

*Reason:* Voluntary Surrender of License.

**James A. Nussbaumer,**

*Deputy Director, Bureau of Certification and Licensing.*

[FR Doc. 2013–21033 Filed 8–28–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6730-01-P**

## FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

### Change in Bank Control Notices; Acquisitions of Shares of a Bank or Bank Holding Company

The notificants listed below have applied under the Change in Bank Control Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and § 225.41 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.41) to acquire shares of a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the notices are set forth in paragraph 7 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(7)).

The notices are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The notices also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank indicated for that notice or to the offices of the Board of Governors. Comments must be received not later than September 13, 2013.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia (William Lang, Senior Vice President) 100 North 6th Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19105–1521:

1. *Jane Anne Ferrier, individually, and as trustee and sole beneficiary of the Ferrier Family Trust 2; Thomas L. Ferrier and Jane A. Ferrier, all of San Diego, California, individually and as trustees and beneficiaries of the Ferrier Family Trust 3; Sharon F. Risse, San Diego, California, individually and as trustee and sole beneficiary of the Sharon Risse Trust; Andrew P. Ferrier, San Francisco, California, individually and as trustee and sole beneficiary of the Andrew Ferrier Trust; all together a group acting in concert, to acquire voting shares of First Community Financial Corporation, and thereby indirectly acquire voting shares of First National Bank of Mifflintown, both in Mifflintown, Pennsylvania.*

B. Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago (Colette A. Fried, Assistant Vice President) 230 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois 60690–1414:

1. *Jay Douglas Bergman, Joliet, Illinois; to acquire voting shares of Community Holdings Corp., Palos Hills, Illinois, and thereby indirectly acquire voting shares of Firstsecure Bank and Trust Company, Palos Hills, Illinois.*

C. Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City (Dennis Denney, Assistant Vice President) 1 Memorial Drive, Kansas City, Missouri 64198–0001:

1. *Clea Alsip, Brooklyn, New York; Patti Janese Hager, Edmond, Oklahoma; Zela Mae Hanson, Tulsa, Oklahoma; Patricia Ann McCortney, Farmers Branch, Texas; Vicki Lynn Patton and Jerry Scott Grandchildren's Trust, both of Ada, Oklahoma; Kamberly Dawn or Richard Clay Skoch, Yukon, Oklahoma; and Tammy Key, Sulphur, Oklahoma, as shareholders and members to the Vision Bancshares, Inc. Voting Agreement; to retain voting shares of Vision Bancshares, Inc., and thereby indirectly retain voting shares of Vision Bank, National Association, both in Ada, Oklahoma.*

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, August 26, 2013.

**Michael J. Lewandowski,**

*Associate Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc. 2013–21100 Filed 8–28–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6210-01-P**

## FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

### Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied to the Board for approval, pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1841 *et seq.*) (BHC Act), Regulation Y (12 CFR part 225), and all other applicable statutes and regulations to become a bank holding company and/or to acquire the assets or the ownership of, control of, or the power to vote shares of a bank or bank holding company and all of the banks and nonbanking companies owned by the bank holding company, including the companies listed below.

The applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The applications will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)). If the proposal also involves the acquisition of a nonbanking company, the review also includes whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company complies with the standards in section 4 of the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1843). Unless otherwise noted, nonbanking activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of

Governors not later than September 23, 2013.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta (Chapelle Davis, Assistant Vice President) 1000 Peachtree Street, NE., Atlanta, Georgia 30309:

1. *CenterState Banks, Inc., Davenport, Florida; to merge with Gulfstream Bancshares, Inc., and thereby indirectly acquire Gulfstream Business Bank, both in Stuart, Florida.*

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, August 26, 2013.

**Michael J. Lewandowski,**

*Associate Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc. 2013–21101 Filed 8–28–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6210-01-P**

## FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Docket No. 9348]

### Phoebe Putney Health System, Inc., et al.; Analysis of Proposed Agreement Containing Consent Order to Aid Public Comment

**AGENCY:** Federal Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed consent agreement.

**SUMMARY:** The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices or unfair methods of competition. The attached Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the draft complaint and the terms of the consent order—embodied in the consent agreement—that would settle these allegations.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before September 23, 2013.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested parties may file a comment at <https://ftcpublic.commentworks.com/ftc/phoebeputneyhospcconsent> online or on paper, by following the instructions in the Request for Comment part of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section below. Write “Phoebe Putney, Docket No. 9348” on your comment and file your comment online at <https://ftcpublic.commentworks.com/ftc/phoebeputneyhospcconsent> by following the instructions on the web-based form. If you prefer to file your comment on paper, mail or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Room H–113 (Annex D), 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20580.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Maria M. DiMoscato (202–326–2315), FTC, Bureau of Competition, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20580.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to Section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and FTC Rule 3.25, 16 CFR 3.25, notice is hereby given that the above-captioned consent agreement containing a consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days. The following Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreement, and the allegations in the complaint. An electronic copy of the full text of the consent agreement package can be obtained from the FTC Home Page (for August 22, 2013), on the World Wide Web, at <http://www.ftc.gov/os/actions.shtm>. A paper copy can be obtained from the FTC Public Reference Room, Room 130-H, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20580, either in person or by calling (202) 326-2222.

You can file a comment online or on paper. For the Commission to consider your comment, we must receive it on or before September 23, 2013. Write "Phoebe Putney, Docket No. 9348" on your comment. Your comment, including your name and your state, will be placed on the public record of this proceeding, including, to the extent practicable, on the public Commission Web site, at <http://www.ftc.gov/os/publiccomments.shtm>. As a matter of discretion, the Commission tries to remove individuals' home contact information from comments before placing them on the Commission Web site.

Because your comment will be made public, you are solely responsible for making sure that your comment does not include any sensitive personal information, like anyone's Social Security number, date of birth, driver's license number or other state identification number or foreign country equivalent, passport number, financial account number, or credit or debit card number. You are also solely responsible for making sure that your comment does not include any sensitive health information, like medical records or other individually identifiable health information. In addition, do not include any "[t]rade secret or any commercial or financial information which . . . is privileged or confidential," as discussed in Section 6(f) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and FTC Rule 4.10(a)(2), 16 CFR 4.10(a)(2). In particular, do not include competitively sensitive information such as costs, sales statistics, inventories, formulas, patterns, devices, manufacturing processes, or customer names.

If you want the Commission to give your comment confidential treatment, you must file it in paper form, with a request for confidential treatment, and you have to follow the procedure explained in FTC Rule 4.9(c), 16 CFR 4.9(c).<sup>1</sup> Your comment will be kept confidential only if the FTC General Counsel grants your request in accordance with the law and the public interest.

Postal mail addressed to the Commission is subject to delay due to heightened security screening. As a result, we encourage you to submit your comments online. To make sure that the Commission considers your online comment, you must file it at <https://ftcpublic.commentworks.com/ftc/phoebeputneyhospsconsent> by following the instructions on the web-based form. If this Notice appears at <http://www.regulations.gov/#!/home>, you also may file a comment through that Web site.

If you file your comment on paper, write "Phoebe Putney, Docket No. 9348" on your comment and on the envelope, and mail or deliver it to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Room H-113 (Annex D), 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20580. If possible, submit your paper comment to the Commission by courier or overnight service.

Visit the Commission Web site at <http://www.ftc.gov> to read this Notice and the news release describing it. The FTC Act and other laws that the Commission administers permit the collection of public comments to consider and use in this proceeding as appropriate. The Commission will consider all timely and responsive public comments that it receives on or before September 23, 2013. You can find more information, including routine uses permitted by the Privacy Act, in the Commission's privacy policy, at <http://www.ftc.gov/ftc/privacy.htm>.

### **Analysis of Agreement Containing Consent Order to Aid Public Comment**

#### *I. Introduction*

The Federal Trade Commission ("Commission") has accepted, subject to final approval, an Agreement Containing Consent Order ("Consent Agreement") from Respondents Phoebe Putney Health System, Inc. ("PPHS"), Phoebe Putney Memorial Hospital, Inc.

("PPMH"), Phoebe North, Inc. ("Phoebe North") (collectively "Phoebe Putney"), HCA Inc. ("HCA"), Palmyra Park Hospital, Inc. ("Palmyra"), and the Hospital Authority of Albany-Dougherty County ("Hospital Authority") in settlement of administrative litigation challenging the Hospital Authority's acquisition of Palmyra from HCA and subsequent transfer of all management control of Palmyra to Phoebe Putney under a long-term lease arrangement (the "Transaction").

The circumstances in this matter are highly unusual and the Commission's discontinuation of litigation and settlement of this case on the proposed terms are acceptable to the Commission only under the unique circumstances presented here. In particular, as described further below, the Commission believes that, assuming a finding of liability following a full merits trial and appeals, the legal and practical challenges presented by Georgia's certificate of need ("CON") laws and regulations would very likely prevent a divestiture of hospital assets from being effectuated to restore competition. The Commission has declined to seek price cap or other non-structural relief, as such remedies are typically insufficient to replicate pre-merger competition, often involve monitoring costs, are unlikely to address significant harms from lost quality competition, and may even dampen incentives to maintain and improve healthcare quality.

Accordingly, the proposed Consent Agreement, among other things, contains for settlement purposes a stipulation from Respondents Phoebe Putney and Hospital Authority that the effect of the consummated Transaction may be substantially to lessen competition within the relevant service and geographic markets alleged in the Administrative Complaint dated April 20, 2011 ("Complaint"). The Consent Agreement also requires Respondents Phoebe Putney and Hospital Authority to provide the Commission prior notice of any acquisition of certain healthcare providers in the six-county area around Albany, Georgia, including other general acute-care hospitals, inpatient and outpatient facilities, and physician practices with five (5) physicians or more. Finally, the Consent Agreement restricts Respondents Phoebe Putney and Hospital Authority from raising any objections to or negative comments about CON applications for general acute-care hospitals in the six-county area surrounding Albany, Georgia. Additionally, the Consent Agreement requires Phoebe Putney and the Hospital Authority to provide copies of

<sup>1</sup> In particular, the written request for confidential treatment that accompanies the comment must include the factual and legal basis for the request, and must identify the specific portions of the comment to be withheld from the public record. See FTC Rule 4.9(c), 16 CFR 4.9(c).

any objections they file in connection with a CON application for an inpatient or outpatient clinic providing any of the services provided by Phoebe Putney or the Hospital Authority in the six-county area around Albany, Georgia within five (5) days of its submission to the Georgia Department of Community Health (“DCH”).

The Consent Agreement has been placed on the public record for thirty (30) days to solicit comments from interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After thirty (30) days, the Commission will again review the proposed Consent Agreement and will decide whether it should withdraw from the proposed Consent Agreement, modify it, or make it final and issue its Decision and Order (“Order”).

## II. The Parties

PPHS is a non-profit Georgia corporation consisting of several hospitals and other health care facilities in southwest Georgia with its principal place of business located at 417 Third Avenue, Albany, Georgia 31701. In 2011, total annual patient revenues for PPHS at all of its facilities were over \$1.6 billion. PPMH is a non-profit Georgia corporation, wholly-owned by PPHS, which operates a 443-bed general acute-care hospital with its principal place of business located at 417 Third Avenue, Albany, Georgia 31701. Opened in 1911, PPMH offers a full range of general acute-care hospital services, as well as emergency care services, tertiary care services, and outpatient services.

Respondent Hospital Authority is organized and exists pursuant to the Georgia Hospital Authorities Law, O.C.G.A. sections 31–7–70 et seq., and maintains its principal place of business at 417 Third Avenue, Albany, Georgia 31701. The Hospital Authority is composed of nine volunteer members appointed to five-year terms by the Dougherty County Commission, and has no employees, no staff, and no budget. Since 2012, the Hospital Authority holds title to both PPMH and the former Palmyra assets (now known as Phoebe North) and has entered into a single, long-term lease covering both of these facilities with PPMH at the rate of \$1 per year.

HCA, a Delaware for-profit corporation, is one of the leading health care services companies in the United States with its principal place of business located at One Park Plaza, Nashville, Tennessee 37203. As of December 31, 2012, HCA operated 162 hospitals, comprised of 156 general acute-care hospitals; five psychiatric

hospitals; and one rehabilitation hospital. In addition, HCA operates 112 freestanding surgery centers. HCA’s facilities are located in 20 states and England. Prior to the acquisition, Palmyra, a 248-bed general acute-care hospital located 1.6 miles from PPMH, was owned and operated by HCA. Palmyra was a Georgia corporation with its principal place of business at 2000 Palmyra Road, Albany, Georgia 31701. Opened in 1971, Palmyra provided a wide range of general acute-care services.

## III. The Acquisition

The Commission issued its Complaint in April 2011 charging that the Transaction violates Section 7 of the Clayton Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 18, and Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended 15 U.S.C. 45, by lessening competition for the provision of inpatient general acute-care hospital services sold to commercial health plans in Albany and the surrounding six-county area. The Commission also filed a complaint for temporary and preliminary relief, pursuant to Section 13(b) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. 53(b), and Section 16 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 26, in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Georgia. On June 27, 2011, U.S. District Court Judge W. Louis Sands granted the defendants’ motion to dismiss, holding that the state action doctrine immunized the Transaction from federal antitrust scrutiny. On appeal by the Commission, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit affirmed the district court’s dismissal on state action grounds, although agreeing that, “on the facts alleged, the joint operation of [PPMH] and Palmyra would substantially lessen competition or tend to create, if not create, a monopoly.” The Court of Appeals dissolved its injunction pending appeal, and the Transaction was consummated on December 15, 2011. Subsequently, the Georgia DCH granted Phoebe Putney’s request for a new, single license covering both Albany hospitals, PPMH and Palmyra, effective August 1, 2012.

Seeking judicial review of the Eleventh Circuit’s ruling, the Commission filed a petition for certiorari, which the U.S. Supreme Court granted on June 25, 2012. On February 19, 2013, in a unanimous decision, the Court reversed the judgment of the Eleventh Circuit, holding that state action did not immunize the Transaction, and remanded the case for further proceedings below. The Commission thereafter sought a stay of integration

and other preliminary relief in the federal district court, and also lifted its stay of administrative proceedings and scheduled a plenary hearing to commence on August 5, 2013, pursuant to which Complaint Counsel and Respondents engaged in discovery over the antitrust merits of the case. On June 10, 2013, the parties filed a joint motion to withdraw the matter from adjudication for settlement purposes, which was granted by the Commission on June 24, 2013.

## IV. The Complaint

The Complaint alleges that the Transaction would reduce competition substantially in violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 18, and Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended 15 U.S.C. 45, with the likely effect of decreasing quality of care and increasing prices for general acute-care hospital services charged to commercial health plans. The alleged relevant product market is general acute-care hospital services sold to commercial health plans. The alleged relevant geographic market is the six-county area surrounding Albany, Georgia.

The Complaint alleges that the Transaction was essentially a merger-to-monopoly. PPMH and Palmyra were the only general acute-care hospitals in Albany, Georgia. The only other hospital in the six-county area surrounding Albany, Georgia, is Mitchell County Hospital, a 25-bed critical-access hospital in Camilla, Georgia, about 31 miles away. The Complaint alleges that, through the Transaction, Phoebe Putney acquired a post-merger market share of approximately 86%, and that the post-merger HHI is 7,453, with a change from the pre-merger HHI of 1,675. This market concentration far exceeds the thresholds set forth in the Horizontal Merger Guidelines and creates a presumption that the Transaction created or enhanced market power. In addition, the Complaint alleges uniquely close, direct, and substantial pre-merger competition between Phoebe Putney and Palmyra, confirming the likelihood of adverse competitive effects resulting from the Transaction.

Entry into the relevant market is difficult. Not only is the construction of a new general acute-care hospital extremely expensive and time-consuming, but it is also subject to CON regulation in Georgia. Any person wishing to build a new hospital in the relevant geographic market would need approval from the Georgia DCH. Such an application would face opposition from any hospital in the relevant

market, such as Phoebe Putney, and would likely be denied by DCH due to the lack of need as defined by DCH's strict criteria, as discussed further below. As a result, new entry sufficient to achieve a significant market impact within two years is highly unlikely.

#### V. *The Proposed Consent Agreement*

Georgia's CON statutes and regulations effectively prevent the Commission from effectuating a divestiture of either hospital in this case. As mentioned above, following the consummation of the Transaction, Phoebe Putney applied for and received a single license authorizing it to operate the formerly-separate hospitals as a single hospital with two campuses. The Georgia DCH issued Phoebe Putney's new license and revoked the two separate licenses that previously covered PPMH and Palmyra. Georgia's CON laws preclude the Commission from re-establishing the former Palmyra assets as a second competing hospital in Albany, because such relief would require: (1) the re-division of the single state-licensed hospital into two separate hospitals; and (2) the transfer of one of those hospitals from the Hospital Authority to a new owner. Either one of those steps is independently sufficient to require CON approval from DCH, which, as discussed further below, would not be forthcoming.

DCH has no statutory authority to revoke Phoebe Putney's current single-hospital license on the basis that its acquisition of Palmyra was anticompetitive. DCH may only revoke a health care facility's license if the facility "violates any of [DCH's] rules and regulations" or does not meet DCH's "quality standards" for "clinical service." Such circumstances do not exist here.

Moreover, the divestiture of either hospital from the Hospital Authority to a proposed buyer would trigger the need for CON approval from DCH. A CON is required for "[a]ny expenditure by or on behalf of a health care facility in excess of \$2.5 million . . . except expenditures for acquisition of an existing health facility not owned or operated . . . by or on behalf of a hospital authority." To gain CON approval, the CON applicant must prove both that: (a) there is an "unmet area need" justifying a second Dougherty County hospital; and (b) establishing such a facility would not have an adverse impact on the patient volume and revenue of other hospitals in the same state health planning area. Under Georgia's mandatory need formulas, there currently are hundreds of surplus hospital beds in Albany, Georgia. As such, a new buyer could not

prove unmet need in the Albany area as required by Georgia law to justify issuance of a CON.

An applicant seeking a CON for a hospital within the same state health planning area as an existing safety-net hospital, such as PPMH, must also prove that it will not have a detrimental market share or "payer mix" impact on that existing hospital. An adverse impact will be determined if, based on projected utilization, the applicant facility would reduce the utilization of the existing safety-net hospital by ten percent or more. The CON rules are even more protective of teaching hospitals, such as PPMH, requiring as a precondition to issuance of a CON that the applicant demonstrate that an additional hospital will not reduce the utilization of an existing teaching hospital in the planning area by even five percent.

Finally, Georgia courts have consistently construed exemptions to the CON requirements narrowly, and held that DCH lacks discretion to grant exemptions not clearly and expressly conferred by statute.

The proposed Consent Agreement contains a stipulation by Phoebe Putney and the Hospital Authority that, solely for settling this matter, the effect of the Transaction may be substantially to lessen competition within the relevant service and geographic markets alleged in the Complaint. In addition to routine reporting and compliance requirements, the proposed Consent Agreement contemplates certain restrictions on Phoebe Putney and the Hospital Authority discussed below.

#### A. *Prior Notice of Acquisitions*

First, for the next ten (10) years, Phoebe Putney and the Hospital Authority must give the Commission prior notice for acquisitions of certain healthcare providers in the six-county area surrounding Albany, Georgia. Under the Order, Phoebe Putney and the Hospital Authority are required to give the Commission thirty (30) days advance notice of a proposed acquisition that is covered by the Order but not subject to the Hart-Scott-Rodino Act ("HSR Act"). If, within this thirty-day period, the Commission staff makes a written request for additional information or documentary material (within the meaning of 16 CFR 803.20), Phoebe Putney and the Hospital Authority may not consummate the transaction until thirty (30) days after submitting such additional information or documentary material. This provision will prevent smaller, non-reportable transactions from taking place without notice to the Commission, and will

provide the Commission with an opportunity to review such acquisitions prior to consummation.

#### B. *CON Opposition Restrictions*

Second, Phoebe Putney and the Hospital Authority have agreed to restrictions for a period of five (5) years prohibiting them from raising any objections to or providing negative comments about CON applications for general acute-care hospitals in the six-county area surrounding Albany, Georgia, which spans multiple state health planning areas for CON review purposes. This provision would allow a new entrant to apply for a CON without the potential additional cost and delay associated with opposition from Phoebe Putney or the Hospital Authority. Additionally, the Consent Agreement requires Phoebe Putney and the Hospital Authority to provide copies of any objections they file in connection with a CON application for an inpatient or outpatient clinic providing any of the services provided by Phoebe Putney or the Hospital Authority in the six-county area around Albany, Georgia within five (5) days of its submission to the Georgia DCH. The proposed Consent Agreement would, however, permit Phoebe Putney and the Hospital Authority to respond to questions or information requests received from DCH as part of a CON review process.

#### C. *Dismissal as to HCA and Palmyra*

Having accepted a settlement that imposes no further relief upon HCA or Palmyra, the Commission has determined to dismiss the Complaint as to them.

#### VI. *Opportunity for Public Comment*

The proposed Consent Agreement has been placed on the public record for thirty (30) days for receipt of comments from interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After thirty (30) days, the Commission will again review the proposed Consent Agreement, as well as the comments received, and will decide whether it should withdraw from the Consent Agreement or make final the Decision and Order.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed Consent Agreement and is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the proposed Consent Agreement or to modify its terms in any way.

By direction of the Commission,  
Commissioner Wright not participating.  
**Donald S. Clark,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2013-21158 Filed 8-28-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6750-01-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

**Centers for Disease Control and Prevention**

[30Day-13-13JQ]

**Agency Forms Undergoing Paperwork Reduction Act Review**

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) publishes a list of information collection requests under review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). To request a copy of these requests, call (404) 639-7570 or send an email to *omb@cdc.gov*. Send written comments to CDC Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC or by fax to (202) 395-5806. Written comments should be received within 30 days of this notice.

**Proposed Project**

Health Professional Application for Training (HPAT)—New —National Center for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis,

STD, and TB Prevention (NCHHSTP), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

**Background and Brief Description**

CDC/NCHHSTP is requesting OMB approval to collect data that will be used to monitor and evaluate performance of CDC funded grantees that offer Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD) and Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) prevention training, training assistance, and capacity building assistance to physicians, nurses, disease intervention specialists, health educators and other public health professionals. Information collection approval is sought for three years.

CDC/NCHHSTP will use the Health Professional Application for Training (HPAT) for this data collection. This instrument was previously approved under OMB clearance #0920-0017 as a Participant Information Form, but was removed from that information collection request upon its most recent revision. The HPAT allows CDC grantees to use a single instrument when partnering with other Health and Human Services (HHS) funded training programs and does not duplicate information collection efforts. The HPAT will serve as the official training application form used for training activities conducted by the CDC-funded STD/HIV Prevention Training Centers'

(PTCs) and the HIV Capacity Building Assistance (CBAs) grantees who offer classroom and experiential training, web-based training, clinical consultation, and capacity building assistance to maintain and enhance the capacity of health care professionals to control and prevent STDs and HIV.

The HPAT will also be used to collect information from the training participants regarding their: (1) Occupations, professions, and functional roles; (2) principal employment settings; (3) location of their work settings; and (4) programmatic and population foci of their work. This data collection provides CDC with information to determine whether the training grantees are reaching their target audiences in terms of provider type, the types of organizations in which participants work, the focus of their work and the population groups and geographic areas served; the data collection is also used to triage and assign CBA provider requests.

The 7,400 respondents represent an average of the number of health professionals trained by the CBA and PTC grantees during the years 2010 and 2011. There are no costs to respondents other than their time.

It is estimated that this collection will involve a total of 617 annual burden hours.

**ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED BURDEN HOURS**

| Type of respondent             | Form name   | Number of respondents | Number responses per respondent | Average burden per response (in hours) |
|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Healthcare Professionals ..... | Health Professional Application for Training (HPAT) ..... | 7,400                 | 1                               | 5/60                                   |

**LeRoy Richardson,**  
*Chief, Information Collection Review Office, Office of Scientific Integrity, Office of the Associate Director for Science, Office of the Director, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.*

[FR Doc. 2013-21087 Filed 8-28-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4163-18-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

**Centers for Disease Control and Prevention**

[60Day-13-0910]

**Proposed Data Collections Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations**

In compliance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 for opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects. To request more information on the proposed projects or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and

instruments, call 404-639-7570 or send comments to Leroy Richardson, 1600 Clifton Road, MS D-74, Atlanta, GA 30333 or send an email to *omb@cdc.gov*.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Written comments should