

Section	Design-specific review standard title	ADAMS No.
3.8.4	Other Seismic Category I Structures	ML13099A316
3.8.5	Foundations	ML13099A319
15.0	Introduction—Transient and Accident Analyses	ML12275A026
15.0.2	Review of Transient and Accident Analysis Methods	ML12207A098
15.0.3	Design Basis Accident Radiological Consequence Analyses for Advanced Light Water Reactors	ML12257A226
15.1.5	Steam System Piping Failures Inside and Outside of Containment	ML12207A108
15.2.1–15.2.5	Loss of External Load; Turbine Trip; Loss of Condenser Vacuum; Closure of Main Steam Isolation Valve (BWR); and Steam Pressure Regulator Failure (Closed).	ML12319A584
15.2.6	Loss of Nonemergency AC Power to the Station Auxiliaries	ML12319A587
15.2.7	Loss of Normal Feedwater Flow	ML12250A248
15.2.8	Feedwater System Pipe Breaks Inside and Outside Containment (PWR)	ML12319A668
15.3.1–15.3.2	Loss of Forced Reactor Coolant Flow Including Trip of Pump Motor and Flow Controller Malfunctions.	ML12319A585
15.3.3–15.3.4	Reactor Coolant Pump Rotor Seizure and Reactor Coolant Pump Shaft Break	ML12319A586
15.4.1	Uncontrolled Control Rod Assembly Withdrawal from a Subcritical or Low Power Startup Condition.	ML12240A005
15.4.2	Uncontrolled Control Rod Assembly Withdrawal at Power	ML12242A102
15.4.10	Startup of an Inactive Pump or Pumps at an Incorrect Temperature, and Flow Controller Malfunction causing an Increase in Core Flow Rate.	ML12261A399
15.5.1–15.5.2	Inadvertent Operation of ECCS and Reactor Coolant Inventory and Purification System (RCI) Malfunction that Increases Reactor Coolant Inventory.	ML12319A575
15.6.1	Inadvertent Opening of a Pressurizer Safety Valve, or an Automatic Depressurization Valve	ML12250A318
15.6.5	Loss of Coolant Accidents Resulting From Spectrum of Postulated Piping Breaks Within the Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary.	ML12319A576
15.8	Anticipated Transients Without Scram	ML12319A577

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 20th day of August, 2013.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Yanelly Malave,

Project Manager, Small Modular Reactor Licensing Branch 1, Division of Advanced Reactors and Rulemaking, Office of New Reactors.

[FR Doc. 2013–20708 Filed 8–23–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. RM2013–6; Order No. 1814]

Periodic Reporting (Proposals One Through Five)

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing requesting an informal proceeding to consider changes in four analytical method changes for use in periodic reporting. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: *Comments are due:* September 9, 2013. *Reply comments are due:* September 19, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Proposals
- III. Notice and Comment
- IV. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

On August 16, 2013, the Postal Service filed a petition pursuant to 39 CFR 3050.11 requesting that the Commission initiate an informal rulemaking proceeding to consider changes in four analytical methods for use in periodic reporting.¹ The Petition labels the proposed analytical method changes filed in this docket as Proposals One through Four. In addition, the Petition requests clarification concerning the status of a proposal that the Postal Service filed in response to a Commission directive in Docket No. ACR2012 regarding distribution of settlement costs within certain Global Plus Negotiated Service Agreement (NSA) products.² This request for clarification will be treated as a petition pursuant to 39 CFR 3050.11 requesting that the Commission initiate an informal rulemaking proceeding to consider the

¹ Petition of the United States Postal Service for the Initiation of a Proceeding to Consider Proposed Changes in Analytical Principles (Proposals One through Four), August 16, 2013 (Petition).

² *Id.* at 1; see also Docket No. ACR2012, Responses of the United States Postal Service to Commission Requests for Additional Information in FY 2012 Annual Compliance Determination, Item 3, June 26, 2013 (Proposal Five).

changes identified in response to the Commission directive in Docket No. ACR2012, Item 3. *Id.* This request will be labeled as Proposal Five and reviewed as part of this docket.

II. Proposals

A. Proposal One: New Formula and Location for Alaska Air Adjustment Factor

The Postal Service proposes a simpler method for calculating the Alaska Air Adjustment Factor. In addition, the Postal Service proposes to implement the Alaska Air Adjustment Factor within the Cost Segment 14 model, rather than with the Cost and Revenue Analysis (CRA) model. It asserts that the current method for calculating the Alaska Air Adjustment Factor is unnecessarily complex, and since the current method was established, postal operational data have improved significantly. Thus, it contends, that the proposal is a more accurate and more easily updateable ratio of highway to air costs. It also believes that implementing the proposed change in the Cost Segment 14 workbook, rather than in the CRA model where it is currently located, would help increase transparency. See Petition at 2–3.

B. Proposal Two: New Set of Distribution Factors for Alaska Non-Preferential, Alaska Preferential, Hawaii, and Air Taxi Cost Pools in Cost Segment 14

The Postal Service proposes a single set of distribution factors to assign relevant costs from the Non-Preferential

Alaska Air, Preferential Alaska Air, Hawaii Air, and Air Taxi cost pools to products. The proposed distribution factors rely on current operations data from Surface Air Management Systems—Alaska regularly collected by the Transportation Cost System. The proposal is also designed to remedy an inaccuracy in the distribution of Air Taxi costs. The Postal Service asserts that the primary advantage of the proposal over the existing method is that it uses current data, and therefore computes distribution factors that align with current product lists. *See id.* at 4–7.

C. Proposal Three: New Set of Distribution Factors for Highway and Plant Load Cost Pools in Cost Segment 14

The Postal Service proposes a proxy set of distribution factors to assign relevant costs to products from the Highway Plant Load and Rail Plant Load cost pools in Cost Segment 14. The Postal Service asserts that the product lists have undergone significant changes since Docket No. R2005–1 and the corresponding attributable costs have decreased dramatically. It believes that rather than replicating expensive special studies, it is more sensible to use its proposed proxy set of distribution factors that can be updated quarterly to assign relevant costs to products. *See id.* at 8–10.

D. Proposal Four: Change in Canada Air Transportation Costing Methodology

The Postal Service proposes revising its costing methodology for Air Transportation of outbound products to Canada. This is expected to impact primarily Canada's Air Transportation costs and measured contribution in both the "Booked Version" and "Imputed Version" of reports. Specifically, the proposal benchmarks changes to "Imputed Reports.xls" and "Reports (Booked).xls" to bring the reported International Transportation costs by Product and Country into agreement between the two versions. The proposed changes will preserve the calculation of diversion of Outbound Canada Air Mail to Highway Transportation and eliminate the shift in costs between Canada and the rest of the world during the "Booking" process. In essence, the Postal Service is proposing to change the Imputed Reports so that Canada's combined Air and Air Diverted to Highway costs, together with Air Transportation costs for the rest of the world, are benchmarked to a combination of General Ledger Air and Surface Purchased Transportation Accounts. Such results would then be

used by "Reports (Booked).xls" without further change. The Postal Service asserts that Canada's International Transportation costs for Outbound Air Mail that is diverted to Highway Transportation, once obscured by International Surface Transportation costs associated with Outbound Surface Mail, have become discernible with the elimination of Outbound Surface Mail Products. Thus, the proposal intends to make use of this information to enhance the International CRA. *See id.* at 11–22.

E. Proposal Five: Change in Methodology for Distributing Settlement Costs for Certain Negotiated Service Agreements

In its Annual Compliance Determination (ACD), the Commission directed the Postal Service to more accurately develop costs or increase the contingency factor to accommodate costs that cannot be modeled for its Global Plus NSA products. *See* 2012 ACD at 169–70. The Postal Service filed its response to the Commission's directive on June 26, 2013. *See* Proposal Five at 8–14. It notes that the Global Plus NSAs at issue relate to mailpieces going to Canada, and, pursuant to agreement, the Canada Post Corporation (CPC) bills the Postal Service for services rendered relating to the total product. Accordingly, the Postal Service asserts that it needs an improved methodology for distributing settlement costs to each NSA contract within a particular product. In its FY 2012 Annual Compliance Report, the Postal Service used a pound distribution key to distribute costs to each NSA within a product. In its response to the ACD directive, the Postal Service proposes changing the pound distribution key methodology for distributing settlement costs to a revenue distribution key methodology in order to distribute costs to each NSA within a product.

The Postal Service observes that the overall product revenues exceed the overall attributable costs and that each Global Plus NSA within the 2B and 2C products should cover costs. However, it also notes that the CPC settlement rates are more complex than a uniform pound rate. For this reason, the Postal Service asserts that a revenue key is better suited for distributing settlement costs to NSA contracts in the Global Plus 2B and 2C products. Accordingly, the Commission will consider the Postal Service's proposed change from a pound distribution key methodology for distributing settlement costs within certain Global Plus NSA products to a revenue distribution key methodology for distributing settlement costs as Proposal Five in this docket.

III. Notice and Comment

The Commission establishes Docket No. RM2013–6 for consideration of matters raised by the Petition and Proposal Five. More information on the Petition and Proposal Five may be accessed via the Commission's Web site at <http://www.prc.gov>. The Postal Service filed portions of its supporting documentation under seal as part of a non-public annex. Information concerning access to these non-public materials is located in 39 CFR part 3007.

Interested persons may submit comments on the Petition and Proposal Five no later than September 9, 2013. Reply comments are due no later than September 19, 2013. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Kenneth E. Richardson is designated as officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in this proceeding.

IV. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:

1. The Commission establishes Docket No. RM2013–6 for consideration of the matters raised by the Petition of the United States Postal Service for the Initiation of a Proceeding to Consider Proposed Changes in Analytical Principles (Proposals One through Four), filed August 16, 2013.

2. The Commission will also consider in this docket matters raised by the United States Postal Service in its Responses of the United States Postal Service to Commission Requests for Additional Information in FY 2012 Annual Compliance Determination, Item 3, filed June 26, 2013 (Proposal Five), in this docket.

3. Comments by interested persons in this proceeding are due no later than September 9, 2013. Reply comments are due no later than September 19, 2013.

4. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, the Commission appoints Kenneth E. Richardson to serve as an officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in this docket.

5. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

Shoshana M. Grove,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013–20734 Filed 8–23–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P