

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE  
COMMISSION****[Investigation No. 337-TA-883]****Certain Opaque Polymers; Notice of  
Commission Decision Amending the  
Complaint and Notice of Investigation****AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade  
Commission.**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 8) amending the complaint and notice of investigation in the above-captioned investigation. The amended complaint and notice of investigation add a new claim of trade secret misappropriation against the respondents.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Sidney A. Rosenzweig, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2532. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on June 21, 2013, based on a complaint filed by the Dow Chemical Company of Midland, Michigan, and by Rohm and Haas Company and Rohm and Haas Chemicals LLC, both of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. 78 FR 37571 (June 21, 2013). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended 19 U.S.C. 1337, by reason of the infringement of certain claims from four United States Patents. The notice of institution named five respondents: Organik Kimya Sa. ve Tic. A.Ş. of Istanbul, Turkey; Organik Kimya Netherlands B.V. of Rotterdam-Botlek, Netherlands; Organik Kimya US, Inc. of Burlington, Massachusetts; Turk

International LLC of Aptos, California; and Aalborz Chemical LLC d/b/a All Chem of Grand Rapids, Michigan.

On October 17, 2013, the complainants moved to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to add a new claim of trade secret misappropriation against the respondents. On October 28, 2013, the respondents opposed the motion, and on October 30, 2013, the complainants filed a motion for leave to file an attached reply.

On November 7, 2013, the ALJ granted the motion as an ID. Order No. 8. No petitions for review of the ID were filed. The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.14 and 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.14, 210.42).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: November 25, 2013.

**Lisa R. Barton,***Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2013-28708 Filed 11-27-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P****INTERNATIONAL TRADE  
COMMISSION****[Investigation No. 337-TA-853]****Certain Wireless Consumer  
Electronics Devices and Components  
Thereof; Commission Determination  
To Review in Part A Final Initial  
Determination Finding No Violation of  
Section 337; Extension of Target Date****AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade  
Commission.**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to review in part the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") final initial determination ("ID") finding no violation of Section 337 in the above-referenced investigation. The Commission has also determined to extend the target date for completion of this investigation to January 29, 2014.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Megan M. Valentine, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2301. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business

hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S.

International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on August 24, 2012, based on a complaint filed by Technology Properties Limited LLC and Phoenix Digital Solutions LLC, both of Cupertino, California; and Patriot Scientific Corporation of Carlsbad, California (collectively "Complainants"). 77 FR 51572-573 (August 24, 2012). The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 ("section 337"), in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain wireless consumer electronics devices and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 5,809,336 ("the '336 patent"). The Commission's notice of investigation named the following as respondents: Acer, Inc. of Taipei, Taiwan and Acer America Corporation of San Jose, California (collectively "Acer"); Amazon.com, Inc. of Seattle, Washington ("Amazon"); Barnes and Noble, Inc. of New York, New York ("B&N"); Garmin Ltd of Schaffhausen, Switzerland, Garmin International, Inc. of Olathe, Kansas, and Garmin USA, Inc. of Olathe, Kansas (collectively "Garmin"); HTC Corporation of Taoyuan, Taiwan and HTC America of Bellevue, Washington (collectively "HTC"); Huawei Technologies Co, Ltd. of Shenzhen, China ("Huawei Tech."); Huawei North America of Plano, Texas ("Huawei NA"); Kyocera Corporation of Kyoto, Japan and Kyocera Communications, Inc. of San Diego, California (collectively "Kyocera"); LG Electronics, Inc. of Seoul, Korea and LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc. of Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey (collectively "LG"); Nintendo Co. Ltd. of Kyoto, Japan and Nintendo of America, Inc. of Redmond, Washington (collectively "Nintendo"); Novatel Wireless, Inc. of San Diego, California ("Novatel"); Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., of Seoul, Korea