

material injury by reason of subsidized imports of steel concrete reinforcing bar from Turkey and LTFV imports of steel concrete reinforcing bar from Mexico and Turkey. Accordingly, effective September 4, 2013, the Commission instituted countervailing duty investigation No. 701-TA-502 and antidumping duty investigation Nos. 731-TA-1227-1228 (Preliminary).

Notice of the institution of the Commission's investigations and of a public conference to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** of September 11, 2013 (78 FR 55755). The conference was held in Washington, DC, on September 25, 2013, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these investigations to the Secretary of Commerce on November 6, 2013.³ The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4432 (November 2013), entitled *Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from Mexico and Turkey: Investigation Nos. 701-TA-502 and 731-TA-1227-1228*.

Issued: November 6, 2013.

By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2013-27069 Filed 11-12-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-898]

Certain Marine Sonar Imaging Devices, Products Containing the Same, and Components Thereof; Institution of Investigation Pursuant to United States Code

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on September 20, 2013, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Navico, Inc. of Tulsa, Oklahoma and Navico Holding

AS of Norway. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain marine sonar imaging devices, products containing the same, and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,305,840 ("the '840 patent") and U.S. Patent No. 8,300,499 ("the '499 patent"). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Office of the Secretary, Docket Services Division, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-1802.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2013).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on November 6, 2013, *ordered that—*

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain marine sonar imaging devices, products containing

the same, and components thereof by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 4, 6-20, 22, 23, 25-27, 29, 32-59, 61, 62, 66, and 68-73 of the '840 patent and claims 1, 2, 17, 19-21, 23-25, 40, 42-44, 59, 62-66, and 69-81 of the '499 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are:

Navico, Inc., 4500 South 129th East Avenue, Suite 200, Tulsa, OK 74134
Navico Holding AS, Nyaskaiveien 2, 4370 Egersund, Norway

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Raymarine, Inc., 9 Townsend West, Nashua, NH 03063
Raymarine UK Ltd., Marine House, Cartwright Drive, Fareham PO15 5RJ, United Kingdom
In-Tech Electronics Ltd., Unit A, 13/F, Wing Tai Centre, 12 Hing Yip St., Kwun Tong, Kowloon, Hong Kong

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

The Office of Unfair Import Investigations will not participate as a party in this investigation.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination

³Due to the lapse in appropriations and ensuing cessation of Commission operations, all import injury investigations conducted under authority of Title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930 have been tolled by 16 days. 78 FR 64011, October 25, 2013.

and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

Issued: November 6, 2013.

By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2013-27070 Filed 11-12-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1103-0016]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comments Requested: Certification of Identity

ACTION: 60-Day notice.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Justice Management Division, will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for "sixty days" until January 13, 2014. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

If you have comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Evie Sassok, 145 N Street NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of

appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Certification of Identity

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection:* Form DOJ-361. Facilities and Administrative Services Staff, Justice Management Division, U.S. Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: American Citizens. Other: Federal Government. The information collection will be used by the Department to identify individuals requesting certain records under the Privacy Act. Without this form an individual cannot obtain the information requested.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* It is estimated that 69,000 respondents will complete each form within approximately 30 minutes.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* There are an estimated total of 34,500 annual burden hours associated with this collection.

If additional information is required contact: Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE., 3W-1407B, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: November 7, 2013.

Jerri Murray,

Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2013-27118 Filed 11-12-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-CW-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Amendment Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

On November 5, 2013, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed consent decree amendment with the United

States District Court for the District of South Carolina in the lawsuit entitled *United States of America v. AILS, LLC, as successor-in-interest to ABCO Industries, Ltd., et al.*, Civil Action No. 6:92-cv-0153-20, regarding the remedial action at the Medley Farm Superfund Site located in Gaffney, Cherokee County, South Carolina ("Site").

In 1992, the Court entered a consent decree in the matter of *United States v. ABCO Industries, Ltd., et al.*, ("1992 Consent Decree") under which defendants agreed to perform the remedial action at the Site consistent with the Environmental Protection Agency's ("EPA's") 1991 Record of Decision. The 1992 Consent Decree required defendants to, among other things, utilize a groundwater pump-and-treat system to address contaminated groundwater. The effectiveness of this system declined through time. In 2012, EPA issued an Amended Record of Decision, amending the groundwater component of the remedy to employ enhanced reductive dechlorination as an active treatment process to address groundwater contamination, and selecting monitored natural attenuation as the contingency remedy. The proposed consent decree amendment includes the revised groundwater cleanup remedy and contingency remedy of the 2012 Amended Record of Decision and addresses other changes such as to the names of defendants. The consent decree amendment was signed by the following defendants: AILS, LLC, as successor-in-interest to ABCO Industries, Ltd.; BASF Corporation; Colonial Heights Packaging Inc.; Ethox Chemicals, LLC; Expert Management Inc. on behalf of National Starch and Chemical Company; Henkel Corporation, as successor-in-interest to Tanner Chemicals, Inc., f/k/a/Evode-Tanner; and Milliken & Company.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the consent decree amendment. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States v. AILS, LLC as successor-in-interest to ABCO Industries, Ltd., et al.*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-11-3-104A. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments:	Send them to:
By email	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>