

# Notices

Federal Register

Vol. 78, No. 233

Wednesday, December 4, 2013

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

## AFRICAN DEVELOPMENT FOUNDATION

### Public Quarterly Meeting of the Board of Directors

**AGENCY:** United States African Development Foundation.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. African Development Foundation (USDAF) will hold its quarterly meeting of the Board of Directors to discuss the agency's programs and administration.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on Thursday, December 12, 2013 at 2 p.m. and will last until 3:30 p.m. of the same day.

**ADDRESSES:** This meeting will be held via teleconference.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Rabayah Akhter, 202-233-8811.

**Authority:** Public Law 96-533 (22 U.S.C. 290h).

Dated: November 26, 2013.

**Doris Mason Martin,**  
General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 2013-28986 Filed 12-3-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6117-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

[Docket No. APHIS-2013-0064]

#### Concurrence With OIE Risk Designations for Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy

**AGENCY:** Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** We are advising the public of our preliminary concurrence with the World Organization for Animal Health's (OIE) bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) risk designations

for 14 regions. The OIE recognizes these regions as being of either negligible risk for BSE or of controlled risk for BSE. We are taking this action based on our review of information supporting the OIE's risk designations for these regions.

**DATES:** We will consider all comments that we receive on or before February 3, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments by either of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov/#!documentDetail;D=APHIS-2013-0064-0001>.

- *Postal Mail/Commercial Delivery:* Send your comment to Docket No. APHIS-2013-0064, Regulatory Analysis and Development, PPD, APHIS, Station 3A-03.8, 4700 River Road, Unit 118, Riverdale, MD 20737-1238.

Supporting documents and any comments we receive on this docket may be viewed at <http://www.regulations.gov/#!docketDetail;D=APHIS-2013-0064> or in our reading room, which is located in Room 1141 of the USDA South Building, 14th Street and Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC. Normal reading room hours are 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays. To be sure someone is there to help you, please call (202) 799-7039 before coming.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Silvia Kreindel, Senior Staff Veterinarian, Regionalization Evaluation Services, National Center for Import and Export, VS, APHIS, 4700 River Road, Unit 38, Riverdale, MD 20737-1231; (301) 851-3300.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

As amended by a final rule published in today's **Federal Register** (see "Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy; Importation of Bovines and Bovine Products," Docket No. APHIS-2008-0010), the regulations in 9 CFR part 92 subpart B, "Importation of Animals and Animal Products; Procedures for Requesting BSE Risk Status Classification With Regard To Bovines" (referred to below as the regulations), set forth the process by which the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) classifies regions for bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) risk. Section 92.5 of the regulations provides that all countries of the world are

considered by APHIS to be in one of three BSE risk categories: Negligible risk, controlled risk, or undetermined risk. These risk categories are defined in § 92.1. Any region that is not classified by APHIS as presenting either negligible risk or controlled risk for BSE is considered to present an undetermined risk. The list of those regions classified by APHIS as having either negligible risk or controlled risk can be accessed on the APHIS Web site at [http://www.aphis.usda.gov/import\\_export/animals/animal\\_disease\\_status.shtml](http://www.aphis.usda.gov/import_export/animals/animal_disease_status.shtml). The list can also be obtained by writing to APHIS at National Import Export Services, 4700 River Road Unit 38, Riverdale, MD 20737.

Under the regulations, APHIS may classify a region for BSE in one of two ways. One way is for countries that have not received a risk classification from the World Organization for Animal Health (OIE) to request classification by APHIS. The other way is for APHIS to concur with the classification given to a country by the OIE.

If the OIE has classified a country as either BSE negligible risk or BSE controlled risk, APHIS will seek information to support concurrence with the OIE classification. This information may be publicly available information, or APHIS may request that countries supply the same information given to the OIE. APHIS will announce in the **Federal Register**, subject to public comment, its intent to concur with an OIE classification.

In accordance with this process, we are giving notice in this document that APHIS intends to concur with the OIE risk classifications of the following countries:

- *Regions of negligible risk for BSE:* Austria, Belgium, Brazil, Colombia, Israel, Italy, Japan, the Netherlands, Singapore, Slovenia.
- *Regions of controlled risk for BSE:* Bulgaria, Costa Rica, Croatia, Nicaragua, Taiwan.

The OIE recommendations regarding each of the above countries can be viewed at <http://www.oie.int/animal-health-in-the-world/official-disease-status/bse/list-of-bse-risk-status/>.

The conclusions of the OIE scientific commission for these countries can be viewed at:

*Austria:* [http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/International\\_Standard\\_Setting/docs/pdf/SCAD/A\\_SCAD\\_Feb2012.pdf](http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/International_Standard_Setting/docs/pdf/SCAD/A_SCAD_Feb2012.pdf) (page 46).

Belgium: [http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/InternationalStandard\\_Setting/docs/pdf/SCAD/A\\_SCAD\\_Feb2012.pdf](http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/InternationalStandard_Setting/docs/pdf/SCAD/A_SCAD_Feb2012.pdf) (page 47).

Brazil: [http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/InternationalStandard\\_Setting/docs/pdf/SCAD/A\\_SCAD\\_Feb2012.pdf](http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/InternationalStandard_Setting/docs/pdf/SCAD/A_SCAD_Feb2012.pdf) (page 48).

Bulgaria: [http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/InternationalStandard\\_Setting/docs/pdf/SCAD/A\\_SCAD\\_Feb2013.pdf](http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/InternationalStandard_Setting/docs/pdf/SCAD/A_SCAD_Feb2013.pdf) (page 68).

Colombia: [http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/InternationalStandard\\_Setting/docs/pdf/SCAD/A\\_SCAD\\_Feb2012.pdf](http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/InternationalStandard_Setting/docs/pdf/SCAD/A_SCAD_Feb2012.pdf) (page 50).

Costa Rica: [http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/InternationalStandard\\_Setting/docs/pdf/SCAD/A\\_SCAD\\_Feb2013.pdf](http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/InternationalStandard_Setting/docs/pdf/SCAD/A_SCAD_Feb2013.pdf) (page 69).

Croatia: [http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/InternationalStandard\\_Setting/docs/pdf/SCAD/A\\_SCAD\\_Feb2012.pdf](http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/InternationalStandard_Setting/docs/pdf/SCAD/A_SCAD_Feb2012.pdf) (page 51).

Israel: [http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/InternationalStandard\\_Setting/docs/pdf/SCAD/A\\_SCAD\\_Feb2013.pdf](http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/InternationalStandard_Setting/docs/pdf/SCAD/A_SCAD_Feb2013.pdf) (page 71).

Italy: [http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/InternationalStandard\\_Setting/docs/pdf/SCAD/A\\_SCAD\\_Feb2013.pdf](http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/InternationalStandard_Setting/docs/pdf/SCAD/A_SCAD_Feb2013.pdf) (page 72).

Japan: [http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/InternationalStandard\\_Setting/docs/pdf/SCAD/A\\_SCAD\\_Feb2013.pdf](http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/InternationalStandard_Setting/docs/pdf/SCAD/A_SCAD_Feb2013.pdf) (page 73).

Netherlands: [http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/InternationalStandard\\_Setting/docs/pdf/SCAD/A\\_SCAD\\_Feb2013.pdf](http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/InternationalStandard_Setting/docs/pdf/SCAD/A_SCAD_Feb2013.pdf) (page 75).

Nicaragua: [http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/InternationalStandard\\_Setting/docs/pdf/SCAD/A\\_SCAD\\_Feb2012.pdf](http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/InternationalStandard_Setting/docs/pdf/SCAD/A_SCAD_Feb2012.pdf) (page 52).

Singapore: [http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/InternationalStandard\\_Setting/docs/pdf/SCAD/A\\_SCAD\\_fev2007.pdf](http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/InternationalStandard_Setting/docs/pdf/SCAD/A_SCAD_fev2007.pdf) (page 30).

Slovenia: [http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/InternationalStandard\\_Setting/docs/pdf/SCAD/A\\_SCAD\\_Feb2013.pdf](http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/InternationalStandard_Setting/docs/pdf/SCAD/A_SCAD_Feb2013.pdf) (page 76).

Taiwan: [http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/InternationalStandard\\_Setting/docs/pdf/SCAD/A\\_SCAD\\_fev2007.pdf](http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/InternationalStandard_Setting/docs/pdf/SCAD/A_SCAD_fev2007.pdf) (page 24—under Chinese Taipei).

After reviewing any comments we receive, we will announce our final determination regarding the BSE classification of these countries in the **Federal Register**, along with a discussion of and response to pertinent issues raised by commenters. If APHIS recognizes a country as either negligible risk or controlled risk for BSE, the Agency will include that country in a list of regions of negligible risk or controlled risk for BSE, as applicable,

that is available to the public on the Agency's Web site at [http://www.aphis.usda.gov/import\\_export/animals/animal\\_disease\\_status.shtml](http://www.aphis.usda.gov/import_export/animals/animal_disease_status.shtml).

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 1622 and 8301–8317; 21 U.S.C. 136 and 136a; 31 U.S.C. 9701; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.4.

Done in Washington, DC, this 20th day of November 2013.

**Kevin Shea,**

*Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.*

[FR Doc. 2013–28338 Filed 12–3–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410–34–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Forest Service

#### White River National Forest; Summit County, CO; 2013 Arapahoe Basin Improvements EIS

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement.

**SUMMARY:** Arapahoe Basin Ski Area (A-Basin) has submitted a proposal to the White River National Forest (WRNF) to pursue approval of proposed projects included in its 2012 Master Development Plan (MDP). The WRNF has accepted this proposal, and is preparing an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to analyze and disclose the potential environmental effects of implementing the projects. The Proposed Action is designed to: Provide The Beavers with snow safety operations and ski patrol services consistent with statements made in the 2002 WRNF Forest Plan FEIS; accommodate existing and future demand for high Alpine and open bowl skiing while protecting and enhancing the distinctive skiing experience that A-Basin provides; improve access to Montezuma Bowl; upgrade or remove existing lifts, as needed; improve water storage capacity for existing snowmaking operations; and, enhance four-season recreational opportunities.

**DATES:** Comments concerning the scope of the analysis must be received by January 21, 2014. The draft environmental impact statement is expected to be available for public review in the spring of 2014 and the final environmental impact statement is expected in the winter of 2014/15.

**ADDRESSES:** Send written comments to: Scott Fitzwilliams, Forest Supervisor, c/Joe Foreman, White River National Forest, PO Box 620, Silverthorne, CO 80498; FAX (970) 468–7735 or by email to: [wrfn\\_scoping\\_comments@fs.fed.us](mailto:wrfn_scoping_comments@fs.fed.us)

(please include A-Basin 2013 Improvements EIS in the subject line).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Additional information related to the proposed project can be obtained from: Joe Foreman, Winter Sports Permit Administrator, Dillon Ranger District, 680 Blue River Pkwy, PO Box 620, Silverthorne, CO 80498. Mr. Foreman can be reached by phone at (970) 262–3443 or by email at [jgforeman@fs.fed.us](mailto:jgforeman@fs.fed.us).

Individuals who use telecommunication devices for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern Time, Monday through Friday.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Purpose and Need for Action:* Dating back to 1982, six avalanche fatalities have occurred in the backcountry immediately adjacent to A-Basin's operational boundary—five in the Steep Gullies and one in Beaver Bowl. Currently, The Beavers can be accessed legally through backcountry access points located along the western extent of A-Basin's operational boundary. From these points, skiers may exit the controlled/patrolled portions of A-Basin's operational boundary to access adjacent backcountry terrain in The Beavers, the Steep Gullies and the Rock Pile. In particular, these areas receive heavy backcountry use by the public once the snowpack is sufficient. The Proposed Action proposes to incorporate The Beavers into A-Basin's operational boundary to improve the safety of recreating in that area.

Documentation of the popularity of The Beavers can be traced back to the 2002 WRNF Forest Plan FEIS, which provides detailed information on "Future Expansion" areas at existing ski areas across Eagle, Garfield, Pitkin, and Summit counties. Related to A-Basin's SUP area, and specifically related to planned projects discussed in this proposal, the 2002 Forest Plan FEIS states:

The Beavers are popular with backcountry skiers and snowboarders who access the site from Arapahoe Basin ski area. Steep north-facing chutes above treeline with numerous rock outcrops characterize the terrain. Most skiers hike or hitchhike uphill to return to their vehicles. Avalanche risk to the public is potentially high. The risk could be partially mitigated if the Beavers site was developed for skiing as part of the ski area.

Bringing The Beavers into A-Basin's operational boundary would provide the area with snow safety operations and ski patrol services consistent with statements made in the 2002 WRNF Forest Plan FEIS.

In addition to safety, A-Basin's market is unique in that it is strongly skewed