

**LeRoy Richardson,**  
*Chief, Information Collection Review Office,  
 Office of Scientific Integrity, Office of the  
 Associate Director for Science, Office of the  
 Director, Centers for Disease Control and  
 Prevention.*

[FR Doc. 2013-20645 Filed 8-23-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4163-18-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND  
 HUMAN SERVICES**

**Centers for Disease Control and  
 Prevention**

[30Day-13-13RE]

**Agency Forms Undergoing Paperwork  
 Reduction Act Review**

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) publishes a list of information collection requests under review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). To request a copy of these requests, call (404) 639-7570 or send an email to [omb@cdc.gov](mailto:omb@cdc.gov). Send written comments to CDC Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503 or by fax to (202) 395-5806. Written comments should be received within 30 days of this notice.

**Proposed Project**

Public Health Systems, Mental Health and Community Recovery Project—New—Office of Public Health Preparedness and Response, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

*Background and Brief Description*

This project stems from, and aligns with, publication of the Office of Public Health Preparedness and Response’s (OPHPR) “National Strategic Plan for Public Health Preparedness and

Response” which provides overall direction for Centers for Disease Control and Prevention’s (CDC) preparedness and response portfolio, including programmatic direction across OPHPR’s four divisions. The focus of this project is to generate findings useful for future preparedness planning and response in order to develop strategies and interventions aimed at mitigating the impact of adverse events. In April 2011, one of the largest tornado outbreaks ever recorded, a “Super Outbreak,” occurred in the southeastern United States, resulting in more than 300 deaths and an estimated \$10 billion in damages. This large-scale multistate tragedy offers a unique opportunity to study how communities with similar cultural and geographic features yet different public health and mental health emergency response systems could provide access to care around the same crisis. The outcomes of these efforts can inform the field of what effect these differences had on the recovery patterns of each of these communities. By doing so, we can begin to elucidate best practices for robust community preparedness and recovery with attention to types of services that most effectively promote the natural resilience of survivors. Two primary research questions will guide the proposed study:

1. How did the Alabama and Mississippi State and local public health and mental health (PH/MH) systems prepare for, respond to, and support recovery after the April 2011 tornados?

2. To what extent have these communities recovered and what is the overall health and quality of life of individuals affected by these events?

CDC requests OMB approval to collect information for two years.

To address these questions, CDC, in collaboration with ICF International, will conduct a mixed method evaluation utilizing key informant interviews of public health and mental health agency staff and other leaders from the community and household survey data in each of the four regions in Mississippi and Alabama to assess community recovery. Specifically, the study design includes two main components (qualitative and quantitative) designed to comprehensively examine the PH/MH system response to and community recovery and resilience from disasters.

The total estimated burden for the 98 one-time qualitative interviews for public health/mental health professionals and community leaders is 98 hours (98 respondents × 1 hour/ response). Interviews will be conducted during an in-person site-visit to the region to reduce travel and time burdens on the respondents. Respondents unable to participate during the site visit may participate via telephone. In addition, the total estimated burden for the quantitative computer-assisted interviews are based on 1,313 screener respondents and 860 survey respondents in each of the four tornado effected regions; the screener will take approximately 2 minutes to complete and the survey will take approximately 25 minutes to complete. (Study Screener: 4 counties × 1,313 study screeners = 5,252 participants screened; 5,252 participants × 2/60 minutes = 175 hours; Household Survey for General Public: 4 counties × 860 respondents = 3,440 respondents; 3,440 respondents × 25/60 minutes = 1,433 hours).

There are no costs to respondents other than their time.

The total estimated annual burden hours are 1,706.

**ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED BURDEN HOURS**

Type of respondents	Form name	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden per response (in hrs.)
Mental Health/Public Health Agency Staff .....	Key Informant Interview Guide PH/MH Agency Staff & Key Informant Interview Guide Consent Form.	53	1	1
Community Organization Leaders .....	Key Informant Interview Guide Community Organization Respondents & Key Informant Interview Guide Consent Form.	45	1	1
General public from disaster affected communities.	Household Survey for General Public and Consent.	3,440	1	25/60
General public from disaster affected communities.	Household Survey for General Public Study Screener.	5,252	1	2/60

**LeRoy Richardson,**  
*Chief, Information Collection Review Office,  
 Office of Scientific Integrity, Office of the  
 Associate Director for Science, Office of the  
 Director, Centers for Disease Control and  
 Prevention.*

[FR Doc. 2013-20643 Filed 8-23-13; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4163-18-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND  
 HUMAN SERVICES**

**Food and Drug Administration**

[Docket No. FDA-2013-N-0450]

**Agency Information Collection  
 Activities; Submission for Office of  
 Management and Budget Review;  
 Comment Request; Abbreviated New  
 Animal Drug Applications**

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration,  
 HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug  
 Administration (FDA) is announcing  
 that a proposed collection of  
 information has been submitted to the  
 Office of Management and Budget  
 (OMB) for review and clearance under  
 the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Fax written comments on the  
 collection of information by September  
 25, 2013.

**ADDRESSES:** To ensure that comments on  
 the information collection are received,

OMB recommends that written  
 comments be faxed to the Office of  
 Information and Regulatory Affairs,  
 OMB, Attn: FDA Desk Officer, FAX:  
 202-395-7285, or emailed to *oira\_*  
*submission@omb.eop.gov*. All  
 comments should be identified with the  
 OMB control number 0910-0669 and  
 title “Abbreviated New Animal Drug  
 Applications.” Also include the FDA  
 docket number found in brackets in the  
 heading of this document.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** FDA  
 PRA Staff, Office of Operations, Food  
 and Drug Administration, 1350 Piccard  
 Dr., PI50-400B, Rockville, MD 20850,  
*PRAStaff@fda.hhs.gov*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In  
 compliance with 44 U.S.C. 3507, FDA  
 has submitted the following proposed  
 collection of information to OMB for  
 review and clearance.

**Abbreviated New Animal Drug  
 Applications—Section 512(b)(2) and  
 (n)(1) of the Federal Food, Drug, and  
 Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 360b(b)(2) and  
 (n)(1)) (OMB Control Number 0910-  
 0669)—Extension**

On November 16, 1988, the President  
 signed into law the Generic Animal  
 Drug and Patent Restoration Act  
 (GADPTRA) (Pub. L. 100-670). Under  
 section 512(b)(2) of the Federal Food,  
 Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the FD&C Act),  
 as amended by GADPTRA, any person  
 may file an abbreviated new animal  
 drug application (ANADA) seeking

approval of a generic copy of an  
 approved new animal drug. The  
 information required to be submitted as  
 part of an abbreviated application is  
 described in section 512(n)(1) of the  
 FD&C Act. Among other things, an  
 abbreviated application is required to  
 contain information to show that the  
 proposed generic drug is bioequivalent  
 to, and has the same labeling as, the  
 approved drug referenced in the  
 abbreviated application. FDA allows  
 applicants to submit a complete  
 ANADA or to submit information in  
 support of an ANADA for phased  
 review followed by the submission of an  
 Administrative ANADA when FDA  
 finds that all the applicable technical  
 sections for an ANADA are complete.  
 FDA requests that an applicant  
 accompany ANADAs and requests for  
 phased review of data to support  
 ANADAs with the Form FDA 356v to  
 ensure efficient and accurate processing  
 of information to support approval of  
 the generic new animal drug.

In the **Federal Register** of April 30,  
 2013 (78 FR 25279), FDA published a  
 60-day notice requesting public  
 comment on the proposed collection of  
 information. One comment was  
 received; however the comment was not  
 responsive to any of the four topics  
 solicited by the notice. Therefore, FDA  
 does not address the comment here.

FDA estimates the burden of this  
 collection of information as follows:

TABLE 1—ANADAs: ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN

FD&C act section 512 (b)(2)	FDA form	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Total annual responses	Average burden per response	Total hours
ANADA .....	356v	18	1	18	159	2,862
Phased Review With Administrative ANADA .....	356v	3	5	15	31.8	477
Total .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	3,339

<sup>1</sup> There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection of information.

*ANADA paperwork burden (section 512(b)(2) of the FD&C Act).* Over the past 5 fiscal years, from October 2007 through September 2012, FDA has received an average of 21 ANADAs per year. FDA estimates that preparing the paperwork required under 21 U.S.C. 360b(n)(1) to be contained in an ANADA, whether all of the information is submitted with the ANADA or the applicant submits information for phased review followed by an Administrative ANADA that references that information, will take approximately 159 hours. (FDA is estimating that each ANADA that uses

the phased review process will have approximately five phased reviews per application. Therefore, assuming that three respondents will take advantage of the phased review option per year and an average of five phased reviews are submitted per application, times 31.8 hours per phased review, equals 477 total hours per year or 159 hours per application.)

Although over the last 5 fiscal years all sponsors chose to submit traditional ANADAs, some sponsors did indicate an interest in using the phased review option in the future. FDA believes that, with time, more and more sponsors will

take advantage of the phased review option as it provides greater flexibility and estimates that there will be three respondents for the phased review option. FDA also estimates that sponsors of ANADAs take approximately 25 percent less time to put together the information to support an ANADA than a new animal drug application (NADA) because they only need to provide evidence of bioequivalence and not the data required in a NADA to support a full demonstration of safety and effectiveness.