

available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The BLM prepared the LFO GRSG Draft RMP Amendment/Draft EIS to address a range of alternatives focused on specific conservation measures across the LFO range of the GRSG. This Draft RMP Amendment/Draft EIS is one of 15 separate planning efforts undertaken as part of the BLM's and United States Forest Service's (USFS) National Greater Sage-Grouse Planning Strategy. The Draft RMP Amendment/Draft EIS proposes to amend the RMPs for the LFO. The current management decisions for resources are described in the following RMPs:

- Judith RMP (1994)
- Headwaters RMP (1984)

The planning area includes approximately 7.3 million acres of BLM, USFS, State, local, and private lands located in central Montana, in five counties (Petroleum, Fergus, Judith Basin, Chouteau and Meagher). Within the planning area, the BLM administers approximately 593,995 surface acres and 1,113,841 acres of Federal mineral (subsurface) estate. Management decisions made as a result of this Draft RMP Amendment/Draft EIS will apply only to the BLM-administered lands and Federal mineral estate within two categories of habitat identified in cooperation with Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks:

- Preliminary Priority Habitat (PPH)—Areas identified as having the highest conservation value to maintaining sustainable GRSG populations; include breeding, late brood-rearing and winter concentration areas (233,219 surface acres; 281,748 acres of Federal mineral estate).
- Preliminary General Habitat (PGH)—Areas of seasonal or year-round habitat outside of priority habitat (112,341 surface acres; 175,848 acres of Federal mineral estate).

The formal public scoping process for the RMP Amendment/EIS began on December 9, 2011, with the publication of a Notice of Intent in the **Federal Register** (76 FR 77008). Another notice was published in the **Federal Register** to extend the scoping period until March 19, 2012. The BLM held a scoping open house on January 10, 2012. The BLM used public scoping comments to help identify planning issues that directed the formulation of alternatives and framed the scope of analysis in the Draft RMP Amendment/Draft EIS. The scoping process was also used to introduce the public to preliminary

planning criteria, which set limits on the scope of the Draft RMP Amendment/Draft EIS.

Issues considered in the Draft RMP Amendment/Draft EIS include GRSG habitat, energy and mineral development, recreation, travel management, rights-of-way including transmission, livestock grazing, wildland fire management, vegetation management, drought and climate change, special designations, fish and wildlife, socioeconomics and environmental justice, and agricultural conversion.

The Draft RMP Amendment/Draft EIS evaluates four alternatives in detail, including the No Action Alternative (Alternative A) and three action alternatives (Alternatives B, C and D). The BLM identified Alternative D as the preferred alternative. Identification of this alternative, however, does not represent final agency direction, and the Proposed RMP Amendment may reflect changes or adjustments based on information received during the public comment period, from new information, or from changes in BLM policies or priorities. The Proposed RMP Amendment may include objectives and actions described in the other analyzed alternatives or otherwise within the spectrum of alternatives analyzed.

Alternative A would retain the current management goals, objectives, and direction specified in the current RMPs for the LFO. Alternative B includes conservation measures from the Sage-Grouse National Technical Team Report. Alternative C includes conservation measures various conservation groups submitted to the BLM. Alternative D includes conservation measures the BLM developed with the cooperating agencies.

Pursuant to 43 CFR 1610.7–2(b), this notice announces a concurrent public comment period on proposed Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC). One ACEC is proposed in Alternative C. The Sage-Grouse Habitat ACEC (approximately 96,000 acres) would include the following resource use limitations if it were formally designated:

Designate as a Right-of-Way exclusion area; close to livestock grazing; allow vegetation treatments only for the benefit of GRSG; and recommend for withdrawal from mineral entry.

Please note that public comments and information submitted including names, street addresses and email addresses of persons who submit comments will be available for public review and disclosure at the above address during regular business hours (7:30 a.m. to 4:30

p.m.), Monday through Friday, except holidays.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

**Authority:** 40 CFR 1506.6, 40 CFR 1506.10, 43 CFR 1610.2.

**Katherine P. Kitchell,**

*Acting State Director.*

[FR Doc. 2013–26867 Filed 11–7–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-DN-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

[NPS–MWR–OZAR–13117;  
PX.P0097321D.00.1]

### Notice of Availability of the Draft General Management Plan/Wilderness Study/Environmental Impact Statement for the Ozark National Scenic Riverways, Missouri

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Availability.

**SUMMARY:** The National Park Service (NPS) announces the availability of the Draft General Management Plan/Wilderness Study/Environmental Impact Statement (GMP/WS/EIS) for the Ozark National Scenic Riverways (Riverways) in Missouri.

**DATES:** The Draft GMP/WS/EIS will remain available for public review and comment for 60 days following the publishing of the Notice of Availability in the **Federal Register** by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the Draft GMP/WS/EIS will be available to the public by request by writing to the Superintendent, Ozark National Scenic Riverways, 404 Watercrest Drive, PO Box 490, Van Buren, MO 63965. The document is available on the Internet at the NPS Planning, Environment, and Public Comment Web site (PEPC) at <http://www.parkplanning.nps.gov/ozar>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Superintendent Bill Black, at the address above, or by telephone at 573–323–4236.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** We, the NPS, announce the availability of the Draft GMP/WS/EIS for the Riverways.

This plan will guide the management of the Riverways for the next 15 to 20 years. The GMP/WS/EIS considers and describes four draft conceptual alternatives—a no-action and three action alternatives, including the NPS preferred alternative. The anticipated environmental impacts of these alternatives are also analyzed.

The no-action alternative would extend existing conditions and management trends into the future. This no-action alternative serves as a basis of comparison for evaluating the action alternatives. The NPS would maintain the Big Spring Wilderness Study Area's primitive, natural character to maintain its wilderness eligibility.

Alternative A would focus on creating visitor experiences and providing resource conditions that help visitors better understand the riverways of the past, including traditional river recreation activities reminiscent of those that occurred when the Riverways was established. Management would emphasize greater opportunities for traditional, non-mechanized forms of recreation and visitor experiences that are quieter, less crowded, and slower paced. Management would also focus on protecting natural resources and systems. Under this alternative, most of the Big Spring Wilderness Study Area would be recommended for wilderness designation.

Alternative B, the NPS preferred alternative, would enhance opportunities for visitors to discover and learn about the natural wonders and Ozark heritage of the Riverways, while maintaining a mix of traditional recreational and commercial activities. Emphasis would be placed on increasing opportunities for visitor education and connections to natural resources and cultural landscapes. Most of the Big Spring Wilderness Study Area would be recommended for wilderness designation.

Alternative C would seek to provide a diversity of outdoor recreational opportunities and experiences while maintaining the highly scenic natural setting and cultural resources. The Riverways would be managed to support higher levels and diverse types of recreational opportunities, with a focus on more intensive management to ensure that excessive impacts on resources or public safety would not occur. In addition, land-based recreational opportunities would be increased under this alternative. Approximately half of the Big Spring Wilderness Study Area would be recommended for wilderness designation.

The Draft GMP/WS/EIS focuses on key natural and cultural resources, visitor uses and experiences, soundscapes, park operations, and socioeconomic characteristics that have the potential to be affected if any of the alternatives were implemented.

If you wish to comment, you may submit your comment by any one of several methods. You are encouraged to submit comments via the PEPC Web site at the address above. You may mail comments to the National Park Service, Chris Church, Project Manager, Denver Service Center Planning Division, P.O. Box 25287, Denver, CO 80225. Finally, you may mail comments to the Superintendent at the address above. Before including your address, telephone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comments, you should be aware that your entire comment (including your personal identifying information) may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comments to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials, of organizations or businesses, available for public inspection in their entirety.

Dated: May 16, 2013.

**Michael T. Reynolds,**

*Regional Director, Midwest Region.*

[FR Doc. 2013-26872 Filed 11-7-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-MA-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Office of Natural Resources Revenue

**[Docket No. ONRR-2011-0001; DS63610300 DR2PS0000.CH7000 134D0102R2]**

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Submitted for Office of Management and Budget Review; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, Office of Natural Resources Revenue (ONRR).

**ACTION:** Notice of an extension of a currently approved information collection (OMB Control Number 1012-0010).

**SUMMARY:** To comply with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), the Office of Natural Resources Revenue (ONRR) is notifying the public that we have submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) an information collection request (ICR) to renew approval of the paperwork

requirements in the regulations under title 30, *Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR), parts 1202, 1206, 1210, 1212, 1217, and 1218. This ICR pertains to royalty and production reporting on solid minerals and geothermal leases on Federal and Indian lands. There are three forms associated with this information collection: ONRR-4430, ONRR-4292, and ONRR-4293. This notice also provides the public with a second opportunity to comment on the paperwork burden of these regulatory requirements.

**DATES:** OMB has up to 60 days to approve or disapprove the information collection request but may respond after 30 days; therefore, you should submit your public comments to OMB by December 9, 2013 for the assurance of consideration.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for the Department of Interior (1012-0010), by telefax at (202) 395-5806 or via email to [OIRA\\_Submission@omb.eop.gov](mailto:OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov). Also, please send a copy of your comments to Armand Southall, Regulatory Specialist, Office of Natural Resources Revenue, P.O. Box 25165, MS 61030A, Denver, Colorado 80225. Please reference "ICR 1012-0010" in your comments.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Armand Southall, Regulatory Specialist, email [Armand.Southall@onrr.gov](mailto:Armand.Southall@onrr.gov). You may also contact Mr. Southall to obtain copies, at no cost, of (1) the ICR, (2) any associated forms, and (3) the regulations that require us to collect the information. To see a copy of the entire ICR submitted to OMB, go to <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/PRAMain> and select "Information Collection Review," then select "Department of the Interior" in the drop-down box under "Currently Under Review."

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title:* Solid Minerals and Geothermal Collections—30 CFR Parts 1202, 1206, 1210, 1212, 1217, and 1218.

*OMB Control Number:* 1012-0010.

*Bureau Form Number:* Forms ONRR-4430, ONRR-4292, and ONRR-4293.

*Abstract:* The Secretary of the United States Department of the Interior is responsible for mineral resource development on Federal and Indian lands and the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS). The Secretary's responsibility, according to various laws, is to (1) manage mineral resource production from Federal and Indian lands and the OCS, (2) collect the royalties and other mineral revenues due, and (3) distribute the funds collected under those laws. We have posted the laws pertaining to