

- Rights Effects of Regulatory and Other Barriers to Small Businesses”
  - Update on Status of the “Civil Rights Implications of Eminent Domain Abuse” report
  - Update on Status of the “Assessing the Impact of Criminal Background Checks and the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission’s Conviction Records Policy” report
  - Discussion and Vote on the 2014 Statutory Enforcement Report topic
  - Discussion and Vote to schedule two briefings for 2014: the Statutory Enforcement Report Topic and the “Enforcing the Americans with Disabilities Act Online”
  - Results of the telephonic vote held on July 21, 2013 re: the Findings and Recommendations for the 2013 Statutory Enforcement Report
  - Proposals for the Commemoration of the 13th and 14th Amendments
  - Consideration of the inquiry letter to the Department of Defense on behalf of Sikh military members
- IV. Management and Operations
- Staff Director’s Report
- V. Adjourn Meeting

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
 Contact Person for Further Information:  
 Lenore Ostrowsky, Acting Chief,  
 Public Affairs Unit (202) 376–8591.  
 Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact Pamela Dunston at (202) 376–8105 or at [signlanguage@uscrr.gov](mailto:signlanguage@uscrr.gov) at least seven business days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

Dated: November 4, 2013.  
**David Mussatt,**  
*Acting RPCU Chief.*  
 [FR Doc. 2013–26777 Filed 11–5–13; 11:15 am]  
**BILLING CODE 6335–01–P**

**ACTION:** Notice and opportunity for public comment.

Pursuant to Section 251 of the Trade Act 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2341 et seq.), the Economic Development Administration (EDA) has received petitions for certification of eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance from the firms listed below. Accordingly, EDA has initiated investigations to determine whether increased imports into the United States of articles like or directly competitive with those produced by each of these firms contributed importantly to the total or partial separation of the firm’s workers, or threat thereof, and to a decrease in sales or production of each petitioning firm.

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**Economic Development Administration**

**Notice of Petitions by Firms for Determination of Eligibility To Apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance**

**AGENCY:** Economic Development Administration, Department of Commerce.

**LIST OF PETITIONS RECEIVED BY EDA FOR CERTIFICATION ELIGIBILITY TO APPLY FOR TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE**  
 [10/18/2013 through 10/31/2013]

Firm name	Firm address	Date accepted for investigation	Product(s)
Futura Industries Corporation .....	Freeport Center Bldg H–11, Clearfield, UT 84016.	10/29/2013	The firm is a manufacturer of extruded aluminum framing systems.
Iffel International, Inc. ....	14041 Rosecrans Avenue, La Mirada, CT 90638.	10/29/2013	The firm is a full service marketing firm.

Any party having a substantial interest in these proceedings may request a public hearing on the matter. A written request for a hearing must be submitted to the Trade Adjustment Assistance for Firms Division, Room 71030, Economic Development Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230, no later than ten (10) calendar days following publication of this notice.

Please follow the requirements set forth in EDA’s regulations at 13 CFR 315.9 for procedures to request a public hearing. The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance official number and title for the program under which these petitions are submitted is 11.313, Trade Adjustment Assistance for Firms.

Dated: October 31, 2013.

**Michael DeVillo,**

*Eligibility Examiner.*

[FR Doc. 2013–26685 Filed 11–6–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–WH–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**International Trade Administration**

[A–570–998, C–570–999]

**Notice of Extension of the Deadline for Determining the Adequacy of the Antidumping Duty and Countervailing Duty Petitions: 1,1,1,2-Tetrafluoroethane From the People’s Republic of China**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement & Compliance, formerly Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* November 7, 2013.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
 Frances Veith or Katie Marksberry, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230;

telephone: (202) 482–4295 or (202) 482–7906, respectively.

**Extension of Initiation of Investigations The Petitions**

On October 22, 2013, the Department of Commerce (“Department”) received an antidumping duty and countervailing duty petition filed by Mexichem Fluor, Inc. (“Petitioner”) on behalf of the domestic industry producing 1,1,1,2-Tetrafluoroethane.<sup>1</sup>

**Determination of Industry Support for the Petitions**

Sections 702(b)(1) and 732(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“Act”), require that a petition be filed by or on behalf of the domestic industry. Sections 702(c)(4)(A) and 732(c)(4)(A) of the Act provide that the Department’s industry support determination be based on whether a minimum

<sup>1</sup> See *Antidumping Duty Petition on 1,1,1,2-Tetrafluoroethane from the People’s Republic of China* (October 22, 2013) (Petition).

percentage of the relevant industry supports the petition. A petition meets this requirement if the domestic producers or workers who support the petition account for: (i) At least 25 percent of the total production of the domestic like product; and (ii) more than 50 percent of the production of the domestic like product produced by that portion of the industry expressing support for, or opposition to, the petition. Moreover, sections 702(c)(4)(D) and 732(c)(4)(D) of the Act provide that, if the petition does not establish support of domestic producers or workers accounting for more than 50 percent of the total production of the domestic like product, the Department shall: (i) Poll the industry or rely on other information in order to determine if there is support for the petition, as required by subparagraph (A), or (ii) if there is a large number of producers, determine industry support using a statistically valid sampling method to poll the industry.

#### Extension of Time

Sections 702(c)(1)(A)(ii) and 732(c)(1)(A)(ii) of the Act provide that within 20 days of the filing of an antidumping duty and countervailing duty petition, the Department will determine, *inter alia*, whether the petition has been filed by or on behalf of the U.S. industry producing the domestic like product. Sections 702(c)(1)(B) and 732(c)(1)(B) of the Act provide that the deadline for the initiation determination, in exceptional circumstances, may be extended by 20 days in any case in which the Department must “poll or otherwise determine support for the petition by the industry.” Because it is not clear from the Petitions whether the industry support criteria have been met, the Department has determined it should extend the time for initiating these investigations in order to further examine the issue of industry support.

The Department will need additional time to gather and analyze additional information regarding industry support. Therefore, it is necessary to extend the deadline determining the adequacy of the Petitions for a period not to exceed 40 days from the filing of the Petition. Because the extended initiation determinations date of December 1, 2013, falls on a Sunday, a non-business day, the Department’s initiation determinations will now be due no later than December 2, 2013, the next business day.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup> See Notice of Clarification: Application of “Next Business Day” Rule for Administrative

#### International Trade Commission Notification

The Department will contact the International Trade Commission (“ITC”) and will make this extension notice available to the ITC.

Dated: November 1, 2013.

#### Christian Marsh,

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2013–26730 Filed 11–6–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### International Trade Administration

[A–570–967, C–570–968]

#### Aluminum Extrusions From the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Reviews, and Intent To Revoke Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders in Part

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, formerly Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective:* November 7, 2013.

**SUMMARY:** On June 20, 2013, the Department of Commerce (Department) received a request for changed circumstances reviews and a request to revoke, in part, the antidumping (AD) and countervailing duty (CVD) orders on aluminum extrusions from the People’s Republic of China (PRC),<sup>1</sup> with respect to certain rectangular wire. We published the notice of initiation of changed circumstances reviews on August 20, 2013 and invited comments from interested parties. We received no comments. We preliminarily conclude that changed circumstances warrant the revocation of the *Orders*, in part. Specifically, we preliminarily determine that producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product to which these *Orders* pertain lack interest in the relief provided by the AD and CVD *Orders* based on a statement of no interest in the continuation of the *Orders* with respect to certain rectangular wire described below. Accordingly, we are notifying the public of our intent to revoke, in part, these *Orders* as to imports of certain rectangular wire

*Determination Deadlines Pursuant to Tariff Act of 1930, As Amended*, 70 FR 24533 (May 10, 2005).

<sup>1</sup> See *Aluminum Extrusions from the People’s Republic of China: Antidumping Duty Order*, 76 FR 30650 (May 26, 2011) and *Aluminum Extrusions From the People’s Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Order*, 76 FR 30653 (May 26, 2011) (together, the *Orders*).

described below. The Department invites interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** James Terpstra, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–3965.

#### Background

On May 26, 2011, the Department published the AD and CVD *Orders* in the **Federal Register**. On June 20, 2013, the Department received a request on behalf of 3M Company (3M) for changed circumstances reviews to revoke, in part, the *Orders* with respect to certain rectangular wire imported by 3M. In its request, 3M attached a letter submitted on behalf of the Aluminum Extrusion Fair Trade Committee (AEFTC), the petitioners in the less-than-fair-value and CVD investigations, and the Aluminum Extrusion Council (AEC), in which representatives of the AEFTC and AEC stated that they no longer have interest in maintaining the *Orders* with respect to certain rectangular wire-identified in 3M’s request for the changed circumstances reviews.

On July 2, 2013, 3M filed a letter containing a clarification from the AEFTC and AEC in which they stated that they no longer have interest in maintaining the *Orders* with respect to certain rectangular wire, regardless of whether 3M or another party imports it. On August 20, 2013, we published a notice of initiation of these changed circumstances reviews.<sup>2</sup> Because the statement provided by the AEC and offered in support of 3M’s request for changed circumstances reviews did not indicate whether the AEC accounts for substantially all of domestic aluminum extrusion production, in the *Initiation Notice*, we invited interested parties to comment on the Department’s initiation. We received no comments from interested parties.

#### Scope of the Orders

The merchandise covered by these *Orders* is aluminum extrusions which are shapes and forms, produced by an extrusion process, made from aluminum alloys having metallic elements corresponding to the alloy series designations published by The Aluminum Association commencing with the numbers 1, 3, and 6 (or

<sup>2</sup> See *Aluminum Extrusions from the People’s Republic of China: Initiation of Changed Circumstance Reviews and Consideration of Revocation of the Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders in Part*, 78 FR 51143 (August 20, 2013) (*Initiation Notice*).