Type of respondent	Reporting/ recordkeeping req't	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Total number of responses	Average burden hours per response	Estimated total annual burden	Estimated total annual cost
		(A)	(B)	(A)×(B)=(C)	(D)	(C)×(D)	(see below)
New Entities (GO, TO, DP).	Developing Operating Plan (Yr 1 Only).	236	1	236	8	1,888	\$113,280.00
	Reporting Event (Yr 1, 2, and 3).	59	1	59	0.17	10.03	601.80
Entities Subject to Existing Re- porting Re- quirements.	Conforming Operating Plan to New Thresholds (Yr 1 Only).	1164	1	1164	2	2,328	139,680.00
	Reporting Event (using new form) (Yrs 1, 2, and 3).	291	1	291	-0.33	<b>-96.03</b>	(5,761.80)
Total for Year 1 ⁵.						4,130	247,800
Total for each of Years 2 & 3.						- 86 <sup>6</sup>	(5,160)

The estimated breakdown of annual cost is as follows:

- Year 1
  - New Entities, Development of Operating Plan: 236 entities \* 1 response/entity \* (8 hours/response \* \$60/hour <sup>7</sup>) = \$113,280.
  - New Entities, Event Reporting: 59
    entities \* 1 response/entity \* (.17
    hours/response \* \$60/hour) =
    \$601.80.
  - Current Responsible Entities,
     Conforming Operating Plan: 1164
     entities \* 1 response/entity \* (2
     hours/response \* \$60/hour) =
     \$139,680.
  - Current Responsible Entities, Event Reporting Using New Event Reporting Form: 291 entities \* 1 response/entity \* [(.17 hours/response—.5 hours/response) 8 \* \$60/hour] = (\$5,761.80).
- Year 2 and ongoing
- O New Entities, Using "Event

- Reporting Form": 59 entities \* 1 response/entity \* (.17 hours/ response \* \$60/hour) = \$601.80.
- Old Entities, Using "Event Reporting Form": 291 entities \* 1 response/entity \* [(.17 hours/ response—.5 hours/response) \* \$60/hour] = (\$5,761.80).

Comments: Comments are invited on: (1) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden and cost of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Dated: September 16, 2013.

## Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013–23004 Filed 9–20–13; 8:45 am]

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

# Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. IC13-16-000]

## Commission Information Collection Activities (FERC-604); Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, DOE.

**ACTION:** Comment request.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 3507(a)(1)(D), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission or FERC) is submitting the information collection FERC-604 (Cash Management Agreements) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review of the information collection requirements. Any interested person may file comments directly with OMB and should address a copy of those comments to the Commission as explained below. The Commission issued a Notice in the Federal Register (78 FR 29359, 5/20/2013) requesting public comments. FERC received no comments on the FERC-604 and is making this notation in its submittal to

**DATES:** Comments on the collection of information are due by October 23, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Comments filed with OMB (identified by FERC–604 and Docket No. IC13–16–000) should be sent via email to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs: oira\_submission@

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Year 1 costs include implementation costs for entities that must comply with the standard for the first time, plus the cost for entities that are currently subject to NERC event reporting requirements to review and make changes to their existing plans. The Year 1 total also includes the savings from the reduction in reporting time due to the new Event Reporting Form.

 $<sup>^6</sup>$  In the Order approving the EOP Reliability Standard we incorrectly used "-81" in this cell.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>For the burden categories above, the estimated hourly loaded cost (salary plus benefits) for an engineer was assumed to be \$60/hour, based on salaries as reported by the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) (http://bls.gov/oes/current/naics2\_22.htm). Loaded costs are BLS rates divided by 0.703 and rounded to the nearest dollar (http://www.bls.gov/news.release/eccc.nr0.htm).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> It is estimated that the average time to complete the required event report under Reliability Standard EOP–004–1 is 30 minutes, versus an estimated 10 minutes under Reliability Standard EOP–004–2.

omb.gov. Attention: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Desk Officer. The Desk Officer may also be reached via telephone at 202-395-4718.

A copy of the comments should also be sent to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (identified by the Docket No. IC13-16-000) by either of the following methods:

• eFiling at Commission's Web site: http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/ efiling.asp.

• Mail/Hand Delivery/Courier: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Secretary of the Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426.

Instructions: All submissions must be formatted and filed in accordance with submission guidelines at: http:// www.ferc.gov/help/submissionguide.asp. For user assistance contact FERC Online Support by email at ferconlinesupport@ferc.gov, or by phone at: (866) 208–3676 (toll-free), or (202) 502-8659 for TTY.

Docket: Users interested in receiving automatic notification of activity in this docket or in viewing/downloading comments and issuances in this docket may do so at http://www.ferc.gov/docsfiling/docs-filing.asp.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ellen Brown may be reached by email at DataClearance@FERC.gov, by

telephone at (202) 502-8663, and by fax at (202) 273-0873.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: FERC-604, Cash Management Agreements.

OMB Control No.: To be determined. Type of Request: Three-year approval of the FERC–604 information collection requirements with no changes to the reporting requirements.

Abstract: Cash management or "money pool" programs typically concentrate affiliates' cash assets in joint accounts for the purpose of providing financial flexibility and lowering the cost of borrowing

In a 2001 investigation, FERC staff found that balances in cash management programs affecting FERC-regulated entities totaled approximately \$16 billion. Additionally, other investigations revealed large transfers of funds (amounting to more than \$1 billion) between regulated pipeline affiliates and non-regulated parents whose financial conditions were precarious. The Commission found that these and other fund transfers and the enormous (mostly unregulated) pools of money in cash management programs could detrimentally affect regulated rates.

To protect customers and promote transparency, the Commission issued Order 634-A (2003) requiring entities to formalize in writing and file with the Commission their cash management agreements. The Commission obtained OMB clearance for this new reporting requirement under the FERC-555 information collection (OMB Control No. 1902-0098). However, in subsequent extension requests to OMB for the FERC-555 collection, the Commission failed to include the cash management agreement reporting burden as part of the estimates. In this proceeding, the Commission rectifies the omission by seeking public comment on the reporting requirement in order to update the OMB clearance for cash management agreement filings. The Commission intends to put the reporting requirements under the collection number "FERC-604" and request a new OMB Control Number.

The Commission implemented these requirements in 18 CFR 141.500, 260.400, and 357.5.

Type of Respondents: Public utilities and licensees, natural gas companies, and oil pipeline companies.

Estimate of Annual Burden 1: The Commission estimates the total Public Reporting Burden for this information collection as:

#### FERC-604: CASH MANAGEMENT AGREEMENTS

	Number of respondents	Annual number of responses per respondent	Annual total number of responses	Average annual burden hours per response	Estimated total annual burden
	A	В	$(A) \times (B) = (C)$	D	(C) × (D)
Public utilities and licensees, natural gas companies, and oil pipeline companies	<sup>2</sup> 25	1	25	1.5	37.5

The total estimated annual cost burden to respondents is \$2,625 [37.5] hours \* \$70 per hour  $^3$  = \$2,625].

Comments: Comments are invited on: (1) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden and cost of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those

who are to respond, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Dated: September 16, 2013.

#### Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013-23002 Filed 9-20-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

collection burden, reference 5 Code of Federal Regulations 1320.3.

# **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

## Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

## **Combined Notice of Filings #1**

Take notice that the Commission received the following electric corporate filings

Docket Numbers: EC13-146-000. Applicants: Red Oak Power, LLC. Description: Red Oak Power, LLC's Section 203 Application for Authorization of Disposition of Jurisdictional Facilities. Filed Date: 9/13/13.

Accession Number: 20130913-5125.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Burden is defined as the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. For further explanation of what is included in the information

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This figure is based on the number of filings received by the Commission for cash management agreements over the last several years.

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$  This is a loaded cost (wages plus benefits) for a full-time employee.