already on the record.¹⁶ In this case, we preliminarily find that Dachan is the successor-in-interest to Quang Ngai and, as such, is entitled to Quang Ngai's cash-deposit rate with respect to entries of subject merchandise.

Should our final results remain the same as these preliminary results, effective the date of publication of the final results, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to assign entries of merchandise produced or exported by Dachan the antidumping duty cash-deposit rate applicable to Quang Ngai.

Public Comment

Any interested party may request a hearing within 14 days of publication of this notice, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.310(c). Interested parties may submit case briefs and/or written comments no later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, which must be limited to issues raised in such briefs or comments, may be filed not later than 5 days after the case briefs. Any hearing, if requested, will normally be held two days after rebuttal briefs are due, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.310(d)(1). Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this CCR are requested to submit with each argument (1) a statement of the issue and (2) a brief summary of the argument with an electronic version included. Consistent with 19 CFR 351.216(e), we will issue the final results of this CCR no later than 270 days after the date on which this review was initiated or within 45 days of publication of these preliminary results if all parties agree to our preliminary finding.

We are issuing and publishing this initiation and preliminary results notice in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216 and 351.221(c)(3).

Dated: January 3, 2014.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations. [FR Doc. 2014–00194 Filed 1–9–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-405-803]

Purified Carboxymethylcellulose From Finland: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011– 2012

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, formerly Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. SUMMARY: On August 7, 2013, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the Preliminary Results of the 2011–2012 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on Purified Carboxymethylcellulose from Finland.¹ This review covers one respondent, CP Kelco Oy and CP Kelco, Inc. (collectively, CP Kelco). The petitioner in this proceeding is the Aqualon Company, a division of Hercules Incorporated (Petitioner). For these final results of review, we continue to find that CP Kelco made sales of subject merchandise to the United States at less than normal value (NV).

DATES: *Effective Date:* January 10, 2014. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Tyler Weinhold or Robert James, AD/

CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Room 7850, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482–1121 or (202) 482–0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 7, 2013, the Department published the *Preliminary Results*. We invited parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. In response, we received a case brief from CP Kelco on September 16, 2013.² Petitioner filed a rebuttal brief on September 20, 2013.³

Period of Review (POR)

The POR is July 1, 2011, through June 30, 2012.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this order is all purified carboxymethylcellulose (CMC).⁴ The merchandise subject to this order is classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) at subheading 3912.31.00.

Tolling of Deadlines

As explained in the memorandum from the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, the Department has exercised its discretion to toll deadlines for the duration of the closure of the Federal Government from October 1, through October 16, 2013. See Memorandum for the Record from Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Deadlines Affected by the Shutdown of the Federal Government" (October 18, 2013). Therefore, all deadlines in this segment of the proceeding have been extended by 16 days. If the new deadline falls on a non-business day, in accordance with the Department's practice, the deadline will become the next business day. The revised deadline for the final results of this review is now January 2, 2014.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this antidumping investigation are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues raised is attached to this notice as Appendix I. We have analyzed all interested party comments and have made no changes to the *Preliminary Results* for these final results.

¹⁶ See Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof from Japan: Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review, 71 FR 14679 (March 23, 2006).

¹ See Purified Carboxymethylcellulose from Finland: Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011– 2012, 78 FR 50028 (August 16, 2013) (Preliminary Results), and the accompanying Decision Memorandum (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

² See case brief from CP Kelco, "Purified Carboxymethylcellulose from Finland; Case Brief" (September 16, 2013) (CP Kelco's Case Brief).

³ See rebuttal brief from Petitioner, "Purified Carboxymethylcellulose from Finland; Rebuttal Brief" (September 20, 2013) (Petitioner's Rebuttal Brief).

⁴ For a full description of the scope of the order, see Memorandum from Richard Weible, Director, Office VI, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2011 to 2012 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Purified Carboxymethylcellulose from Finland" (Issues and Decision Memorandum), which is dated concurrently with these final results and incorporated herein by reference. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at http://iaaccess.trade.gov and in the Central Records Unit (CRU), Room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Review

We determine that the following dumping margin exists for the period July 1, 2011, through June 30, 2012:

Manufacturer/exporter	Weighted- average dumping margin (percentage)
CP Kelco Oy	3.40

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.212(b), the Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. For assessment purposes, we calculated importer (or customer)specific assessment rates for merchandise subject to this review. Where appropriate, we calculated an *ad* valorem rate for each importer (or customer) by dividing the total dumping margins for reviewed sales to that party by the total entered values associated with those transactions. For duty assessment rates calculated on this basis, we will direct CBP to assess the resulting ad valorem rate against the entered customs values for the subject merchandise. Where appropriate, we calculated a per-unit rate for each importer (or customer) by dividing the total dumping margins for reviewed sales to that party by the total sales quantity associated with those transactions. For duty-assessment rates calculated on this basis, we will direct CBP to assess the resulting per-unit rate against the entered quantity of the subject merchandise. Where an importer (or customer)-specific assessment rate is de minimis (i.e., less than 0.50 percent), the Department will instruct CBP to assess that importer (or customer's) entries of subject merchandise without regard to antidumping duties, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2). The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review.

The Department clarified its automatic assessment regulation on May 6, 2003. See Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003). This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by the company included in these final results of review for which the reviewed company did not know

their merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate un-reviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company involved in the transaction.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results, consistent with section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) For the company covered by this review, the cash deposit will be the rate listed above; (2) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, but was covered in a previous review or the original less than fair value (LTFV) investigation, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review conducted by the Department, the cash deposit rate will continue to be 6.65 percent, which is the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation. See Notice of Antidumping Duty Order; Purified Carboxymethylcellulose From Finland; Mexico, the Netherlands and Sweden, 70 FR 39734 (July 11, 2005). These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance

with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: January 2, 2014.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the **Accompanying Issues and Decision** Memorandum

Issue: Authority To Conduct a Differential Pricing Analysis and Apply an Alternative Comparison Methodology

[FR Doc. 2014-00173 Filed 1-9-14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-351-841]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From Brazil: Final **Results of Antidumping Duty** Administrative Review; 2011–2012

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, formerly Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. SUMMARY: On August 16, 2013, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the Preliminary Results of the 2011–2012 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip (PET film) from Brazil.¹ This review covers one respondent, Terphane Ltda., and Terphane's U.S. affiliate, Terphane, Inc. (collectively, Terphane). The petitioners in this proceeding are Mitsubishi Polyester Film, Inc. and SKC, Inc. (collectively, Petitioners). For these final results of review we continue to find that Terphane had no reviewable entries of PET film subject to the order.

DATES: Effective Date: January 10, 2014. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tyler Weinhold or Robert James, AD/

¹ See Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip From Brazil: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011-2012, 78 FR 50029 (August 16, 2013) (Preliminary Results), and accompanying Decision Memorandum (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).