

Final Results of Review

We determine that the following dumping margin exists for the period July 1, 2011, through June 30, 2012:

Manufacturer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percentage)
CP Kelco Oy	3.40

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.212(b), the Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. For assessment purposes, we calculated importer (or customer)-specific assessment rates for merchandise subject to this review. Where appropriate, we calculated an *ad valorem* rate for each importer (or customer) by dividing the total dumping margins for reviewed sales to that party by the total entered values associated with those transactions. For duty assessment rates calculated on this basis, we will direct CBP to assess the resulting *ad valorem* rate against the entered customs values for the subject merchandise. Where appropriate, we calculated a per-unit rate for each importer (or customer) by dividing the total dumping margins for reviewed sales to that party by the total sales quantity associated with those transactions. For duty-assessment rates calculated on this basis, we will direct CBP to assess the resulting per-unit rate against the entered quantity of the subject merchandise. Where an importer (or customer)-specific assessment rate is *de minimis* (i.e., less than 0.50 percent), the Department will instruct CBP to assess that importer (or customer's) entries of subject merchandise without regard to antidumping duties, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2). The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review.

The Department clarified its automatic assessment regulation on May 6, 2003. See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003). This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by the company included in these final results of review for which the reviewed company did not know

their merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate un-reviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company involved in the transaction.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results, consistent with section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) For the company covered by this review, the cash deposit will be the rate listed above; (2) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, but was covered in a previous review or the original less than fair value (LTFV) investigation, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review conducted by the Department, the cash deposit rate will continue to be 6.65 percent, which is the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation. See *Notice of Antidumping Duty Order; Purified Carboxymethylcellulose From Finland; Mexico, the Netherlands and Sweden*, 70 FR 39734 (July 11, 2005). These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance

with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: January 2, 2014.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum

Issue: Authority To Conduct a Differential Pricing Analysis and Apply an Alternative Comparison Methodology

[FR Doc. 2014-00173 Filed 1-9-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-351-841]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From Brazil: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011-2012

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, formerly Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On August 16, 2013, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the *Preliminary Results of the 2011-2012 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip (PET film) from Brazil*.¹ This review covers one respondent, Terphane Ltda., and Terphane's U.S. affiliate, Terphane, Inc. (collectively, Terphane). The petitioners in this proceeding are Mitsubishi Polyester Film, Inc. and SKC, Inc. (collectively, Petitioners). For these final results of review we continue to find that Terphane had no reviewable entries of PET film subject to the order.

DATES: *Effective Date:* January 10, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tyler Weinhold or Robert James, AD/

¹ See *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip From Brazil: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011-2012*, 78 FR 50029 (August 16, 2013) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Decision Memorandum (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Room 7850, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-1121 or (202) 482-0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 7, 2013, the Department published the *Preliminary Results*. We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. In response, we received a case brief from Petitioner on September 16, 2013. Terphane filed a rebuttal brief on September 23, 2013.

Period of Review (POR)

The POR is July 1, 2011, through June 30, 2012.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are all gauges of raw, pre-treated, or primed PET film, whether extruded or co-extruded. PET film is classifiable under subheading 3920.62.00.90 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States.²

Tolling of Deadlines

As explained in the memorandum from the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, the Department has exercised its discretion to toll deadlines for the duration of the closure of the Federal Government from October 1, through October 16, 2013.³ Therefore, all deadlines in this segment of the proceeding have been extended by 16 days. If the new deadline falls on a non-business day, in accordance with the Department's practice, the deadline

² For a full description of the scope of the order, see "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2011 to 2012 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from Brazil," from Richard Weible, Director, Office VI, to Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations (Issues and Decision Memorandum), dated concurrently with these final results and incorporated herein by reference. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit (CRU), Room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

³ See Memorandum for the Record from Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Deadlines Affected by the Shutdown of the Federal Government" (October 18, 2013).

will become the next business day. The revised deadline for the final results of this review is now January 2, 2014.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this antidumping investigation are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues raised is attached to this notice as Appendix I. We have analyzed all interested party comments and have made no changes to the *Preliminary Results* for these final results.

Final Determination of No Shipments

Based on information Terphane submitted after the initiation of this administrative review and information collected from U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP), the Department has determined that the record evidence indicates that Terphane had no reviewable entries during the POR. In addition, the Department finds that it is not appropriate to rescind the review with respect to Terphane but, rather, to complete the review with respect to Terphane and issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on the final results of this review, as is our current practice for companies which had no shipments during the POR.⁴

Assessment Rates

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003. This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by companies included in these final results of review for which these companies did not know that the merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate un-reviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.⁵ We intend to issue

⁴ See, e.g., *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From Thailand: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Intent To Revoke the Order (in Part); 2011-2012*, 78 FR 15686 (March 12, 2013) and the accompanying Decision Memorandum, at 7 to 8, unchanged in *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From Thailand: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Partial Rescission of Review, and Revocation of Order (in Part); 2011-2012*, 78 FR 42497 (July 16, 2013); *Polyester Staple Fiber From Taiwan: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011-2012*, 78 FR 38938, 38939 (June 28, 2013); *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final No Shipment Determination; 2011-2012*, 78 FR 42492, 42493 (July 16, 2013); and *Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags From Thailand: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011-2012*, 78 FR 50376, 50377 (August 19, 2013).

⁵ For a full discussion of this clarification, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings:*

assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: January 2, 2014.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum

Issue 1: Whether to Rescind or to Complete the Administrative Review

Issue 2: Whether to Structure the Final Results, and Resulting Customs Instructions to Allow for Subsequent Revision In Light of Pending Litigation

[FR Doc. 2014-00174 Filed 1-9-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P