

determine whether additional environmental analysis is required and prepare further environmental documentation, if needed. The public would be afforded an opportunity to review and comment on any potential supplemental environmental review document. The BLM would respond to public comments and provide its rationale on a decision for Segments 8 and 9 in a second ROD. The Proponents have demonstrated independent utility for Segments 1 through 7 of the Project, as well as Segment 10, which connects the Cedar Hill and Midpoint Substations just east of Segments 8 and 9.

**BLM Decisions—Approved Segments:** The ROD approves, with all mitigation measures identified in the ROD, a ROW grant for the following route alternatives for Segments 1 through 7 and Segment 10:

- Segment 1: Proposed 1W(a) and 1W(c) Routes
- Segment 2: Proposed Route
- Segment 3: Proposed Route, including Route 3A
- Segment 4: Revised Proposed Route, including a reroute east and north of the community of Cokeville
- Segment 5: Proposed Route, incorporating Alternatives 5B and 5E
- Segment 6: The proposed upgrade from 345 kV to 500 kV
- Segment 7: Proposed Route, incorporating Alternatives 7B, 7C, 7D, and 7G. The Proposed Route in the East Hills and Alternative 7G will be micro-sited to avoid Preliminary Priority Habitat for Greater Sage-Grouse.
- Segment 10: Proposed Route

**BLM Decisions—Land Use Plan Amendments:** The BLM planning regulations (43 CFR 1610.5–3) require authorized uses of public lands to conform to approved LUPs. The LUP amendments' preferred alternatives that were analyzed in the draft EIS associated with Segments 1 through 7 of the Project, as well as Segment 10, which connects the Cedar Hill and Midpoint Substations just east of Segments 8 and 9, were carried forward in the final EIS, with minor modifications made in preparing the approved LUP Amendments. The BLM received five protest letters on the Proposed LUP Amendments/Final EIS. The BLM Director denied all protest issues. The Director's Protest Resolution Report can be accessed at the following Web site: [http://www.blm.gov/wo/st/en/prog/planning/planning\\_overview/protest\\_resolution/protestreports.html](http://www.blm.gov/wo/st/en/prog/planning/planning_overview/protest_resolution/protestreports.html). To bring the Project into conformance, the ROD approves the following amendments to BLM resource

management plans (RMP) in the Project area:

- Green River RMP: One amendment for visual resource management.
- Kemmerer RMP: Four amendments for visual resource management, National Historic Trails, and the Rock Creek/Tunp Special Management Area.

All plan amendments comply with applicable Federal laws and regulations and apply only to Federal lands and mineral estates administered by the BLM.

**Appeal Information:** A party may appeal the BLM's decision before the Interior Board of Land Appeals within 30 days of publication of this Notice of Availability in the **Federal Register** in accordance with the requirements of 43 CFR part 4, subpart E. BLM decisions issued under 43 CFR part 2800 are and remain in effect pending appeal (43 CFR 2801.10(b)). A party can file a petition for a stay of the decision pending appeal before the Interior Board of Land Appeals, but the stay petition must accompany the Notice of Appeal (43 CFR 2801.10; 43CFR 4.21). The appeal must be filed with the BLM Wyoming State Office at the above listed address. Please consult the appropriate regulations (43 CFR part 4, subpart E) and Appendix N of the ROD for further appeal requirements.

**Authority:** 40 CFR 1502.2 and 43 CFR 1610.5.

**Donald A. Simpson,**  
Wyoming State Director.

[FR Doc. 2013–27261 Filed 11–13–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–SS–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

[NPS–PWR–PWRO–13759;  
PX.PD00421E.00.1]

#### **Draft General Management Plan/ Wilderness Study/Environmental Impact Statement, Channel Islands National Park, Ventura and Santa Barbara Counties, California**

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** The National Park Service announces the availability of a Draft General Management Plan (GMP)/Wilderness Study/Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Channel Islands National Park. The document identifies and analyzes three alternatives. *Alternative 1* (no action alternative) reflects current management direction and serves as a baseline for

comparison with the other alternatives. Existing facilities, resource programs, and visitor opportunities would continue as they are. Currently the park is not designated as wilderness. *Alternative 2* emphasizes ecosystem preservation, restoration, and preservation of large expanses in relatively pristine resource conditions. Resource stewardship including ecosystem preservation and restoration, and preservation of natural landscapes, cultural landscapes, archeological resources, and historic structures would continue to be emphasized. Increased recreational opportunities would be provided for visitors to enjoy and appreciate the park. *Alternative 3* (agency-preferred) emphasizes resource stewardship, while also placing more attention on expanding education and recreational opportunities and accommodations to provide diverse visitor experiences on the islands. Both *Alternative 2* and *Alternative 3* propose 66,675 acres of the park be recommended to Congress for designation as wilderness, primarily on Santa Rosa and Santa Cruz islands.

**DATES:** All comments on the Draft EIS must be postmarked or transmitted not later than 60 days after the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes notice of filing and release of the EIS in the **Federal Register**. The National Park Service will hold several public meetings during the comment period—the date, time, and location of the meetings will be announced on <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/chis>, in local and regional press media, and will also be available by contacting Channel Islands National Park.

**ADDRESSES:** Information will be available for public review and comment online at <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/chis>, and in the office of the Superintendent, Channel Islands National Park, 1901 Spinnaker Dr., Ventura, CA 93001, (805) 658–5702. You may submit comments by one of two methods: Mail or hand-deliver comments to Channel Islands National Park, Attn: DEIS—GMP/Wilderness Study (address above), or you may submit comments via the Web site noted above. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Russell Galipeau, Superintendent, Channel Islands National Park, 1901 Spinnaker Dr., Ventura, CA 93001; *russell\_galipeau@nps.gov*; (805) 658-5702.

Mr. Greg Jarvis, Project Manager, NPS Denver Service Center, 12795 W. Alameda Parkway, Lakewood, CO 80228, (303) 969-2263.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The park includes five remote islands spanning 2,228 square miles of land and sea. Updating the park's 1995 general management plan (GMP) is needed to provide clear direction for resource preservation and visitor experience over the next 20 to 40 years. The updated GMP will provide a framework for proactive decision making, which will allow managers to effectively address future opportunities and problems, such as resource, operational, administrative, and visitor use issues facing the park. The updated GMP will serve as the basis for future detailed management documents, such as five-year strategic plans and implementation plans. In addition, the wilderness study component will determine if eligible portions of the park should be proposed for wilderness designation.

The following issues are the key topics addressed by the GMP/ Wilderness Study:

- *Access to the islands.* Access across the sea to the islands is expensive and difficult. The islands are only accessible by park concessioner boats and planes or private boats. The issue this plan needs to answer is whether more opportunities for public access should be provided to the islands.

- *Access on Santa Rosa Island.* This issue addresses the question of the level and type of access that should be provided to visitors. Santa Rosa is a relatively large island. When most visitors are dropped off at Bechers Bay they are now faced with walking long distances to see the 53,000-acre island.

- *Type and level of recreation development that is appropriate on the islands.* This issue addresses the question of the appropriate balance of developments that should be provided for visitors (i.e., general types and intensities of development needed to provide for public enjoyment of the park, while minimizing impacts on park resources). The updated GMP will also address \$14.5 million of deferred maintenance needs and will consider additional opportunities to provide commercial services to improve the visitor experience on the islands.

- *Providing sustainable park operations.* This issue focuses on

whether existing administrative and operational facilities are functioning effectively and efficiently, and meeting the needs of both park staff and visitors. Managing aging, temporary employee housing on the islands is one key issue to resolve.

- *Designation of wilderness.* To fulfill the requirements of the park's enabling legislation and the Wilderness Act of 1964, the NPS must determine whether any lands in the park should be proposed for inclusion in the National Wilderness Preservation System.

*Decision Process:* Following due consideration of all comments received, a Final EIS will be prepared. As a delegated EIS, the official responsible for approval of the final GMP is the Regional Director, Pacific West Region. Subsequently the official responsible for implementing the approved GMP and for monitoring results is the Superintendent, Channel Islands National Park. In addition, the results of the wilderness study will be forwarded to the NPS Director for consideration, who then may forward to Secretary of the Interior, who may concur or revise the wilderness proposal, and in turn may forward the recommendation to Congress.

Dated: September 13, 2013.

**Patricia L. Neubacher,**

*Acting Regional Director, Pacific West Region.*

[FR Doc. 2013-27283 Filed 11-13-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4312-FF-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

**[NPS-WASO-NRNL-14338;  
PPWOCRADIO, PCU00RP14.R50000]**

### National Register of Historic Places; Notification of Pending Nominations and Related Actions

Nominations for the following properties being considered for listing or related actions in the National Register were received by the National Park Service before October 19, 2013. Pursuant to section 60.13 of 36 CFR part 60, written comments are being accepted concerning the significance of the nominated properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation. Comments may be forwarded by United States Postal Service, to the National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1849 C St. NW., MS 2280, Washington, DC 20240; by all other carriers, National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1201 Eye St. NW., 8th floor, Washington, DC 20005; or by fax, 202-371-6447. Written

or faxed comments should be submitted by November 29, 2013. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: October 25, 2013.

**J. Paul Loether,**

*Chief, National Register of Historic Places/  
National Historic Landmarks Program.*

## CALIFORNIA

### Los Angeles County

House at 1111 North Los Robles Avenue, (Residential Architecture of Pasadena: Influence of the Arts and Crafts Movement MPS) 1111 N. Los Robles Ave., Pasadena, 13000868

House at 1121 North Los Robles Avenue, (Residential Architecture of Pasadena: Influence of the Arts and Crafts Movement MPS) 1121-1123 N. Los Robles Ave., Pasadena, 13000869

## COLORADO

### El Paso County

Lindsey—Johnson—Vanderhoof House, 1130 N. Cascade Ave., Colorado Springs, 13000870

### Garfield County

Nunns, John Herbert, House, 311 N. 7th St., Silt, 13000871

### Morgan County

Antelope Springs Methodist Episcopal Church, Address Restricted, Snyder, 13000872

### Phillips County

Hargreaves Homestead Rural Historic Landscape, US 385 between Cty. Rds. 10 & 12, Holyoke, 13000873

Millage Farm Rural Historic Landscape District, Cty. Rd. 18 between Cty. Rd. 37 & US 385, Holyoke, 13000874

## FLORIDA

### Collier County

Old Collier County Courthouse, 102 Copeland Ave. N., Everglades City, 13000875

## GEORGIA

### Fulton County

Capitol View Manor Historic District, Roughly bounded by Norfolk Southern RR, Hillside Dr. SW., I-75, Deckner Ave. SW. & Metropolitan Pkwy. SW., Atlanta, 13000876

### Glynn County

Windsor Park Historic District, Bounded by Lanier Blvd., Walnut Ave., Gloucester & Magnolia Sts., Brunswick, 13000877