (“TMEC”). Main Street Mezzanine Fund, L.P., Main Street Capital II, L.P., and Main Street Capital Corporation have outstanding loans to TMEC and Main Street Equity Interests, Inc. and Main Street Capital II Equity Interests hold equity in TMEC, all Associates of Main Street Mezzanine Fund, L.P. The proceeds from the sale of TMEC’s assets will be used to discharge the loan obligations and redeem the equity interests. Therefore this transaction is considered a financing constituting a conflict of interest requiring prior SBA approval.

Notice is hereby given that any interested person may submit written comments on the transaction, within fifteen days of the date of this publication, to the Associate Administrator, Office of Investment and Innovation, U.S. Small Business Administration, 409 Third Street SW., Washington, DC 20416.

Javier Saade,

Associate Administrator, Office of Investment and Innovation.

[FR Doc. 2014–00297 Filed 1–10–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 8591]

60-Day Notice of Proposed Information Collection: Form—DS–1950, Department of State Application for Employment, OMB Control Number 1405–0139

ACTION: Notice of request for public comment.

SUMMARY: The Department of State is seeking Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval for the information collection described below. In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, we are requesting comments on this collection from all interested individuals and organizations. The purpose of this

¹² Id.

¹³ In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission has considered the proposed rule’s impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

¹⁴ 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

¹⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 70961 (November 29, 2013), 78 FR 73211.

⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

⁶ 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(31).