

(or via the Internet at Valerie.Cherry.Mastalski@census.gov).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

The U.S. Census Bureau plans to conduct the 2013 through 2015 Information and Communication Technology Survey (ICTS). The annual survey collects data on two categories of non-capitalized expenses (purchases; and operating leases and rental payments) for four types of information and communication technology equipment and software (computers and peripheral equipment; ICT equipment, excluding computers and peripherals; electromedical and electrotherapeutic apparatus; and computer software, including payroll associated with software development). The survey also collects capital expenditures data on the four types of ICT equipment and software cited above. Only non-farm, non-governmental companies, organizations, and associations operating in the United States are included in this survey.

The Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA), Federal Reserve Board, Bureau of Labor Statistics and industry analysts use these data to evaluate productivity and economic growth prospects. In addition, the ICTS provides improved source data significant to BEA's estimate of the investment component of Gross Domestic Product, capital stock estimates, and capital flow tables.

II. Method of Collection

For the 2012 and prior ICT data collection, the Census Bureau used mail out/mail back survey forms to collect data. Companies were able to respond via Centurion (The Bureau's online reporting system), by mail, or by using our toll-free number to reply via secure facsimile machine. Companies were asked to respond to the survey within 30 days of the initial mailing. Letters and/or telephone calls encouraging participation were directed to companies that had not responded by the designated time.

Employer companies were mailed one of three forms based on their diversity of operations and number of industries with payroll. Companies that operated in only one industry received an ICT-1(S) form. Companies that operated in more than one, but less than nine industries received an ICT-1(M) form. And, companies that operated in nine or more industries received an ICT-1(L).

The Census Bureau is considering collecting the 2013 ICT data primarily through electronic reporting. Companies would receive a notification letter containing their User ID and password,

and would be directed to report online through the Census Bureau's Business Help Site. The online reporting instrument would be an electronic version of the paper data collection instrument and would be based on their diversity of operations and number of industries with payroll. Companies operating in only one industry will access an ICT-1(S) form or electronic instrument. Companies operating in more than one, but less than nine industries will access an ICT-1(M) form or electronic instrument. And, companies that operate in nine or more industries would access an ICT-1(L) form or electronic instrument. Companies would be able to print the form through online services or request a paper form be mailed.

The Census Bureau would continue to ask companies to respond to the survey within 30 days. Reminder letters and/or telephone calls encouraging participation would continue to be directed to all companies that have not responded by the designated time.

III. Data

OMB Control Number: 0607-0909.
Form Number: ICT-1(S), ICT-1(M), and ICT-1(L).

Type of Review: Regular submission.
Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profit organizations, non-profit institutions, small businesses or organizations.

Estimated Number of Respondents: Approximately 45,000 employer companies.

Estimated Time per Response: The average for all respondents is 1.80 hours with the range from less than 1 hour to 21 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 79,610.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: The total cost to all respondents is estimated to be \$2.4 million.

Respondents' Obligation: Mandatory.
Legal Authority: Title 13 U.S.C. Sections 182, 224, and 225.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques

or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: August 13, 2013.

Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2013-20039 Filed 8-16-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-07-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[B-78-2013]

Foreign-Trade Zone (FTZ) 141— Monroe County, New York; Notification of Proposed Production Activity; American Tactical Imports (Deconstruction of Firearms); Rochester, New York

American Tactical Imports submitted a notification of proposed production activity to the FTZ Board for its facility in Rochester, New York within FTZ 141. The notification conforming to the requirements of the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR 400.22) was received on July 29, 2013.

The American Tactical Imports facility is located within Site 13 of FTZ 141. The facility is used for the deconstruction of firearms through the removal of the barrel and receiver. Pursuant to 15 CFR 400.14(b), FTZ activity would be limited to the specific foreign-status materials and components and specific finished products described in the submitted notification (as described below) and subsequently authorized by the FTZ Board.

Production under FTZ procedures could exempt American Tactical Imports from customs duty payments on the foreign status components used in export production. On its domestic sales, American Tactical Imports would be able to choose the duty rates during customs entry procedures that apply to sporting, hunting or target-shooting rifle kits (duty rate 3.1%) for the foreign status input noted below. Customs duties also could possibly be deferred or reduced on foreign status production equipment.

The components and materials sourced from abroad include: rifles (duty rate 4.7%).

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions shall be addressed to the Board's Executive

Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is September 30, 2013.

A copy of the notification will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 21013, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230-0002, and in the "Reading Room" section of the Board's Web site, which is accessible via www.trade.gov/ftz.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elizabeth Whiteman at Elizabeth.Whiteman@trade.gov or (202) 482-0473.

Dated: August 12, 2013.

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013-20002 Filed 8-16-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-549-821]

Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags From Thailand: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011-2012

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On May 14, 2013, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene retail carrier bags (PRCBs) from Thailand. The period of review (POR) is August 1, 2011, through July 31, 2012. For the final results we continue to find that Trinity Pac Co. Ltd. (Trinity Pac) has sold subject merchandise at less than normal value and that TPN FlexPak Co., Ltd. (TPN) had no shipments during the POR.

DATES: *Effective Date:* August 19, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dmitry Vladimirov or Mino Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0665, and (202) 482-1690, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 14, 2013, the Department published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the

antidumping duty order on PRCBs from Thailand.¹ We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. We received no comments.

The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the antidumping duty order is PRCBs, which may be referred to as t-shirt sacks, merchandise bags, grocery bags, or checkout bags. The subject merchandise is defined as non-sealable sacks and bags with handles (including drawstrings), without zippers or integral extruded closures, with or without gussets, with or without printing, of polyethylene film having a thickness no greater than 0.035 inch (0.889 mm) and no less than 0.00035 inch (0.00889 mm), and with no length or width shorter than 6 inches (15.24 cm) or longer than 40 inches (101.6 cm). The depth of the bag may be shorter than 6 inches but not longer than 40 inches (101.6 cm).

PRCBs are typically provided without any consumer packaging and free of charge by retail establishments, e.g., grocery, drug, convenience, department, specialty retail, discount stores, and restaurants, to their customers to package and carry their purchased products. The scope of the order excludes (1) polyethylene bags that are not printed with logos or store names and that are closeable with drawstrings made of polyethylene film and (2) polyethylene bags that are packed in consumer packaging with printing that refers to specific end-uses other than packaging and carrying merchandise from retail establishments, e.g., garbage bags, lawn bags, trash-can liners.

As a result of changes to the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS), imports of the subject merchandise are currently classifiable under statistical category 3923.21.0085 of the HTSUS. Furthermore, although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Adverse Facts Available

As explained fully in our *Preliminary Results*, we find that Trinity Pac did not act to the best of its ability to comply with our request for information that is

¹ See *Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags from Thailand: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011-2012*, 78 FR 28192 (May 14, 2013) (*Preliminary Results*).

necessary for our determination.² Consequently, we are continuing to rely on adverse facts available (AFA), in accordance with sections 776(a) and (b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, and applying a rate of 122.88 percent to Trinity Pac. This rate was applied in the less-than-fair-value investigation as well as in each successive administrative review.³ Trinity Pac has not been individually examined in any of the prior segments of this proceeding. Trinity Pac provided the Department with no company-specific commercial information and no information has been presented in the current review that calls into question the relevance or reliability of this rate. Accordingly, in the *Preliminary Results*, we determined that, by using a rate of 122.88 percent that was corroborated in the investigation and preliminarily found to be both reliable and relevant to Trinity Pac in this review, we have corroborated the AFA rate "to the extent practicable."⁴ Thus, for the final results, we continue to find that an AFA rate of 122.88 percent is corroborated, pursuant to section 776(c) of the Act, and is otherwise appropriate to apply to Trinity Pac.⁵

Final Determination of No Shipments

Consistent with the *Preliminary Results*, we continue to determine that TPN had no shipments during the POR.

Rates for Respondents Not Selected for Individual Examination

As explained fully in our *Preliminary Results*, we are applying a rate of 4.69 percent which is taken from the *Section 129 Determination* for the original antidumping duty investigation,⁶ to the nine companies that were not selected

² *Id.*, and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 3.

³ See *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags From Thailand*, 69 FR 34122-34124 (June 18, 2004), *Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags from Thailand: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 72 FR 1982, 1983 (January 17, 2007), *Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags from Thailand: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 72 FR 64580 (November 16, 2007), *Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags from Thailand: Final Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 74 FR 2511, 2512 (January 15, 2009) and *Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags from Thailand: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 74 FR 65751 (December 11, 2009).

⁴ See *Preliminary Results*, and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 7.

⁵ *Id.*

⁶ See *Notice of Implementation of Determination Under Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act and Partial Revocation of the Antidumping Duty Order on Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags From Thailand*, 75 FR 48940 (August 12, 2010) (*Section 129 Determination*).