

contain new factual information and must otherwise comply with section 207.68 of the Commission's rules. All written submissions must conform with the provisions of section 201.8 of the Commission's rules; any submissions that contain BPI must also conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's *Handbook on E-Filing*, available on the Commission's Web site at <http://edis.usitc.gov>, elaborates upon the Commission's rules with respect to electronic filing.

Additional written submissions to the Commission, including requests pursuant to section 201.12 of the Commission's rules, shall not be accepted unless good cause is shown for accepting such submissions, or unless the submission is pursuant to a specific request by a Commissioner or Commission staff.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the Commission's rules, each document filed by a party to the reviews must be served on all other parties to the reviews (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

**Authority:** These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: December 4, 2013.

By order of the Commission.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2013-29379 Filed 12-9-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-13-034]

### Sunshine Act Meeting Notice

**AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING:** United States International Trade Commission  
**TIME AND DATE:** December 12, 2013 at 11:00 a.m.

**PLACE:** Room 101, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205-2000.

**STATUS:** Open to the public

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:**

1. Agendas for future meetings: none.
2. Minutes.
3. Ratification List.
4. Vote in Inv. No. 731-TA-1205 (Final)(Silica Bricks from China). The Commission is currently scheduled to

complete and file its determinations and views on or before December 23, 2013.

5. Outstanding action jackets: none.  
In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

Issued: December 5, 2013.

By order of the Commission.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2013-29479 Filed 12-6-13; 11:15 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Office of Justice Programs

[OJP (NIJ) Docket No. 1641]

### Draft Criminal Justice Offender Tracking System Standard and Companion Documents

**AGENCY:** National Institute of Justice, Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** In an effort to obtain comments from interested parties, the U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, National Institute of Justice will make available to the general public four draft documents: (1) A draft standard entitled, "Criminal Justice Offender Tracking System Standard"; (2) a draft companion document entitled, "Criminal Justice Offender Tracking System Certification Program Requirements"; (3) a draft companion Selection and Application Guide, and (4) a new draft companion document entitled, "Criminal Justice Offender Tracking System Refurbishment Service Program Requirements". The opportunity to provide comments on these four documents is open to industry technical representatives, criminal justice agencies and organizations, research, development and scientific communities, and all other stakeholders and interested parties. Those individuals wishing to obtain, and provide comments on, the draft documents under consideration are directed to the following Web site: [https://www.justnet.org/standards/Offender\\_Tracking\\_Standards.html](https://www.justnet.org/standards/Offender_Tracking_Standards.html).

**DATES:** Responses to this request will be accepted through 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on January 9, 2014.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jack Harne, by telephone at 202-616-2911 [Note: this is not a toll-free telephone number], or by email at [Jack.Harne@](mailto:Jack.Harne@)

[usdoj.gov](https://www.justnet.org/standards/Offender_Tracking_Standards.html). Those individuals wishing to obtain, and provide comments on, the draft documents under consideration are directed to the following Web site: [https://www.justnet.org/standards/Offender\\_Tracking\\_Standards.html](https://www.justnet.org/standards/Offender_Tracking_Standards.html).

**Gregory K. Ridgeway,**

*Acting Director, National Institute of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 2013-29398 Filed 12-9-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-18-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-83,058]

### Sysco Denver LLC, a Subsidiary of Sysco Corporation, IT Department, Denver, Colorado; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated October 1, 2013, a worker requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of Sysco Denver LLC, a subsidiary of Sysco Corporation, IT Department, Denver, Colorado (subject firm). The negative determination was issued on September 17, 2013 and the Department's Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on October 24, 2013 (78 FR 63498). Workers at the subject firm were engaged in activities related to the supply of information technology (IT) services.

The negative determination was based on the Department's findings that, with respect to Section 222(a) and Section 222(b) of the Act, Criterion (1) has not been met because a significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, have not become totally or partially separated, or threatened with such separation.

In addition, the group eligibility requirements under Section 222(e) of the Act have not been satisfied because the workers' firm has not been publicly identified by name by the International Trade Commission as a member of a domestic industry in an investigation resulting in an affirmative finding of serious injury, market disruption, or material injury, or threat thereof.

The request for reconsideration alleges that the two workers at the subject firm location were part of a larger worker group (those supplying IT services at various Sysco Corporation facilities) and that IT functions are being outsourced to India.