

not incorporated by reference in this AD, pertain to the subject of this AD and can be obtained from Turbomeca, using the contact information in paragraph (i)(4) of this AD.

(4) For Turbomeca service information identified in this AD, contact Turbomeca, 40220 Tarnos, France; phone: 33 (0)5 59 74 40 00; telex: 570 042; fax: 33 (0)5 59 74 45 15.

#### (j) Material Incorporated by Reference

None.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on October 24, 2013.

**Colleen M. D'Alessandro,**

*Assistant Directorate Manager, Engine & Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 2013-27185 Filed 11-14-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 71

[Docket FAA No. FAA-2013-0530; Airspace Docket No. 13-AWP-9]

#### Establishment of Class E Airspace; Battle Mountain, NV

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** This action corrects a final rule published in the **Federal Register** of September 23, 2013, that establishes Class E airspace at the Battle Mountain VHF Omni-Directional Radio Range Tactical Air Navigational Aid (VORTAC) navigation aid, Battle Mountain, NV. A favorable comment from the National Business Aviation Association (NBAA) was received in the public Docket but was not referenced in the Final Rule.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* 0901 UTC, December 12, 2013. The Director of the Federal Register approves this incorporation by reference action under 1 CFR Part 51, subject to the annual revision of FAA Order 7400.9 and publication of conforming amendments.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Eldon Taylor, Federal Aviation Administration, Operations Support Group, Western Service Center, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA 98057; telephone (425) 203-4537.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### History

The FAA published a final rule in the **Federal Register** establishing Class E airspace at the Battle Mountain VORTAC navigation aid, Battle Mountain, NV (78 FR 58159, September

23, 2013). The FAA received a comment in support of the rule from the NBAA for inclusion in FAA Docket No. FAA-2013-0530 prior to the closing of the comment period. However, the preamble incorrectly references that there were no comments to the proposal. This action corrects that statement.

#### Correction to Final Rule

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, the description under the History heading, as published in the **Federal Register** on September 23, 2013 (78 FR 58159), Airspace Docket No. 13-AWP-9, FR Doc. 2013-58159, is corrected as follows: On page 58160, column 1, line 2, remove "No comments were received.", and add in their place "One comment was received from the National Business Aviation Association (NBAA) supporting the establishment of Class E en route airspace."

Issued in Seattle, Washington, on: November 6, 2013.

**Clark Desing,**

*Manager, Operations Support Group, Western Service Center.*

[FR Doc. 2013-27217 Filed 11-14-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 95

[Docket No. 30931; Amdt. No. 510]

#### IFR Altitudes; Miscellaneous Amendments

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule

**SUMMARY:** This amendment adopts miscellaneous amendments to the required IFR (instrument flight rules) altitudes and changeover points for certain Federal airways, jet routes, or direct routes for which a minimum or maximum en route authorized IFR altitude is prescribed. This regulatory action is needed because of changes occurring in the National Airspace System. These changes are designed to provide for the safe and efficient use of the navigable airspace under instrument conditions in the affected areas.

**DATES:** Effective 0901 UTC, December 12, 2013.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Harry Hodges, Flight Procedure Standards Branch (AMCAFS-420), Flight Technologies and Programs

Division, Flight Standards Service, Federal Aviation Administration, Mike Monroney Aeronautical Center, 6500 South MacArthur Blvd., Oklahoma City, OK 73169 (Mail Address: P.O. Box 25082 Oklahoma City, OK 73125) telephone: (405) 954-4164.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This amendment to part 95 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 95) amends, suspends, or revokes IFR altitudes governing the operation of all aircraft in flight over a specified route or any portion of that route, as well as the changeover points (COPs) for Federal airways, jet routes, or direct routes as prescribed in part 95.

#### The Rule

The specified IFR altitudes, when used in conjunction with the prescribed changeover points for those routes, ensure navigation aid coverage that is adequate for safe flight operations and free of frequency interference. The reasons and circumstances that create the need for this amendment involve matters of flight safety and operational efficiency in the National Airspace System, are related to published aeronautical charts that are essential to the user, and provide for the safe and efficient use of the navigable airspace. In addition, those various reasons or circumstances require making this amendment effective before the next scheduled charting and publication date of the flight information to assure its timely availability to the user. The effective date of this amendment reflects those considerations. In view of the close and immediate relationship between these regulatory changes and safety in air commerce, I find that notice and public procedure before adopting this amendment are impracticable and contrary to the public interest and that good cause exists for making the amendment effective in less than 30 days.

#### Conclusion

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore—(1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. For the same reason, the FAA certifies that this amendment will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial